EXHIBIT 1
## Detroit Public Schools - Events and Governance Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>DPS Controlling Authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Proposal A approved by voters. Schools are funded via state aid, and funding follows students to alternative district/charters schools</td>
<td>Elected School Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>DPS issues 1.5 billion dollars in bonds for the upgrade of facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>P.A. 10 of 1999 adopted, allowing the Governor and Mayor to appoint school board members</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Governor-Mayor appointed reform school board sworn in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Dr. David Adamany hired as DPS interim CEO while search for permanent CEO is conducted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Dr. Kenneth Burnley is appointed Chief Executive Officer</td>
<td>Reform Board (Governor-Mayor Appointed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>DPS makes a questionable purchase of a significant interest in the Fisher building and relocates its headquarters to the same</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Jennifer Granholm elected Governor of the State of Michigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>DPS completes construction of one of the most expensive high schools in America with various cost overruns (Cass Tech)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Contractor operating DPS Risk Management department completes a number of questionable wire transfers to various vendors of approximately $40,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DPS experiences escalating student losses, which results in a $190 million deficit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DPS refinances $210 million State Aid Note into long-term debt that matures in June 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Electorate votes to dissolve the Reform School Board and return to an elected board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
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## Detroit Public Schools - Events and Governance Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>DPS Controlling Authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Elected school board sworn in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>William Coleman appointed Superintendent of DPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>DPS student enrollment drops to 177,567</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Discovered that DPS staff members made questionable expenditures on travel, hotel and meals in excess of $3,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>William Coleman resigns from DPS, while under FBI investigation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Elected board hires Dr. Connie Calloway as Superintendent of DPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DPS deficit reaches $400 million</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Financial emergency declared pursuant to Public Act 72 of 1990</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Elected school board terminates Dr. Connie Calloway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Governor Jennifer Granholm appoints Robert Bobb as Emergency Financial Manager of DPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Robert Bobb hires Edison Learning for $20,000,000 to manage 17 DPS schools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>DPS electorate approves $500 million bond for capital improvements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Robert Bobb implements the Termination Incentive Plan to address the District's cash flow challenges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DPS refinances and converts $231 million of a $421 million State Aid Note into long term debt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>DPS receives $100 million in concessions from the Union</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Wayne County Circuit Court rules in favor of the Board, and holds that they have authority of DPS' academics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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### Detroit Public Schools - Events and Governance Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>DPS Controlling Authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Rick Snyder elected Governor of the State of Michigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Emergency Manager Act (Public Act 4 of 2011) adopted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Roy Roberts appointed Emergency Manager of DPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Educational Achievement Authority is created</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Public Act 277 of 2011 adopted and lifts the cap on charter schools in Michigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Michigan Finance Authority allows DPS to refinance the remainder of original special purpose bonds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>EAA borrows $6,000,000 from DPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Michigan voters repeal Public Act 4 of 2011 (Emergency Manager Law)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>State of Michigan enact PA 436 of 2012 Emergency Manager Act, replacing the repealed law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>City of Detroit files for bankruptcy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Veronica Conforme appointed as Chancellor of the EAA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Jack Martin appointed Emergency Manager of DPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Darnell Early appointed Emergency Manager of DPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Michigan Finance Authority issues 82.8 short-term notes to refinance a portion of the original borrowing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DPS legacy costs and other liabilities (operating liabilities and capital liabilities) amount to $3,527,800,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Governor Snyder appoints Judge Steven Rhodes as Transition Manager of DPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Educational Achievement Authority dissolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>To manage growing obligations of the District, DPS split into two entities: DPSCD (current educational school district) and DPS (debt holder)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Elected school board sworn in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Facilities Assessment completed detailing over $500,000,000 in maintenance and repairs needed for DPS' capital infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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EXHIBIT 2
AN ACT to amend 1976 PA 451, entitled "An act to provide a system of public instruction and elementary and secondary schools; to revise, consolidate, and clarify the laws relating to elementary and secondary education; to provide for the organization, regulation, and maintenance of schools, school districts, public school academies, and intermediate school districts; to prescribe rights, powers, duties, and privileges of schools, school districts, public school academies, and intermediate school districts; to provide for the regulation of school teachers and certain other school employees; to provide for school elections and to prescribe powers and duties with respect thereto; to provide for the levy and collection of taxes; to provide for the borrowing of money and issuance of bonds and other evidences of indebtedness; to establish a fund and provide for expenditures from that fund; to provide for and prescribe the powers and duties of certain state departments, the state board of education, and certain other boards and officials; to provide for licensure of boarding schools; to prescribe penalties; and to repeal acts and parts of acts," by amending sections 402 and 471a (MCL 380.402 and 380.471a), section 471a as amended by 1982 PA 71, and by adding part 5A and section 449.

The People of the State of Michigan enact:

PART 5A

APPOINTMENT OF SCHOOL REFORM BOARDS

Sec. 371. As used in this part:

(a) "Chief executive officer" means the chief executive officer appointed for a qualifying school district under section 374.

(b) "Mayor" means the mayor of the city in which a qualifying school district is located.

(c) "Qualifying school district" means a school district of the first class under part 6.

Sec. 372. (1) Not later than 30 days after the effective date of the amendatory act that added this part, the mayor shall appoint a school reform board for a qualifying school district.

(2) A school reform board established under this section shall consist of the following 7 members:

(a) Six members appointed by the mayor.

(b) For a period of 5 years after the effective date of the amendatory act that added this part, the superintendent of public instruction or his or her designee. After this period, the mayor shall appoint the seventh member of the school reform board.
(3) A person who is a current member of the elected school board of a qualifying school district is not eligible for appointment as a member of the school reform board for that qualifying school district. Section 1101 (1) does not disqualify any person from appointment to a school reform board under this section or from appointment as an officer under section 374. However, at least a majority of the appointed members of a school reform board must be school electors of the qualifying school district.

(4) Except for the superintendent of public instruction or his or her designee, members of a school reform board shall serve at the will of the mayor. The term of an appointed member shall be 4 years, except that of the members first appointed under subsection (2)(a), 2 shall be appointed for a term of 2 years, 2 shall be appointed for a term of 3 years, and 2 shall be appointed for a term of 4 years.

(5) If a member of a school reform board is removed from office by the mayor or is unable to complete his or her term, the mayor shall appoint a successor for the balance of the unexpired term. At the end of a member's term, the mayor shall appoint a successor or reappoint the member.

(6) The mayor shall call the first meeting of the school reform board and shall designate a chairperson of the school reform board from among its members. If there is a vacancy in the office of chairperson, the mayor shall designate a successor.

(7) At the first meeting of the school reform board, the school reform board may elect from among its members other officers as it considers necessary or appropriate. After the first meeting, the school reform board shall meet at least monthly, or more frequently at the call of the chairperson or if requested by 4 or more members.

(8) A majority of the members of the school reform board constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at a meeting of the school reform board. A majority of the members present and serving are required for official action of the school reform board.

(9) Members of the school reform board shall serve without compensation. However, members may be reimbursed for their actual and necessary expenses incurred in the performance of their official duties as members of the school reform board.

Sec. 373. (1) Beginning on the effective date of the amendatory act that added this part, the powers and duties of the elected school board of the qualifying school district and of its secretary and treasurer are suspended unless and until a new school board is elected under section 375. However, until the expiration of each individual member's current term, the members of the elected school board of a qualifying school district may continue to meet as an advisory board to provide input to the school reform board on an advisory basis only. Notwithstanding Section 417a or any board policy, bylaw, or resolution to the contrary, these advisory board members shall serve without compensation or reimbursement, and funds of the qualifying school district shall not be used to staff or otherwise support the advisory board in any way.

(2) Beginning on the effective date of the amendatory act that added this part, and until appointment of a school reform board for a qualifying school district under this part, all provisions of this act that would otherwise apply to the school board of the qualifying school district or to the school reform board or chief executive officer apply to the mayor, and the mayor immediately may exercise all the powers and duties otherwise vested by law in the board of the qualifying school district and in its secretary and treasurer, and all powers and duties of the school reform board or chief executive officer as provided under this part. Within 30 days after appointing a school reform board under this part, the mayor shall initiate a financial audit of the qualifying school district. The mayor shall provide the results of this audit to the school reform board.

(3) Upon appointment of a school reform board for a qualifying school district under this part, and until appointment of a chief executive officer under section 374, all provisions of this act that would otherwise apply to the school board of the qualifying school district or to the chief executive officer apply to the school reform board, and the school reform board immediately may exercise all the powers and duties otherwise vested by law in the board of the qualifying school district and in its secretary and treasurer, and all powers and duties of the chief executive officer as provided under this part.

(4) Upon appointment of a chief executive officer for a qualifying school district under section 374, all provisions of this act that would otherwise apply to the elected school board of the qualifying school district apply to the chief executive officer; the chief executive officer immediately may exercise all the powers and duties otherwise vested by law in the elected school board of the qualifying school district and in its secretary and treasurer, and all additional powers and duties provided under this part; and the chief executive officer accedes to all the rights, duties, and obligations of the elected school board of the qualifying school district. These powers, rights, duties, and obligations include, but are not limited to, all of the following:

(a) Authority over the expenditure of all school district funds, including proceeds from bonded indebtedness and other funds dedicated to capital projects.

(b) Rights and obligations under collective bargaining agreements and employment contracts entered into by the elected school board, except for employment contracts of those employees described in subsection (6).
(c) Rights to prosecute and defend litigation.

(d) Obligations under any judgments entered against the elected school board.

(e) Rights and obligations under statute, rule, and common law.

(f) Authority to delegate any of the chief executive officer's powers and duties to 1 or more designees, with proper supervision by the school reform board.

(5) In addition to his or her other powers, the chief executive officer appointed under this part may terminate any contract entered into by the elected school board of the qualifying school district except for a collective bargaining agreement. However, this subsection does not allow any termination or diminishment of obligations to pay debt service on legally authorized bonds. A contract terminated by a chief executive officer under this subsection is void.

(6) Beginning on the effective date of the amendatory act that added this part, and until appointment of a school reform board for a qualifying school district under this part, each employee of the qualifying school district whose position is not covered by a collective bargaining agreement is employed at the will of the mayor. Upon appointment of a school reform board for a qualifying school district under this part, and until appointment of a chief executive officer under section 374, each employee of the qualifying school district whose position is not covered by a collective bargaining agreement is employed at the will of the school reform board. Upon appointment of a chief executive officer for a qualifying school district under section 374, each employee of the qualifying school district whose position is not covered by a collective bargaining agreement is employed at the will of the chief executive officer.

(7) Not later than 90 days after the initial appointment of a chief executive officer under this part, and at least annually thereafter, the chief executive officer with the approval of the school reform board shall develop and submit to the school district accountability board created in section 376 a school district improvement plan that includes at least detailed academic, financial, capital, and operational goals and benchmarks for improvement and a description of strategies to be used to accomplish those goals and benchmarks. The plan also shall include an assessment of available resources and recommendations concerning additional resources or changes in statute or rule, if any, needed to meet those goals and benchmarks. The plan also shall include an evaluation of local school governance issues, including criteria for establishing building-level governance.

(8) A chief executive officer with the approval of the school reform board for the qualifying school district shall submit an annual report to the mayor, governor, school district accountability board created in section 376, and legislature and shall make the annual report available to the community in the qualifying school district. The annual report shall contain at least all of the following:

(a) A summary of the initiatives that have been implemented to improve school quality in the qualifying school district.

(b) Measurements that may be useful in determining improvements in school quality in the qualifying school district. These measurements shall indicate changes from baseline data from the school year before the appointment of the school reform board, and shall include at least all of the following:

(i) Standardized test scores of pupils.

(ii) Dropout rates.

(iii) Daily attendance figures.

(iv) Enrollment figures.

(v) High school completion and other pertinent completion rates.

(vi) Changes made in course offerings.

(vii) Proportion of school district resources devoted to direct educational services.

(c) A description of long-term performance goals that may include statewide averages or comparable measures of long-term improvement.

(9) A school reform board may organize and establish community assistance teams to work with the school reform board to implement a cohesive, full service community school program addressing the needs and concerns of the qualifying school district's population. The school reform board may delegate to a community assistance team the authority to devise and implement family, community, cultural, and recreational activities to assure that the academic mission of the schools is successful. The community assistance teams may also develop parental involvement activities that focus on the encouragement of voluntary parenting education, enhancing parent and family involvement in education, and promoting adult and family literacy.

(10) The mayor, superintendent of public instruction, state board, school district accountability board created in section 376, this state, the city in which a qualifying school district is located, a school reform board established under this part, or a chief executive officer or other officer appointed under section 374 is not liable for any obligation of or claim against a qualifying school district resulting from an action taken under this part.
Sec. 374. (1) Not later than 30 days after the school reform board is appointed, a school reform board established under this part shall appoint for the qualifying school district a chief executive officer. The appointment of a chief executive officer must be by a unanimous vote of the school reform board. The chief executive officer is employed at the will of the school reform board and has the powers and duties provided under this part.

(2) The chief executive officer, with the approval of the school reform board, shall appoint for the qualifying school district a chief financial officer, chief academic officer, chief operations officer, and chief purchasing officer. These officers are employed at the will of the chief executive officer.

(3) If a vacancy occurs in a position described in this section, a successor shall be appointed in the same manner as the original appointment.

Sec. 374a. For a period of 1 year after leaving office, a member of a school reform board appointed under this part or a chief executive officer of a qualifying school district or another officer appointed under section 374 is ineligible for election or appointment to any elective office of the qualifying school district or of the city in which the qualifying school district is located.

Sec. 375. (1) After the expiration of 5 years after the initial appointment of a school reform board in a qualifying school district under this part, the question of whether to retain the school reform board and the chief executive officer and the authority under this part to appoint the school reform board and the chief executive officer shall be placed on the ballot in the qualifying school district under this section.

(2) The question under subsection (1) shall be placed on the ballot in the qualifying school district at the next November general election occurring at least 90 days after the expiration of 5 years after the date of the initial appointment of the school reform board.

(3) The question under subsection (1) shall be in substantially the following form:

"Shall the school reform board and chief executive officer serving in ______ (name of qualifying school district) under part 5a of the revised school code be retained and shall the mayor of ______(name of city in which the school district is located) retain the authority to appoint members of the school reform board? A vote in the affirmative continues the school reform board and chief executive officer in place in the school district and continues the authority of the mayor to appoint members of the school reform board. A vote in the negative will result in the election of a new elected school board as the governing body of the school district and will render the provisions of law establishing authority to appoint a school reform board inapplicable for this school district.

Yes ( )

No ( )"

(4) If the question under subsection (1) is approved by a majority of the school electors voting on the question either under subsection (1) or pursuant to subdivision (c), all of the following apply:

(a) The school reform board and chief executive officer continue in place in the qualifying school district.

(b) The authority of the mayor to appoint members of the school reform board continues in the qualifying school district.

(c) The question may not be placed on the ballot again in the qualifying school district until the expiration of 5 years after the election at which the question was approved. The question may be placed on the ballot again in the qualifying school district if petitions calling for the question to be placed on the ballot are filed with the county clerk for the county in which the qualifying school district is located not sooner than 4 years after the question was most recently on the ballot and if the petitions are signed by a number of school electors of the qualifying school district at least equal to 10% of the number of votes cast within the city in which the qualifying school district is located for secretary of state in the most recent November general election in which a secretary of state was elected. If those petitions are submitted and verified, the question shall be placed on the ballot in the qualifying school district at the next November general election occurring at least 5 years after the question was most recently on the ballot and at least 90 days after the petitions are submitted and verified.

(5) If the question under subsection (1) is not approved by a majority of the school electors voting on the question either under subsection (1) or pursuant to subsection (4)(c), all of the following apply:

(a) The school reform board shall arrange with local elections officials for election of a new elected school board for the school district. This election shall be at a special election held as soon as practicable, but not sooner than 90 days after the election under subsection (1). This election shall be conducted in the manner otherwise provided under this act for an initial school board election in a newly formed first class school district.

(b) Effective on the next July 1 following the election under subdivision (a), the new elected school board of the qualifying school district shall serve as the governing body of the qualifying school district and this elected school board and its secretary and treasurer shall be fully vested with all powers and duties that those officials had before the appointment of the school reform board.
(c) Effective on the next July 1 following the election under subdivision (a), the powers of the school reform board established for the qualifying school district under this part, of the chief executive officer, and of all other officers appointed under section 374 cease.

(d) Effective on the next July 1 following the election under subdivision (a), the provisions of this part do not apply to that qualifying school district.

Sec. 376. (1) The school district accountability board is created in the department. The school district accountability board consists of the following 5 members:

(a) The superintendent of public instruction.

(b) The state treasurer.

(c) The state budget director.

(d) Two members of the general public appointed by the governor with the advice and consent of the senate.

(2) The state treasurer shall serve as chairperson of the school district accountability board.

(3) The school district accountability board shall do all of the following with respect to a qualifying school district in which a school reform board has been established under this part:

(a) Receive and review the district improvement plan submitted under section 373.

(b) Monitor the progress being made by the school reform board in achieving the goals and benchmarks identified in the district improvement plan submitted under section 373.

(c) Based on the experience of the school reform board in its efforts to achieve reform, make recommendations to the governor for additional resources for the qualifying school district and on changes in statute or rule, if any, needed to achieve reform.

(4) The powers and duties of the school district accountability board are limited to a qualifying school district in which a school reform board is in place.

(5) The business that the school district accountability board may perform shall be conducted at a public meeting of the school district accountability board held in compliance with the open meetings act, 1976 PA 267, MCL 15.261 to 15.275.

(6) A writing prepared, owned, used, in the possession of, or retained by the school district accountability board in the performance of an official function is subject to the freedom of information act, 1976 PA 442, MCL 15.231 to 15.246.

Sec. 402. A school district that has a pupil membership of at least 100,000 enrolled on the most recent pupil membership count day is a single first class school district governed by this part.

Sec. 449. All powers and duties of the school board of the first class school district and of its officers are subject to part 5a.

Sec. 471a. (1) The first class school district board may appoint a superintendent of schools for a term not exceeding 6 years pursuant to the first class school district board’s bylaws. The board may employ assistant superintendents, principals, assistant principals, guidance directors, and other administrators who do not assume tenure in position for a term, not to exceed 3 years, fixed by the board and shall define their duties. Administrative and personnel services shall be provided on a centralized basis throughout the first class school district and shall not be established on a voting district basis. The employment shall be under written contract. Notification of nonrenewal of contract shall be given in writing not less than 90 days before the termination date of the contract of a superintendent of schools, and at least 60 days before the termination date of the contract of other administrators described in this subsection. If notification of nonrenewal is not given as required in this subsection, the contract is renewed for an additional 1-year period.

(2) A notification of nonrenewal of a contract of a person described in this section may be given only for a reason that is not arbitrary or capricious. The board shall not issue a notice of nonrenewal under this section unless the affected person has been provided with not less than 30 days' advance notice that the board is considering the nonrenewal together with a written statement of the reasons the board is considering the nonrenewal. After the issuance of the written statement, but before the nonrenewal statement is issued, the affected person shall be given the opportunity to meet with not less than a majority of the board to discuss the reasons stated in the written statement. The meeting shall be open to the public or a closed session as the affected person elects under section 8 of the open meetings act, 1976 PA 267, MCL 15.268. The failure to provide for a meeting with the board or the finding of a court that the reason for nonrenewal is arbitrary or capricious shall result in the renewal of the affected person's contract for an additional 1-year period. This subsection does not apply to the nonrenewal of the contract of a superintendent of schools.
(3) Except for certification requirements determined by the state board, the first class school district board shall have full power over employees and may specify the duties to be performed by them and fix the qualifications necessary for a position. The qualifications shall not conflict with the rules, regulations, or licensing laws of the state, county, or municipality governing qualifications of engineers or members of other trades.

(4) This section is subject to part 5a.

This act is ordered to take immediate effect.

Carol Mone Viventi
Secretary of the Senate.

Gary E. Randall
Clerk of the House of Representatives.

Approved

Governor.
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## DETROIT PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Statistical and Other Information (Unaudited)

Ten-Year Summary of Governmental Funds Revenues and Expenditures

Year ended June 30, 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local sources</td>
<td>$294,169,835</td>
<td>280,844,737</td>
<td>269,059,458</td>
<td>241,710,072</td>
<td>233,108,358</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State sources</td>
<td>984,242,851</td>
<td>1,027,481,282</td>
<td>1,122,411,177</td>
<td>1,194,252,069</td>
<td>1,214,089,918</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal sources</td>
<td>266,009,818</td>
<td>284,888,239</td>
<td>280,465,950</td>
<td>243,725,177</td>
<td>237,357,565</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special program operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other financing sources:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond/Note proceeds</td>
<td>554,093,250</td>
<td>210,000,000</td>
<td>20,430,005</td>
<td>731,900,000</td>
<td>438,365,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premium from sale of bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from school bond loan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers in</td>
<td>21,128,011</td>
<td>9,941,469</td>
<td>1,916,975</td>
<td>65,395,563</td>
<td>58,301,303</td>
<td>6,649,053</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from sale of capital assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital lease acquisition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenues, other financing sources and special items</strong></td>
<td>2,120,472,440</td>
<td>1,815,221,580</td>
<td>1,724,236,104</td>
<td>2,510,023,732</td>
<td>2,217,101,688</td>
<td>1,560,749,311</td>
<td>1,328,849,769</td>
<td>1,809,093,590</td>
<td>1,459,531,928</td>
<td>1,419,823,048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Expenditures:**      |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |
| Instruction            | 773,046,823   | 878,408,647   | 939,338,567   | 891,894,493   | 875,700,585   | 698,231,247   | 537,667,905   | 508,180,769   | 467,509,867   | 457,263,214   |
| Special program operations |          |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |
| Supporting services    | 614,815,850   | 652,896,930   | 667,027,335   | 651,198,490   | 626,045,506   | 516,718,280   | 427,628,759   | 417,625,780   | 374,221,979   | 352,132,566   |
| Auxiliary operations   | 4,804,658     | 5,729,048     | 6,836,155     | 5,790,337     | 5,205,218     |               |               |               |               |               |
| Food services          | 43,819,136    | 45,628,321    | 49,254,075    | 46,560,407    | 43,885,382    |               |               |               |               |               |
| Athletics              | 3,546,882     | 2,868,955     | 1,916,975     | 1,716,264     | 2,238,977     |               |               |               |               |               |
| Other expenditures     | 7,352,515     | 1,127,291     | 6,953,032     | 1,967,099     | 13,256,270    | 2,988,144     | 282,249,501   | 261,915,317   | 260,085,839   | 278,241,439   |
| Payment of interest    | 64,564,284    | 76,017,933    | 78,679,422    | 54,755,602    | 38,056,311    | 24,291,123    | 25,494,924    | 21,096,687    | 18,319,560    | 20,871,505    |
| Capital outlay         | 126,834,552   | 250,955,186   | 281,306,187   | 357,686,901   | 353,465,560   | 117,775,230   | 100,581,754   | 26,762,147    | 21,807,865    |               |

| **Other financing uses:** |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |
| Payment to bond encrow agent | 549,186,565 |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |
| Transfers out           | 21,128,011    | 9,941,469     | 1,916,975     | 65,395,563    | 58,301,303    | 6,649,053     |               |               |               |               |
| **Total expenditures and other uses** | 2,256,920,219 | 1,966,460,678 | 2,090,655,517 | 2,153,395,814 | 2,039,879,780 | 1,689,925,599 | 1,677,069,288 | 1,574,347,635 | 1,595,099,369 | 1,372,938,776 |
| **Net change in fund balances** | ($135,456,779) | ($151,239,098) | ($366,421,411) | ($374,627,918) | ($177,221,908) | ($129,174,288) | ($100,219,519) | ($234,745,935) | $66,522,559 | $46,884,272 |

**Notes:**

Prior to 2001, auxiliary operations included Food Service and Athletics.
In 2001, capital outlay was included as a component of other expenditure groups and was not broken out separately.
In 2002, expenditure and revenue classifications were modified to conform with GASB No. 34.
Exhibit 4
Detroit Public Schools

## Detroit Public Schools

### Fund Balances, Governmental Funds (Unaudited)

#### Last Ten Fiscal Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Fund</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>$1,572,839</td>
<td>$2,589,003</td>
<td>$3,198,678</td>
<td>$1,595,185</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreserved (deficit)</td>
<td>(5,350,237)</td>
<td>(142,313,793)</td>
<td>(222,160,077)</td>
<td>(328,894,450)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonspendable</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>509,585</td>
<td>72,589</td>
<td>1,725,059</td>
<td>1,884,258</td>
<td>2,252,428</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7,089,577</td>
<td>199,967</td>
<td>925,808</td>
<td>925,808</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unassigned (deficit)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(284,438,905)</td>
<td>(3,465,550)</td>
<td>(95,805,950)</td>
<td>(172,270,377)</td>
<td>(219,110,153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total General Fund</strong></td>
<td>(3,777,418)</td>
<td>(139,724,752)</td>
<td>(218,969,419)</td>
<td>(327,399,266)</td>
<td>(283,929,315)</td>
<td>(76,303,384)</td>
<td>(93,681,926)</td>
<td>(169,440,307)</td>
<td>(215,931,917)</td>
<td>(251,587,254)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other governmental funds:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>86,603,515</td>
<td>6,176</td>
<td>35,261</td>
<td>5,925</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreserved (deficit), reported in:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special revenue funds</td>
<td>1,088,220</td>
<td>1,952,408</td>
<td>2,249,944</td>
<td>6,733,742</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt service funds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,657,109</td>
<td>20,729,314</td>
<td>12,740,999</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital project funds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59,075,388</td>
<td>47,370,808</td>
<td>292,671,361</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonspendable</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,900</td>
<td>27,063</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23,036</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>271,404,958</td>
<td>93,379,815</td>
<td>34,738,751</td>
<td>48,036,948</td>
<td>41,304,322</td>
<td>29,406,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unassigned (deficit)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(335,769)</td>
<td>(341,457)</td>
<td>(1,325,237)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total other governmental funds</strong></td>
<td>87,691,795</td>
<td>70,890,981</td>
<td>70,379,377</td>
<td>312,152,027</td>
<td>271,411,858</td>
<td>93,406,878</td>
<td>34,383,048</td>
<td>47,495,521</td>
<td>40,002,131</td>
<td>29,406,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total fund balance (deficit)</strong></td>
<td>$83,914,317</td>
<td>$68,833,771</td>
<td>$(148,890,042)</td>
<td>$(15,147,236)</td>
<td>$(12,517,457)</td>
<td>$17,103,494</td>
<td>$(59,498,856)</td>
<td>$(121,944,786)</td>
<td>$(175,929,786)</td>
<td>$(232,180,929)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** In 2011, the classification of fund balances changed due to the implementation of GASB Statement No. 54.

**Source:** District financial data
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The information shown in the figure below shows the current (2018) FCI for all School District facilities in order of "worst first". The farthest right point on the blue bar for each building indicates the current FCI.

Figure 2. Current Facility Condition: Detroit Public Schools Community District

2018 FCI %

- Palmer Park Preparatory Academy
- Nichols Elementary/Middle School
- Keidan Special Education Center
- Thurgood Marshall Elementary...
  - Early Intervention Center
- Chrysler Elementary School
- Carstens Academy of Aquatic...
- Dixon Educational Learning...
  - Pershing High School
- Pulaski Elementary School
- Thirkell Elementary School
- Noble Elementary School
- Fleming Early Learning...
- Carleton Elementary School
- Bagley Elementary School of...
- Pasteur Elementary School
- Drew Transition Center
- Burns Elementary/Middle School
- Cooke Elementary School
- Greenfield Union Elementary School
- Bow Elementary/Middle School
- Harms Elementary School
- Detroit International Academy for...
- Mann Elementary School
- Wayne Elementary School

Legend:
- 2018 FCI %
- Desired Minimum FCI (<60)
- Consider Renovation or Replacement (>20)
The information shown in the figure below shows the current (2018) FCI for all School District facilities in order of "worst first". The farthest right point on the blue bar for each building indicates the current FCI.

**Figure 3. Current Facility Condition: Detroit Public Schools Community District**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Name</th>
<th>2018 FCI %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mark Twain Elementary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardner Elementary School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmes, A.L Elementary School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osborn High School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis Aerospace Technical High School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field, Moses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cody High School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golightly Education Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampson Webber Academy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication and Media Arts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason Academy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davison Elementary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carver Elementary School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maybury Elementary School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederick Douglass Academy for...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dossin Elementary School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Lions Alternative Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verno Elementary School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nolan Elementary School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain Elementary School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewer Elementary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine C. Blackwell School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcus Garvey Academy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burton International Academy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marquette Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- **2018 FCI %**
- **Desired Minimum FCI (<60)**
- **Consider Renovation or Replacement (>20)**
The information shown in the figure below shows the current (2018) FCI for all School District facilities in order of “worst first”. The farthest right point on the blue bar for each building indicates the current FCI.

Figure 4. Current Facility Condition: Detroit Public Schools Community District

2018 FCI %
The information shown in the figure below shows the current (2018) FCI for all School District facilities in order of "worst first". The farthest right point on the blue bar for each building indicates the current FCI.

Figure 5. Current Facility Condition: Detroit Public Schools Community District

2018 FCI %

- Law Academy
- Schulze Elementary School
- Bethune - Fitzgerald
- Ford High School
- Adult Education East
- Ben Carson High School of...
- West Side Academy
- Denby High School
- Clippert Academy
- Hutchinson Elementary/Middle...
- Western International High School
- Bennett Elementary School
- Bunche Elementary-Middle School
- Fisher Magnet Upper Academy
- Munger Elementary/Middle School
- Mackenzie Elementary/Middle School
- Edward 'Duke' Ellington...
- Charles Wright School
- Renaissance High School (shared...)
- Mumford High School-Mumford...
- King High School
- Gompers Elementary/Middle School
- East English Village Prep...
- Earhart Elementary and Middle...
- Detroit School of Arts

2018 FCI %  Desired Minimum FCI (<60)  Consider Renovation or Replacement (>20)
The information shown in the figure below shows the forecast (2023) FCI for all School District facilities in order of "worst first". The farthest right point on the blue bar for each building indicates the forecast FCI.

Figure 6. Forecast Facility Condition: Detroit Public Schools Community District

2023 FCI %

Bagley Elementary School of...
Carleton Elementary School
Palmer Park Preparatory Academy
Keidan Special Education Center
Ann Arbor Trail Magnet Middle...
Carver Elementary School
Early Intervention Center
Breithaupt Career and Technical...
Chrysler Elementary School
Dixon Educational Learning...
Pulaski Elementary School
Coleman A. Young Elementary...
Wayne Elementary School
Davis Aerospace Technical High...
Osborn High School
Noble Elementary School
Thirkell Elementary School
Mark Twain Elementary
Thurgood Marshall Elementary...
Edison Elementary School
Foreign Language Immersion and...
Carstens Academy of Aquatic...
Ludington Magnet Middle School
Sampson Webber Academy
Mason Academy

2023 FCI % Desired Minimum FCI (<60) Consider Renovation or Replacement (>20)
The information shown in the figure below shows the forecast (2023) FCI for all School District facilities in order of "worst first". The farthest right point on the blue bar for each building indicates the forecast FCI.

*Figure 7. Forecast Facility Condition: Detroit Public Schools Community District*
The information shown in the figure below shows the forecast (2023) FCI for all School District facilities in order of "worst first". The farthest right point on the blue bar for each building indicates the forecast FCI.

Figure 8. Forecast Facility Condition: Detroit Public Schools Community District

2023 FCI %

- Mann Elementary School
- Randolph Career and Technical...
- Golightly Education Center
- Maybury Elementary School
- Catherine C. Blackwell School
- Academy of the Americas...
- J.E. Clark Preparatory Academy
- Neinas Elementary School
- Burton International Academy
- Holmes, A.L Elementary School
- Ford High School
- Priest Elementary School
- Bethune - Fitzgerald...
- Frederick Douglass Academy for...
- Marquette Elementary/Middle School
- Emerson Elementary School
- Bates Academy
- John R. King Academic and...
- Adult Education West
- Ben Carson High School of...
- Charles Wright School
- Cass Technical High School
- Brenda Scott Middle School
- Hutchinson Elementary/Middle...
- Fisher Magnet Lower Academy

Legend:
- 2023 FCI %
- Desired Minimum FCI (<60)
- Consider Renovation or Replacement (>20)
The information shown in the figure below shows the forecast (2023) FCI for all School District facilities in order of "worst first". The farthest right point on the blue bar for each building indicates the forecast FCI.

Figure 9. Forecast Facility Condition: Detroit Public Schools Community District

2023 FCI %

Edward 'Duke' Ellington...
Ronald Brown Academy
Marcus Garvey Academy
Southeastern High School
West Side Academy
Fisher Magnet Upper Academy
Roberto Clemente Learning...
Law Academy
Clippert Academy
Bennett Elementary School
Western International High School
Central High School
Schulze Elementary School
Detroit School of Arts
Bunche Elementary-Middle School
Renaissance High School (shared...)
Earhart Elementary and Middle...
Dentby High School
Adult Education East
Gompers Elementary/Middle School
King High School
Mumford High School-Mumford...
Munger Elementary/Middle School
Mackenzie Elementary/Middle School
East English Village Prep...
The following table summarizes findings by group. Please note the column labeled "Total Needs 2023" assumes no additional capital renewal funding is provided. A comprehensive list of expired systems and those expected to expire between now and the Year 2028 is shown in the Current and Forecasted Needs: Summarized by System - Detroit Public Schools Community District Table.

### Table 1. Facility Description: Summary of Findings: Detroit Public Schools Community District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Area (SF)</th>
<th>Total Needs 2018</th>
<th>Current Replacement Value</th>
<th>2018 FCI %</th>
<th>Total Needs 2023</th>
<th>Forecast Replacement Value</th>
<th>2023 FCI %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elementary School</td>
<td>2,377,329</td>
<td>$163,447,778</td>
<td>$461,039,809</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$269,570,215</td>
<td>$534,471,502</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>5,775,496</td>
<td>$179,545,074</td>
<td>$1,432,940,809</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$568,309,905</td>
<td>$1,661,171,126</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle School</td>
<td>4,394,119</td>
<td>$189,174,302</td>
<td>$1,011,208,357</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$529,902,076</td>
<td>$1,172,267,628</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td>12,546,944</td>
<td>$472,167,154</td>
<td><strong>$2,905,188,975</strong></td>
<td><strong>16</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,397,782,195</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,367,910,256</strong></td>
<td><strong>42</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site and Infrastructure (excluded from FCI calculations)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$36,127,751</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$83,547,840</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviated Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,957,907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portables</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,391,845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>12,546,944</td>
<td>$526,644,657</td>
<td>$2,905,188,975</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,502,602,428</td>
<td>$3,367,910,256</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The average FCI for the Detroit Public Schools Community District facilities assessed is 16 while the average FCI in 5 years is estimated to be 41 assuming current sustainment levels.
The Figure below shows the current and forecasted needs respectively for all facilities. Needs are grouped as follows:

- Site
- Roofing
- Portable
- Plumbing
- Other
- Interiors
- HVAC
- Exterior Enclosure
- Electrical
- Accessibility

Figure 10. Comparison of 2018 Current Needs vs. 2023 Forecasted Needs by System Group: Detroit Public Schools Community District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accessibility</th>
<th>Electrical</th>
<th>Exterior Enclosure</th>
<th>HVAC</th>
<th>Interiors</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Plumbing</th>
<th>Portable</th>
<th>Roofing</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$13,957,907</td>
<td>$58,777,566</td>
<td>$64,538,045</td>
<td>$107,792,002</td>
<td>$125,185,004</td>
<td>$51,786,677</td>
<td>$29,069,043</td>
<td>$4,391,845</td>
<td>$35,018,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>$16,181,041</td>
<td>$208,276,011</td>
<td>$156,889,170</td>
<td>$350,181,155</td>
<td>$374,363,207</td>
<td>$161,314,886</td>
<td>$81,852,318</td>
<td>$5,091,352</td>
<td>$64,905,452</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following Figures show the current and forecasted needs respectively for all School District facilities grouped by location.

Figure 11. Comparison of 2018 Current Needs vs. 2023 Forecasted Needs by Group: Detroit Public Schools Community District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portables</td>
<td>$4,391,845</td>
<td>$5,091,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle School</td>
<td>$189,174,303</td>
<td>$529,902,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>$179,545,073</td>
<td>$598,309,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary School</td>
<td>$103,447,778</td>
<td>$269,570,215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 12. Comparison of 2018 Current Needs vs. 2023 Forecasted Needs by Priority: Detroit Public Schools Community District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$0</th>
<th>$100,000,000</th>
<th>$200,000,000</th>
<th>$300,000,000</th>
<th>$400,000,000</th>
<th>$500,000,000</th>
<th>$600,000,000</th>
<th>$700,000,000</th>
<th>$800,000,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$118,519,398</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>$180,564,517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$227,560,742</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$354,694,552</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>$444,471,517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$703,436,362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Forecasted Needs (2023) include Current Needs (2018)
Figure 13. Current and Forecasted Needs: Summarized by Reporting Period (Current +10 Years): Detroit Public Schools Community District
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cumulative Needs by Year</strong></td>
<td>$526,644,657</td>
<td>$542,775,745</td>
<td>$575,336,591</td>
<td>$972,866,266</td>
<td>$1,010,780,378</td>
<td>$1,502,602,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Needs by Year</strong></td>
<td>$526,644,657</td>
<td>$331,748</td>
<td>$16,277,573</td>
<td>$380,269,578</td>
<td>$8,728,124</td>
<td>$461,498,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE</td>
<td>$64,538,845</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$112,585</td>
<td>$34,605,423</td>
<td>$61,562</td>
<td>$45,172,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior Walls</td>
<td>$6,308,239</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,129,325</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$19,375,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior Walls - Finishes</td>
<td>$1,319,562</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$38,783</td>
<td>$1,514,130</td>
<td>$61,562</td>
<td>$5,288,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior Windows</td>
<td>$55,253,478</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$24,993,655</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$16,088,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior Doors</td>
<td>$1,656,766</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$73,802</td>
<td>$3,966,113</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,440,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ROOFING</strong></td>
<td>$35,018,818</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$388,024</td>
<td>$11,942,579</td>
<td>$345,871</td>
<td>$10,312,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Coverings - Built-up</td>
<td>$17,353,409</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$468,258</td>
<td>$6,515,616</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,635,321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Coverings - Composition Shingles</td>
<td>$3,683,026</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$875,379</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,568,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Coverings - Metal</td>
<td>$665,204</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Coverings - Modified Btumen</td>
<td>$5,178,306</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$419,766</td>
<td>$2,727,279</td>
<td>$345,871</td>
<td>$5,495,513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Coverings - Single - Ply</td>
<td>$7,448,172</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,366,707</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Openings</td>
<td>$670,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$457,599</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$612,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERIOR CONSTRUCTION</strong></td>
<td>$38,662,186</td>
<td>$122,678</td>
<td>$1,166,653</td>
<td>$22,938,188</td>
<td>$893,051</td>
<td>$34,181,523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior Doors</td>
<td>$6,665,606</td>
<td>$97,138</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,785,146</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,694,599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fittings - Casework</td>
<td>$9,070,472</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,225,583</td>
<td>$39,266</td>
<td>$5,924,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fittings - Lockers</td>
<td>$21,104,603</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,175,449</td>
<td>$9,656,397</td>
<td>$339,076</td>
<td>$18,450,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fittings - Toilet Partitions</td>
<td>$1,721,475</td>
<td>$25,540</td>
<td>$11,405</td>
<td>$3,271,062</td>
<td>$514,709</td>
<td>$4,111,392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERIOR FINISHES</strong></td>
<td>$38,622,848</td>
<td>$209,070</td>
<td>$1,027,034</td>
<td>$75,306,688</td>
<td>$1,298,984</td>
<td>$54,796,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall Finishes</td>
<td>$14,999,680</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,300,183</td>
<td>$24,176,746</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$36,291,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor Finishes</td>
<td>$38,711,685</td>
<td>$209,070</td>
<td>$628,851</td>
<td>$31,069,634</td>
<td>$126,460</td>
<td>$37,393,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceiling Finishes</td>
<td>$32,911,483</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$20,058,317</td>
<td>$1,172,523</td>
<td>$11,111,488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONVEYING</strong></td>
<td>$758,045</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$316,891</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$756,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveying Systems - Chair Lifts</td>
<td>$33,045</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveying Systems - Elevators</td>
<td>$725,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$316,891</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$756,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PLUMBING</strong></td>
<td>$29,069,043</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$19,938,589</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$27,166,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbing Fixtures</td>
<td>$14,849,939</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$15,150,310</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$19,786,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Water Distribution</td>
<td>$5,977,448</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,932,585</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,435,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Waste</td>
<td>$5,833,050</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,938,045</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,294,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rain Water Drainage</td>
<td>$2,408,606</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$817,650</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,587,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HVAC</strong></td>
<td>$107,792,002</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$108,724,653</td>
<td>$3,214,625</td>
<td>$106,563,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Supply</td>
<td>$10,580,765</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,432,706</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$22,861,659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Generating System</td>
<td>$42,838,841</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$44,743,840</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$41,566,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling Generating Systems</td>
<td>$11,713,443</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$9,293,448</td>
<td>$1,027,703</td>
<td>$12,126,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution Systems</td>
<td>$32,289,440</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$34,120,156</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$23,496,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal and Packaged Units</td>
<td>$10,369,513</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$18,134,503</td>
<td>$2,187,122</td>
<td>$6,491,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FIRE PROTECTION</strong></td>
<td>$33,572,682</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$145,132</td>
<td>$6,718,428</td>
<td>$305,690</td>
<td>$19,682,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection - Activation Devices</td>
<td>$2,498,842</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,374,848</td>
<td>$53,959</td>
<td>$3,540,890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection - Notification Device Ctrl Panel</td>
<td>$1,779,361</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,272,451</td>
<td>$43,300</td>
<td>$3,177,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection - Sprinklers and Standpipe</td>
<td>$15,439,554</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,087,265</td>
<td>$167,872</td>
<td>$7,235,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection - Wiring</td>
<td>$13,854,936</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$145,132</td>
<td>$1,983,664</td>
<td>$40,558</td>
<td>$5,827,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTRICAL</td>
<td>$58,777,586</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$9,721,887</td>
<td>$41,129,441</td>
<td>$2,608,142</td>
<td>$83,192,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Service/Distribution</td>
<td>$955,839</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$247,683</td>
<td>$1,569,638</td>
<td>$157,150</td>
<td>$1,938,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting - Branch Wiring</td>
<td>$42,895,876</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$543,518</td>
<td>$6,318,598</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$16,300,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting - Light Fixtures</td>
<td>$7,387,150</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$8,047,936</td>
<td>$27,931,722</td>
<td>$583,557</td>
<td>$38,111,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications and Security - Central Clock</td>
<td>$1,857,873</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$715,919</td>
<td>$58,869</td>
<td>$1,731,733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications and Security - LAN</td>
<td>$628,215</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$70,279</td>
<td>$119,505</td>
<td>$10,913,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications and Security - Public Add Intecom</td>
<td>$1,835,151</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$332,941</td>
<td>$2,458,607</td>
<td>$116,414</td>
<td>$4,251,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications and Security - Security System</td>
<td>$1,227,228</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$302,760</td>
<td>$1,917,775</td>
<td>$1,573,648</td>
<td>$8,826,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Electrical Systems - Emergency Power</td>
<td>$1,890,235</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$247,049</td>
<td>$147,402</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,118,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>$9,469,050</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,129,293</td>
<td>$19,840,724</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$41,374,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Equipment - Kitchen Equipment</td>
<td>$9,469,050</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,129,293</td>
<td>$19,840,724</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$41,374,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIXED FURNISHINGS</td>
<td>$805,074</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$168,765</td>
<td>$673,203</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$822,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Furnishings - Fixed Seating</td>
<td>$805,074</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$168,765</td>
<td>$673,203</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$822,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>$7,181,816</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,226,948</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,612,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Construction</td>
<td>$7,181,816</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,226,948</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,612,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SITWORK</td>
<td>$36,127,750</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$35,007,313</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,526,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadways</td>
<td>$24,725,538</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$23,611,761</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,298,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Paving</td>
<td>$2,185,612</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,694,641</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,227,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lots</td>
<td>$9,216,801</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$8,700,911</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PORTABLE</td>
<td>$4,391,845</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable</td>
<td>$4,391,845</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESSIBILITY</td>
<td>$13,997,907</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>$690,857</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramps</td>
<td>$173,377</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entrances/Exit</td>
<td>$126,130</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paths of Travel</td>
<td>$12,395</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevators/Lifts</td>
<td>$11,144,571</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toilet Rooms</td>
<td>$1,008,516</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guestrooms</td>
<td>$5,857</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to Goods and Services</td>
<td>$42,291</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Access</td>
<td>$751,913</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Table 3. Current and Forecasted Needs Summarized by System (Years 6 - 10): Detroit Public Schools Community District</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Cumulative Needs by Year</td>
<td>$1,589,841,712</td>
<td>$1,704,547,555</td>
<td>$1,794,880,289</td>
<td>$1,872,547,342</td>
<td>$1,993,838,416</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Needs by Year</strong></td>
<td>$42,161,208</td>
<td>$67,010,592</td>
<td>$39,204,318</td>
<td>$23,812,393</td>
<td>$65,114,655</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior Walls</td>
<td>$633,711</td>
<td>$3,356,822</td>
<td>$2,521,273</td>
<td>$1,308,188</td>
<td>$19,651,291</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior Walls - Finishes</td>
<td>$633,711</td>
<td>$275,972</td>
<td>$266,877</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$53,668</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior Windows</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$535,289</td>
<td>$1,823,368</td>
<td>$634,827</td>
<td>$5,427,737</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior Doors</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$160,878</td>
<td>$431,027</td>
<td>$673,361</td>
<td>$913,731</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROOFING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Coverings - Built-up</td>
<td>$2,467,293</td>
<td>$4,581,076</td>
<td>$1,747,789</td>
<td>$2,784,492</td>
<td>$3,700,007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Coverings - Composition Shingles</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,473,642</td>
<td>$736,233</td>
<td>$2,569,132</td>
<td>$1,451,935</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Coverings - Metal</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$219,468</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Coverings - Modified Bitumen</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Coverings - Single - Ply</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Openings</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$58,267</td>
<td>$67,757</td>
<td>$145,009</td>
<td>$152,407</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERIOR CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior Doors</td>
<td>$6,933,644</td>
<td>$7,273,136</td>
<td>$2,669,761</td>
<td>$1,286,811</td>
<td>$2,128,434</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fittings - Casework</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$401,659</td>
<td>$1,096,629</td>
<td>$1,286,811</td>
<td>$1,205,612</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fittings - Lockers</td>
<td>$6,028,532</td>
<td>$5,821,734</td>
<td>$640,353</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$164,827</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fittings - Toilet Partitions</td>
<td>$925,312</td>
<td>$1,049,743</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$475,812</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERIOR FINISHES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall Finishes</td>
<td>$10,138,533</td>
<td>$8,483,473</td>
<td>$2,901,073</td>
<td>$72,681</td>
<td>$1,952,998</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor Finishes</td>
<td>$7,589,504</td>
<td>$2,227,074</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$338,478</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceiling Finishes</td>
<td>$2,549,029</td>
<td>$6,226,399</td>
<td>$441,315</td>
<td>$72,681</td>
<td>$687,259</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVEYING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveying Systems - Chair Lifts</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$10,465</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$97,434</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveying Systems - Elevators</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$97,434</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUMBING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbing Fixtures</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$38,953</td>
<td>$521,453</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,071,009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Water Distribution</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$521,453</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$683,117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Waste</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$143,118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rain Water Drainage</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$38,953</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$128,806</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Supply</td>
<td>$196,407</td>
<td>$10,009,298</td>
<td>$14,586,012</td>
<td>$1,195,997</td>
<td>$15,065,003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Generating System</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,122,867</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,744,444</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling Generating Systems</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,272,365</td>
<td>$1,358,018</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,716,078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution Systems</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$7,329,491</td>
<td>$1,191,390</td>
<td>$2,072,262</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal and Packaged Units</td>
<td>$196,407</td>
<td>$7,614,066</td>
<td>$5,898,503</td>
<td>$4,607</td>
<td>$2,604,806</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRE PROTECTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection - Activation Devices</td>
<td>$4,977,783</td>
<td>$6,988,385</td>
<td>$191,674</td>
<td>$19,783</td>
<td>$1,314,509</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection - Notification Device Ctrl Panel</td>
<td>$871,515</td>
<td>$1,165,566</td>
<td>$8,978</td>
<td>$10,975</td>
<td>$133,587</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection - Sprinklers and Standpipe</td>
<td>$699,364</td>
<td>$1,179,551</td>
<td>$138,692</td>
<td>$8,607</td>
<td>$218,813</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection - Sprinklers and Standpipe</td>
<td>$2,556,278</td>
<td>$2,587,099</td>
<td>$24,164</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$359,530</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection - Wiring</td>
<td>$850,627</td>
<td>$2,058,169</td>
<td>$19,841</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$602,578</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ELECTRICAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$16,823,637</strong></td>
<td><strong>$16,852,980</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,133,729</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,313,535</strong></td>
<td><strong>$9,296,917</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Service/Distribution</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$164,513</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$60,440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting - Branch Wiring</td>
<td>$3,179,759</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,091,511</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting - Light Fixtures</td>
<td>$9,425,270</td>
<td>$10,502,250</td>
<td>$96,876</td>
<td>$118,425</td>
<td>$2,892,273</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications and Security - Central Clock</td>
<td>$272,697</td>
<td>$647,261</td>
<td>$4,877</td>
<td>$5,962</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications and Security - LAN</td>
<td>$2,329,398</td>
<td>$2,638,350</td>
<td>$414,235</td>
<td>$1,805,718</td>
<td>$102,643</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications and Security - Public Add Intercom</td>
<td>$968,350</td>
<td>$1,588,009</td>
<td>$9,976</td>
<td>$12,195</td>
<td>$182,768</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications and Security - Security System</td>
<td>$648,163</td>
<td>$1,003,272</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$967,282</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Electrical Systems - Emergency Power</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$472,839</td>
<td>$423,252</td>
<td>$371,235</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EQUIPMENT</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$9,139,048</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,338,977</strong></td>
<td><strong>$14,491,633</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,936,253</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Equipment - Kitchen Equipment</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$9,139,048</td>
<td>$12,338,977</td>
<td>$14,491,633</td>
<td>$10,936,253</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FIXED FURNISHINGS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$357,420</strong></td>
<td><strong>$582,100</strong></td>
<td><strong>$337,073</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Furnishings - Fixed Seating</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$357,420</td>
<td>$582,100</td>
<td>$337,073</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Construction</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SITEWORK</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadways</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Paving</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lots</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PORTABLE</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACCESSIBILITY</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramps</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entrances/Exit</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paths of Travel</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevators/Lifts</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toilet Rooms</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guestrooms</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to Goods and Services</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Access</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following table provides an overall summary of findings for the portfolio or buildings included in this project.

**Table 4. Facility Description: Summary of Findings: Detroit Public Schools Community District**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campus Name</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Area (SF)</th>
<th>Total Building Needs 2018</th>
<th>Current Replacement Value ($)</th>
<th>2018 FC1 %</th>
<th>Total Building Needs 2023</th>
<th>2023 FC1 %</th>
<th>Forecast Replacement Value ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academy of the Americas Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97,929</td>
<td>$3,242,379</td>
<td>22,759,414</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$12,318,269</td>
<td>26,384,398</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Education Center-East</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>69,950</td>
<td>$528,123</td>
<td>15,566,425</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1,110,950</td>
<td>18,045,753</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Education Center-West</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>48,742</td>
<td>$946,448</td>
<td>11,163,133</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$5,023,113</td>
<td>12,941,130</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Arbor Trail Magnet Middle School</td>
<td>10-72</td>
<td>44,863</td>
<td>$1,665,617</td>
<td>8,828,969</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$7,758,989</td>
<td>10,235,195</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagley Elementary School of Journalism and Technology</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>65,022</td>
<td>$4,678,008</td>
<td>11,744,599</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$10,811,889</td>
<td>13,615,209</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bates Academy</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>128,190</td>
<td>$4,380,413</td>
<td>29,786,549</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$13,600,544</td>
<td>34,530,774</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Carson High School of Science and Medicine</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>92,464</td>
<td>$822,698</td>
<td>21,777,268</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$9,673,328</td>
<td>25,245,822</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett Elementary School</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>67,144</td>
<td>$247,845</td>
<td>12,153,903</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2,564,577</td>
<td>14,089,705</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethune-Fitzgerald Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>179,354</td>
<td>$1,838,693</td>
<td>41,585,979</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$20,018,557</td>
<td>48,209,547</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bow Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>59,100</td>
<td>$3,714,066</td>
<td>11,033,334</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>$7,591,456</td>
<td>12,790,658</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breithaupt Career and Technical Center</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>150,361</td>
<td>$3,751,507</td>
<td>31,468,678</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$26,263,682</td>
<td>36,480,822</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Scott Middle School</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>147,620</td>
<td>$2,562,499</td>
<td>31,933,541</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$13,250,679</td>
<td>37,019,727</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewer Academy</td>
<td>18-90</td>
<td>54,287</td>
<td>$1,758,893</td>
<td>10,725,318</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$7,812,947</td>
<td>12,433,583</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunche Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>113,400</td>
<td>$377,170</td>
<td>26,649,820</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,465,751</td>
<td>30,894,445</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burns Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>85,370</td>
<td>$4,425,415</td>
<td>12,286,157</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$7,315,435</td>
<td>14,243,024</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burton International Academy</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>114,200</td>
<td>$3,307,089</td>
<td>28,822,653</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$14,925,713</td>
<td>33,413,354</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carleton Elementary School</td>
<td>15-73</td>
<td>56,234</td>
<td>$4,421,920</td>
<td>11,027,493</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$9,808,945</td>
<td>12,783,887</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carstens Academy of Aquatic Science at Remus</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>128,000</td>
<td>$12,872,800</td>
<td>26,765,300</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$20,835,610</td>
<td>31,028,318</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carver Elementary School</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>67,102</td>
<td>$3,355,436</td>
<td>13,353,663</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$11,206,795</td>
<td>15,480,566</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass Technical High School</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>402,484</td>
<td>$7,453,501</td>
<td>105,939,743</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$46,217,478</td>
<td>122,412,088</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine C. Blackwell Institute</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>57,044</td>
<td>$2,562,378</td>
<td>13,136,757</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$7,280,974</td>
<td>15,229,101</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central High School</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>229,545</td>
<td>$3,637,544</td>
<td>52,853,226</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$8,909,836</td>
<td>61,271,375</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Wright Academy of Arts and Science</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>94,991</td>
<td>$11,874</td>
<td>19,298,678</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$8,527,877</td>
<td>22,372,458</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Name</td>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>Area (SF)</td>
<td>Total Building Needs 2015</td>
<td>Current Replacement Value ($)</td>
<td>2018 FCI %</td>
<td>Total Building Needs 2023</td>
<td>Forecast Replacement Value ($)</td>
<td>2023 FCI %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysler Elementary School</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>23,066</td>
<td>$2,286,449</td>
<td>4,462,880</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>$3,727,801</td>
<td>5,196,887</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clipper Academy</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>45,818</td>
<td>$163,879</td>
<td>8,769,140</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2,286,116</td>
<td>10,165,837</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cody High School</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>380,956</td>
<td>$25,500,188</td>
<td>89,359,479</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>$66,982,205</td>
<td>103,592,127</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleman A. Young Elementary School</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>67,800</td>
<td>$1,387,612</td>
<td>13,564,700</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$11,004,660</td>
<td>15,725,205</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication and Media Arts High School</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>79,450</td>
<td>$5,127,802</td>
<td>19,093,821</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>$11,993,825</td>
<td>22,134,972</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooke Elementary School</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>45,184</td>
<td>$2,987,961</td>
<td>8,339,837</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$5,240,084</td>
<td>9,668,157</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis Aerospace Technical High School</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>132,668</td>
<td>$9,704,498</td>
<td>33,855,215</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>$27,260,028</td>
<td>39,247,473</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davison Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>91-102</td>
<td>110,386</td>
<td>$6,346,692</td>
<td>24,895,883</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$16,491,263</td>
<td>28,861,152</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denby High School</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>214,802</td>
<td>$1,330,418</td>
<td>52,224,063</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$6,296,758</td>
<td>60,542,003</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Collegiate Preparatory High School</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>388,059</td>
<td>$15,350,644</td>
<td>91,300,320</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$64,209,739</td>
<td>105,842,094</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit International Academy for Young Women</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>304,087</td>
<td>$24,092,987</td>
<td>75,299,315</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>$55,341,999</td>
<td>87,292,544</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Lions Alternative Education</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>32,241</td>
<td>$1,429,405</td>
<td>6,555,804</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$4,057,415</td>
<td>7,599,974</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit School of Arts</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>305,634</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>77,077,607</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$10,676,125</td>
<td>69,354,071</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixon Educational Learning Academy</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>93,258</td>
<td>$9,395,277</td>
<td>19,595,837</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$16,128,626</td>
<td>22,716,946</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dossin Elementary School</td>
<td>18-69</td>
<td>52,260</td>
<td>$1,831,609</td>
<td>9,916,509</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$6,007,937</td>
<td>11,498,270</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drew Transition Center</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>139,000</td>
<td>$12,207,944</td>
<td>32,977,324</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>$22,833,909</td>
<td>38,229,756</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earhart Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>104,450</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>26,990,732</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$3,431,280</td>
<td>31,289,655</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Intervention Center</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>61,152</td>
<td>$6,341,121</td>
<td>12,416,648</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>$10,398,614</td>
<td>14,394,299</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East English Village Preparatory Academy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>238,440</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>60,984,979</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1,924,566</td>
<td>70,688,304</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edison Elementary School</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>44,263</td>
<td>$1,214,466</td>
<td>8,154,904</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$6,311,877</td>
<td>9,453,769</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward 'Duke' Ellington Conservatory of Music and Art</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>86,000</td>
<td>$40,883</td>
<td>21,867,138</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$8,389,708</td>
<td>25,350,006</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerson Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>13-71</td>
<td>128,805</td>
<td>$3,381,606</td>
<td>24,377,701</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$11,400,860</td>
<td>28,260,437</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moses Field Center</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>53,742</td>
<td>$3,268,588</td>
<td>10,909,626</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$6,085,048</td>
<td>12,847,247</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher Magnet Lower Academy</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>95,098</td>
<td>$1,476,396</td>
<td>19,320,335</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$7,680,331</td>
<td>22,397,564</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher Magnet Upper Academy</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>147,620</td>
<td>$368,865</td>
<td>34,515,937</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$11,932,823</td>
<td>40,013,430</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleming Early Learning Neighborhood Center</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>62,548</td>
<td>$4,966,233</td>
<td>12,329,775</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$8,540,713</td>
<td>14,293,588</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Name</td>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>Area (SF)</td>
<td>Total Building Needs 2018</td>
<td>Current Replacement Value ($)</td>
<td>2018 FCI %</td>
<td>Total Building Needs 2023</td>
<td>Forecast Replacement Value ($)</td>
<td>2023 FCI %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford High School</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>270,216</td>
<td>$2,787,583</td>
<td>70,569,742</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$34,954,298</td>
<td>81,809,672</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Language Immersion and Cultural Studies School</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>131,103</td>
<td>$5,032,716</td>
<td>33,915,101</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$26,187,922</td>
<td>39,316,897</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederick Douglass Academy for Young Men</td>
<td>15-53</td>
<td>236,067</td>
<td>$13,133,746</td>
<td>58,016,318</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$28,260,468</td>
<td>68,416,087</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardner Elementary School</td>
<td>15-93</td>
<td>35,634</td>
<td>$1,465,430</td>
<td>6,459,084</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>$3,309,049</td>
<td>7,487,849</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golightly Education Center</td>
<td>16-99</td>
<td>107,134</td>
<td>$5,435,324</td>
<td>19,883,075</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>$11,305,945</td>
<td>23,061,526</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gompers Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>87,748</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>23,075,125</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1,674,631</td>
<td>26,750,394</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenfield Union Elementary School</td>
<td>16-104</td>
<td>75,285</td>
<td>$4,888,395</td>
<td>14,385,749</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>$9,349,051</td>
<td>16,677,026</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harms Elementary School</td>
<td>15-103</td>
<td>55,497</td>
<td>$2,836,693</td>
<td>10,037,120</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>$5,730,162</td>
<td>11,635,773</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson Academy</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>109,000</td>
<td>$2,818,725</td>
<td>25,864,338</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$17,441,276</td>
<td>29,883,856</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.L. Holmes Academy of Blended Learning</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>102,217</td>
<td>$7,520,999</td>
<td>24,460,528</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>$12,514,671</td>
<td>28,356,456</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutchinson Elementary/Middle School at Howe</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>98,174</td>
<td>$515,659</td>
<td>24,166,854</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$9,896,114</td>
<td>28,016,007</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.E. Clark Preparatory Academy</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>61,202</td>
<td>$1,443,679</td>
<td>14,647,169</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$7,925,990</td>
<td>16,980,083</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John R. King Academic and Performing Arts Academy</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>187,551</td>
<td>$6,867,654</td>
<td>41,560,988</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$18,820,249</td>
<td>48,180,575</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kedan Special Education Center</td>
<td>11-55</td>
<td>77,550</td>
<td>$7,916,713</td>
<td>14,994,340</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>$12,733,445</td>
<td>17,382,549</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King High School</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>306,444</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>84,804,866</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$5,848,346</td>
<td>98,312,083</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Academy</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>125,995</td>
<td>$1,560,763</td>
<td>30,943,236</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$10,059,523</td>
<td>35,871,892</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludington Magnet Middle and Honors School</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>95,591</td>
<td>$2,437,212</td>
<td>19,076,379</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$14,652,391</td>
<td>22,114,752</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackenzie Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>111,774</td>
<td>$64,270</td>
<td>28,559,501</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$976,684</td>
<td>33,108,289</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mann Elementary School</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>44,909</td>
<td>$3,282,006</td>
<td>10,260,584</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>$5,919,757</td>
<td>11,894,829</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcus Garvey Academy</td>
<td>6-56</td>
<td>135,600</td>
<td>$6,161,709</td>
<td>32,273,909</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$11,754,046</td>
<td>37,414,295</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Twain School for Scholars</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>120,132</td>
<td>$6,563,000</td>
<td>21,010,380</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>$16,386,546</td>
<td>24,356,788</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marquette Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>16-69</td>
<td>92,618</td>
<td>$3,424,514</td>
<td>18,921,889</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$8,988,569</td>
<td>21,935,655</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason Academy</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>96,304</td>
<td>$5,845,282</td>
<td>21,785,197</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>$16,506,378</td>
<td>25,255,014</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maybury Elementary School</td>
<td>15-109</td>
<td>56,597</td>
<td>$1,890,044</td>
<td>10,331,870</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$5,631,123</td>
<td>11,977,469</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumford High School/Mumford Academy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>240,273</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>59,350,104</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$3,544,450</td>
<td>68,803,036</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Name</td>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>Area (GF)</td>
<td>Total Building Needs 2018</td>
<td>Current Replacement Value ($)</td>
<td>2018 FCI %</td>
<td>Total Building Needs 2023</td>
<td>Forecast Replacement Value ($)</td>
<td>2023 FCI %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munger Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>115,691</td>
<td>$66,522</td>
<td>29,507,183</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1,010,911</td>
<td>34,206,912</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neinas Elementary School</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>60,365</td>
<td>$977,385</td>
<td>11,914,542</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$5,536,172</td>
<td>13,812,220</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nichols Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>51,904</td>
<td>$6,605,762</td>
<td>10,077,810</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>$7,487,825</td>
<td>11,682,944</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble Elementary School</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>143,605</td>
<td>$14,279,004</td>
<td>34,953,457</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>$27,706,235</td>
<td>40,520,637</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nolan Elementary School</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>112,432</td>
<td>$5,749,070</td>
<td>27,402,489</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>$20,308,035</td>
<td>31,766,995</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osborn High School</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>201,884</td>
<td>$13,993,463</td>
<td>50,327,736</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>$39,327,249</td>
<td>58,343,640</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmer Park Preparatory Academy</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>165,500</td>
<td>$25,455,650</td>
<td>38,718,753</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>$33,403,897</td>
<td>44,865,646</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasteur Elementary School</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>56,541</td>
<td>$4,231,528</td>
<td>11,254,486</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>$8,035,511</td>
<td>13,047,034</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Robeson Malcolm X Academy</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>54,427</td>
<td>$1,714,178</td>
<td>12,984,241</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$9,708,814</td>
<td>15,052,294</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pershing High School</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>249,694</td>
<td>$28,041,977</td>
<td>62,027,827</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>$43,027,450</td>
<td>71,907,252</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priest Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>15-95</td>
<td>117,502</td>
<td>$4,613,758</td>
<td>28,424,400</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$13,839,521</td>
<td>32,951,670</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulaski Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>60,966</td>
<td>$5,116,953</td>
<td>11,598,019</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>$9,444,121</td>
<td>13,445,283</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randolph Career and Technical Center</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>122,883</td>
<td>$2,036,170</td>
<td>27,552,211</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$15,855,427</td>
<td>31,940,564</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renaissance High School</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>295,523</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>75,897,194</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$9,793,853</td>
<td>87,985,649</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberto Clemente Learning Academy</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>94,088</td>
<td>$1,444,604</td>
<td>20,016,804</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$7,573,402</td>
<td>23,204,962</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Brown Academy</td>
<td>17-91</td>
<td>121,992</td>
<td>$3,396,752</td>
<td>30,799,275</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$11,629,089</td>
<td>35,704,801</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampson Webber Academy</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>145,118</td>
<td>$9,600,826</td>
<td>35,171,162</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>$26,980,974</td>
<td>40,773,016</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schulze Elementary School</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>94,991</td>
<td>$860,737</td>
<td>19,271,368</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$3,017,167</td>
<td>22,340,788</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeastern High School</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>378,761</td>
<td>$8,363,595</td>
<td>99,015,038</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$34,743,609</td>
<td>114,785,566</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>51-106</td>
<td>141,738</td>
<td>$7,440,324</td>
<td>36,232,031</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>$24,801,315</td>
<td>42,002,854</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thirkell Elementary School</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>68,701</td>
<td>$5,880,252</td>
<td>13,911,094</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>$10,960,708</td>
<td>16,126,770</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thurgood Marshall Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>90,905</td>
<td>$11,133,931</td>
<td>21,103,800</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>$16,355,831</td>
<td>24,464,857</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verner Elementary School</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>44,608</td>
<td>$1,897,792</td>
<td>8,886,471</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>$5,746,596</td>
<td>10,301,856</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne Elementary School</td>
<td>18-89</td>
<td>47,066</td>
<td>$2,537,595</td>
<td>8,934,686</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>$7,032,531</td>
<td>10,357,692</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Side Academy</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>74,557</td>
<td>$464,023</td>
<td>17,420,786</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$6,001,666</td>
<td>20,195,477</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western International High School</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>312,500</td>
<td>$798,338</td>
<td>71,930,806</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$13,544,308</td>
<td>83,387,519</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>12,432,744</strong></td>
<td><strong>$472,167,154</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,909,883,614</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,397,782,199</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,373,468,560</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following table illustrates the current estimated needs by campus.

**Table 5. Summary of Current Deficiencies: Detroit Public Schools Community District**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Year Built</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Building System</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Abbreviated Accessibility</th>
<th>Portables</th>
<th>Current Estimated Needs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academy of the Americas Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$3,242,379</td>
<td>$233,555</td>
<td>$306,118</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,782,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Education Center-East</td>
<td>1926</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>$528,123</td>
<td>$110,139</td>
<td>$9,578</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$647,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Education Center-West</td>
<td>1939</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>$946,448</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$7,082</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$953,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Arbor Trail Magnet Middle School</td>
<td>1946</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>$1,665,617</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$294,239</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,959,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagley Elementary School of Journalism and Technology</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>$4,678,008</td>
<td>$355,064</td>
<td>$268,529</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,301,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bates Academy</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>$4,380,413</td>
<td>$221,829</td>
<td>$50,771</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,653,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Carson High School of Science and Medicine</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>$822,698</td>
<td>$880,652</td>
<td>$8,891</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,712,241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett Elementary School</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>$247,645</td>
<td>$21,716</td>
<td>$247,423</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$516,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethune-Fitzgerald Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>$1,838,693</td>
<td>$108,588</td>
<td>$34,207</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,981,487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bow Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>1949</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>$3,714,066</td>
<td>$375,884</td>
<td>$312,172</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,402,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breithaupt Career and Technical Center</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>$3,751,507</td>
<td>$391,156</td>
<td>$26,626</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,169,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Scott Middle School</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$2,562,499</td>
<td>$2,321,813</td>
<td>$1,705</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,886,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewer Academy</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>$1,759,893</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$257,714</td>
<td>$546,695</td>
<td>$2,864,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunche Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>1922</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>$377,170</td>
<td>$655,433</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,050,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burns Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>$4,425,415</td>
<td>$93,075</td>
<td>$340,763</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,859,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burton International Academy</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>$3,307,089</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$250,131</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,557,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carleton Elementary School</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>$4,421,920</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$272,548</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,694,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carstens Academy of Aquatic Science at Remus</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>$12,872,800</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$274,024</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$13,146,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carver Elementary School</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>$3,355,436</td>
<td>$100,213</td>
<td>$26,572</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,482,221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass Technical High School</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$7,453,501</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,489</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$7,458,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine C. Blackwell Institute</td>
<td>1949</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>$2,562,378</td>
<td>$77,563</td>
<td>$289,901</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,929,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central High School</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>$3,637,544</td>
<td>$2,332,649</td>
<td>$119,657</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,089,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Wright Academy of Arts and Science</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$11,874</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,307</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$16,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysler Elementary School</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>$2,286,449</td>
<td>$337,351</td>
<td>$15,351</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,335,531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clippert Academy</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>$163,879</td>
<td>$58,787</td>
<td>$263,889</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$476,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cody High School</td>
<td>1947</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>$25,500,188</td>
<td>$1,165,848</td>
<td>$13,553</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$26,709,569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleman A. Young Elementary School</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$1,387,612</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$15,124</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,402,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication and Media Arts High School</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$5,127,802</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$269,745</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,417,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooke Elementary School</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>$2,987,961</td>
<td>$209,238</td>
<td>$252,552</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,449,751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis Aerospace Technical High School at Goliadly</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$9,704,498</td>
<td>$1,570,000</td>
<td>$20,719</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$11,295,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawson Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>$6,436,692</td>
<td>$64,232</td>
<td>$335,712</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,746,636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denby High School</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>$1,330,418</td>
<td>$434,350</td>
<td>$9,661</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,774,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Collegiate Preparatory High School</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$15,350,644</td>
<td>$337,103</td>
<td>$39,268</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$15,727,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Year Built</td>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>Building System</td>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Abbreviated Accessibility</td>
<td>Portables</td>
<td>Current Estimated Needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit International Academy for Women</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>$24,092,987</td>
<td>$1,195,883</td>
<td>$56,169</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$25,345,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Lions Alternative Education</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>$1,429,405</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$36,187</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,465,592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit School of Arts</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,580</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixon Educational Learning Academy</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>$9,395,277</td>
<td>$683,957</td>
<td>$38,565</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$10,117,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dossin Elementary School</td>
<td>1949</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>$1,831,609</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$272,498</td>
<td>$464,280</td>
<td>$2,588,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drew Transition Center</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$12,207,944</td>
<td>$83,577</td>
<td>$14,451</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$12,305,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earhart Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,223</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Intervention Center</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$6,341,121</td>
<td>$1,136,501</td>
<td>$9,236</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$7,486,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East English Village Preparatory Academy</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$7,934</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$7,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edison Elementary School</td>
<td>1921</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>$1,214,466</td>
<td>$72,909</td>
<td>$287,487</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,574,861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward &quot;Duke&quot; Ellington Conservatory of Music and Art</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$40,683</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$9,426</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerson Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>1947</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>$3,381,606</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$282,932</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,664,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moses Field Center</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>$3,268,588</td>
<td>$234,158</td>
<td>$25,919</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,526,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher Magnet Lower Academy</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$1,476,396</td>
<td>$1,372,070</td>
<td>$13,894</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,862,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher Magnet Upper Academy</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$368,865</td>
<td>$1,484,583</td>
<td>$12,733</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,866,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleming Early Learning Neighborhood Center</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>$4,966,233</td>
<td>$918,635</td>
<td>$18,799</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,903,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford High School</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>$2,787,583</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$13,106</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,900,689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Language Immersion and Cultural Studies School</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>$5,032,716</td>
<td>$193,906</td>
<td>$7,961</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,234,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederick Douglass Academy for Young Men</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>$13,133,746</td>
<td>$720,982</td>
<td>$290,581</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$14,145,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardner Elementary School</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>$1,465,430</td>
<td>$167,801</td>
<td>$326,102</td>
<td>$795,000</td>
<td>$2,756,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golightly Education Center</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>$5,435,324</td>
<td>$94,628</td>
<td>$249,320</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,779,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gompers Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$11,174</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$11,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenfield Union Elementary School</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>$4,888,395</td>
<td>$117,866</td>
<td>$327,005</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,333,286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harms Elementary School</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>$2,836,693</td>
<td>$173,054</td>
<td>$261,995</td>
<td>$912,660</td>
<td>$4,184,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson Academy</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>$2,618,725</td>
<td>$243,401</td>
<td>$40,300</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,902,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.L. Holmes Academy of Blended Learning</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>$7,520,999</td>
<td>$159,886</td>
<td>$295,161</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$7,976,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutchinson Elementary/Middle School at Howe</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$515,659</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$76,498</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$592,157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.E. Clark Preparatory Academy</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>$1,443,679</td>
<td>$273,813</td>
<td>$266,598</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,984,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John R. King Academic and Performing Arts Academy</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>$6,867,654</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$35,077</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,902,731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keidan Special Education Center</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>$7,916,713</td>
<td>$173,740</td>
<td>$252,896</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$8,343,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King High School</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,879</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Academy</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$1,560,763</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$33,085</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,593,828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludington Magnet Middle and Honors School</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>$2,437,212</td>
<td>$803,688</td>
<td>$73,617</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,314,517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackenzie Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$64,270</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$8,278</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$72,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mann Elementary School</td>
<td>1944</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>$3,282,006</td>
<td>$373,724</td>
<td>$290,204</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,945,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Year Built</td>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>Building System</td>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Abbreviated Accessibility</td>
<td>Portables</td>
<td>Current Estimated Needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcus Garvey Academy</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>$6,161,709</td>
<td>$139,613</td>
<td>$268,406</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,669,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Twain School for Scholars</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>$6,563,000</td>
<td>$603,325</td>
<td>$12,696</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$7,179,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marquette Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>1949</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>$3,424,514</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$286,203</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,710,717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason Academy</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>$5,845,292</td>
<td>$1,955,575</td>
<td>$14,250</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$7,815,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maybury Elementary School</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>$1,890,044</td>
<td>$52,743</td>
<td>$289,793</td>
<td>$1,204,160</td>
<td>$3,436,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumford High School/Mumford Academy</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munger Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$66,522</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,955</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$73,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neinas Elementary School</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>$977,385</td>
<td>$40,838</td>
<td>$262,605</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,280,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nichols Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>$5,605,762</td>
<td>$268,866</td>
<td>$278,101</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,152,729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble Elementary School</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>$14,279,004</td>
<td>$111,690</td>
<td>$121,799</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$14,512,493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nolan Elementary School</td>
<td>1926</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>$5,749,070</td>
<td>$224,750</td>
<td>$20,409</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,994,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osborn High School</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>$13,993,463</td>
<td>$288,533</td>
<td>$25,835</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$14,307,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmer Park Preparatory Academy</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>$25,455,650</td>
<td>$149,731</td>
<td>$265,976</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$25,871,357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasteur Elementary School</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>$4,231,528</td>
<td>$67,538</td>
<td>$247,442</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,546,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Robeson Malcolm X Academy</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>$1,714,178</td>
<td>$90,334</td>
<td>$253,763</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,058,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pershing High School</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>$28,041,977</td>
<td>$2,136,709</td>
<td>$54,394</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$30,233,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priest Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>$4,613,758</td>
<td>$235,500</td>
<td>$306,725</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,155,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulaski Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>1942</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>$5,116,953</td>
<td>$485,375</td>
<td>$266,696</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,852,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randolph Career and Technical Center</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$2,036,170</td>
<td>$1,289,553</td>
<td>$78,637</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,404,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renaissance High School</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$17,586</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$17,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberto Clemente Learning Academy</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$1,444,604</td>
<td>$673,138</td>
<td>$6,062</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,123,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Brown Academy</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>$3,396,752</td>
<td>$1,050,566</td>
<td>$250,111</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,697,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampson Webber Academy</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>$9,600,826</td>
<td>$291,547</td>
<td>$284,109</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$10,176,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schulze Elementary School</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$860,737</td>
<td>$1,340,381</td>
<td>$8,416</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,209,535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeastern High School</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>$8,363,595</td>
<td>$617,250</td>
<td>$11,172</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$8,992,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>$7,440,324</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$550,647</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$7,990,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thirkell Elementary School</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>$5,890,252</td>
<td>$108,588</td>
<td>$278,333</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,277,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thurgood Marshall Elementary/Middle School</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>$11,133,931</td>
<td>$100,831</td>
<td>$261,299</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$11,496,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernor Elementary School</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>$1,897,792</td>
<td>$340,913</td>
<td>$293,311</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,532,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne Elementary School</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>$2,537,595</td>
<td>$454,550</td>
<td>$293,285</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,754,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Side Academy</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>$464,023</td>
<td>$69,806</td>
<td>$1,705</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$535,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western International High School</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>$798,338</td>
<td>$490,625</td>
<td>$5,277</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,294,239</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Please note that requirements are based on visual observations and interviews with School District personnel.

Total Estimated Needs: $526,644,657
EXHIBIT 7
1999 – PA 10 of 1999 replaced the DPS 11-member school board with a 7-member appointed board, referred to as the reform board. 6 members were appointed by the mayor of Detroit and 1 member was appointed by the State Superintendent.

2004 – Voters were allowed to vote whether or not to keep the reform board or return to an elected board. They chose an elected board.

2006 – Newly elected board members take office.

2009 – Governor appoints the district’s first Emergency Financial Manager (EFM), Robert Bobb, under PA 72 of 1990.

2011 – PA 4 of 2011 gives EFM expanded powers, and Governor appoints Roy Roberts as the new EFM.

2012 – PA 4 of 2011 is repealed in statewide referendum, and is subsequently replaced by PA 436 of 2012, which instead provides for Emergency Managers (EM).

2015 – Governor appoints Darnell Earley as EM.
DPS General Fund (GF) Revenues vs. Expenditures

While revenues declined 54.0% over 10 years, expenditures were reduced by 53.9%. The annual difference equals the operating deficit.
DPS Fund Balance: Total and Percent of Revenues

The DPS fund balance at the end of FY 2014-15 was negative $215.9 million, and expected to get worse under their FY 2015-16 budget.

*While GF fund balances improved in FY 2005 and 2012, this was the result of operating debt being refinanced into long term debt ($210 million in FY 2005 and $231 million in FY 2012).
DPS GF Expenditures vs GF Debt Service Payments

General fund debt service (non-capital) payments have grown to 8% of all GF expenditures.
DPS Per Pupil Expenditures

Operating Expenditures per pupil have increased by $3,620 over ten years. During that period, the DPS foundation allowance has increased by $116 per pupil while MPSERS and debt service payments increased by approximately $2,800 per pupil.
DPS Enrollment vs. Staff Levels

Over 10 years, enrollment has declined by 66.5%, and staff levels have been reduced by 61.6%. During that time, the number of school buildings was reduced from 232 to 90, or by 61.2%.
DPS Staff: Teachers and Administrators

Over 10 years, the number of teachers has been reduced by 62.5%, while the number of administrators has been reduced by 42.9%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DPS</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide Average</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

House Fiscal Agency

2/24/2016
FY 2014-15 Per Pupil Revenues: DPS vs. Statewide

For FY 2014-15, over 2/3 of the difference in total funding between DPS and the statewide average is due to a significantly higher number of federal dollars.
FY 2014-15 Per Pupil Expenditures: DPS vs. Statewide

While DPS spent more on instruction and instructional support, it also spent 58% more on administration and 73% more on operations/maintenance compared to the statewide average.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Instruction</th>
<th>Instructional Support</th>
<th>Business &amp; Admin</th>
<th>Operations &amp; Maintenance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DPS</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$12,827</td>
<td>$1,630</td>
<td>$1,916</td>
<td>$2,357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Teacher Salary
- Statewide: $61,978
- DPS: $63,716

Percent Free Lunch
- Statewide: 41%
- DPS: 76%
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Arbitration Decision

In the matter of Arbitration Between Employer And Local ___ American Federation of Teachers

[Number redacted]

April 30, 2014

Appearances:

For the Union: B__, Attorney

J__, President

O__, Controller

F__, Financial Analyst

R__, Exec. Vice President

For the Employer: A__, Asst. Director Labor Relations

L__, Chief Human Resources And Labor Relations Officer

G__, Dir. Office of Payroll

I__, Dir. Office of Mgmt & Budget

S__, Witness

Q__, President & CEO

AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION

Tanzman, Arbitrator.

Arbitrator Statement
And
Award

Issue: Denial of Payment Tip Monies-Class Action
AAA Case Number

December 17, 2013
Arbitration Attendance-Hearing #1
January 23, 2014-Hearing #2

Same as Hearing #1-Plus
B__, Attorney

N__
J__, President

Labor Generalist, D.P. S.

Hearing Location:

/s/
David S. Tanzman

Arbitrator

The S__ (hereinafter, "The District") and the City Federation of Teachers Local __ (hereinafter, "The Union") selected Arbitrator David S. Tanzman via the American Arbitration Association to hold hearing(s) on an unresolved set of disputes constituting a class action grievance pertaining to a so-called Termination Incentive Plan (hereinafter, "TIP") and issue a final and binding award thereon.

The Arbitrator held two joint hearings with the Parties December 17, 2013 and January 23, 2014 at the offices of the Employment Relations Commission.

Each Party was given full opportunity to make statements, present witnesses with supporting exhibits-31, to be exact- and finally agreed to submit post-hearing briefs to the Arbitrator by March 3, 2014 via the American Arbitration Association. Such was received and an award was then due by April 8, 2014, extended at the request of the Arbitrator to May 7, 2014.

ISSUE

Since the principal issue of the class action grievance pertains to the TIP employee accounts, at this time the Arbitrator has included contractual language of the TIP program.

B. Termination Incentive Plan

Beginning January 12, 2010 and ending with the fourth (4th) pay of the 2011-2012 school year (for a total of 40 payments), all salaried members of the bargaining unit (except assistant attendance officers, accompanists and members who work less than .50 FTE) shall have $250 per pay deducted from their pay and deposited into a Termination Incentive Plan (TIP) account. (Deductions shall not be made for the four (4) summer checks for members on 26 pay-checks numbered 23-26). A total of all deposits into an individual member's TIP account shall be shown on the member's pay stub. Exempted salary, hourly and daily rated members shall not be required to pay into the TIP account but shall have the option to do so.
E. 2009 Termination Incentive Payment

Bargaining unit members who retire or resign from the District following ratification of the 2009-2012 Agreement shall receive a Termination of Service Bonus of one-thousand dollars ($1,000) for each year of service with the District up to ten (10) years of service, with a cap of $10,000. Bargaining unit members on layoff status shall not be entitled to this Bonus until such time as they are removed from the layoff list pursuant to Article Fifteen. However, no member's Termination of Service Bonus shall exceed the amount he / she contributed to his / her TIP account pursuant to Article Nine, Section B.

Members may elect to have their Termination of Service Bonus paid as a lump sum, deposited into an annuity, or deposited into a Tax Deferred Plan (TDP).

Letter of Agreement

Between
The S__
And
The City Federation of Teachers

Article Nine
Professional Compensation

The S__ ("District") and the City Federation of Teachers Local ___ ("Union") hereby revise the language of the Termination Incentive Plan as previously written in the tentative agreement of December 3, 2009 to reflect the intent and implementation of the Termination Incentive Plan since January 10, 2010 as follows:

Termination Incentive Plan

Beginning January 12, 2010 and ending with the fourth (4th) pay of the 2011-2012 school year (for a total of 40 payments), all salaried members of the bargaining unit (except assistant attendance officers, accompanists and members who work less than the .50 FTE) shall have their pay reduced by $250 per pay. (For members on the 26-pay schedule, the reduction will not be made for the four (4) checks for pay periods numbered 23-26). Exempted salaried hourly and daily rated members shall not have their pay reduced but shall have the option of doing so.

ISSUES IN DISPUTE

Are Union unit members who were denied monies each paid into a TIP plan, entitled to said monies if separated from their respective employment by termination for cause?

1. The Employer argues the sixty (60) day rule is applicable. The Union, the three (3) year rule. The very nature of the class action grievance in which the grieving members make the claim for monies they had already earned impels the grievance singularly to be in the three (3) year rule. The Arbitrator accordingly rules the class action grievance timely. And now to the dispute.

2. The Employer asserts that the Union interpretation would frustrate the intent of the purpose of the cost-saving measure. The Union disagrees. The Arbitrator reacts as follows:

a. The Arbitrator senses a feeling that the number of terminations for cause may become more prevalent than heretofor as a means of not having to return the dischargee's TIP money in any form. Both Parties could frustrate the
intent if either Party's position would prevail. However, since the District manages and directs the work force, the potential rests on the managerial shoulders.

b. When processing a discharge grievance, ordinarily, it has often been resolved by allowing the discharge employee to resign. Thus, the individual would be in the status of one who resigns and would be compatible with that TIP Plan category. (A failing to renew a license is much less deleterious than physically harming a student).

c. Q__, Emergency Financial Manager, issued a bulletin to all eligible Union TIP members to vote for the Plan. In his detailed document to them, he urged them to vote for the Plan. His message included the following statement. ...."Teachers defer a small part of their salary in the first two years with guaranteed repayment in later years". Nothing in the contract language which he included in his message to the Employees, told them otherwise. The Union member had reason to anticipate a return of what was in effect a loan and the manner of its guaranteed return. He followed his "guaranteed repayment" with the language of the TIP Plan. As one with gubernatorial authority his expression carried weight and remained in the minds of the "TIP" sters to influence their voting on the agreement. The Arbitrator thus does not find the Union's position frustrating the intention of the TIP program.

d. Working for a specific salary, and having it withheld by agreement was the lesser of two so called evils. However, the guarantee Q__'s guarantee, made it palatable. To then tell the member with a TIP account "Though you worked for this money you can't have it back since you are no longer part of us", this Arbitrator is under the impression that such is contrary to State and/or Federal regulations-aside from being misled by Mr. Q___.

e. As to the language of the Plan, the expression was apparently intended to be positive in all respects hence negative expression, such as death, discharge, incarceration were intentionally omitted, but not from the minds of the Parties.

f. Had the Parties intended to confine the program to "resign" and "retire" the insertion of the word "only" would have clamped it tight. Parole evidence would then apply. The lack of language locking the categories burdens the concept of parole evidence. To rely upon "parole evidence" rather than inserting the word "only" results in vitiating that concept. While the District charges with the "parole evidence" lance, it had no difficulty in adding "heirs" to the program of a deceased employee who had a TIP account, despite its non-existence in the TIP plan contract language. Further evidence of inappropriate application of parole evidence is in the lack of articulation for the disposal of the balance of the TIP account of a deceased or a sustained discharged employee. The "deceased" account was eventually addressed by the District with understandable Union approval. The sustained dischargee category is before this Arbitrator. Parole evidence has no legitimate place in this case.

CONCLUSION

In consideration of all the above expression resulting from careful review of the "Parties" testimony, their thirty-one (31) exhibits and post hearing briefs, the Arbitrator expressed his insight into the class action issue in dispute and reaches the following conclusion.

The TIP Plan cannot require employee's to in effect lend part of said employees earnings; commit to eventual return of said loan, then by any improper obligation and/or behavior for presumed sustained discharge become a reason for not returning said loan or its balance. To deny a "TIP" ster his/her earned money which he/she lent to the District, and assuming legitimate reason for termination for cause, in effect, is double jeopardy. He is discharged and being denied his earnings he lent to the District.

The TIP account was never intended to be un retrievable contribution to the District. It was in effect a loan. Discharging an employee holding a TIP account, is a circumstance whereby the District is saying it no longer wants to have
anything to do with you. The TIP account balance should leave along with any other possessions of the discharged employee.

**ARBITRATOR AWARD**

The Arbitrator issues the following award:

The Union employee who has been subject to a sustained termination for cause shall be given the balance of his/her TIP account within thirty (30) calendar days from the point said discharge is confirmed.

Said payment shall be effected as soon as possible, but in no event later than the last pay period in the month of June 2014.

Respectfully yours,
EXHIBIT 9
STATE OF MICHIGAN
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE

ANTHONY ADAMS, PRESIDENT OF
THE DETROIT BOARD OF EDUCATION,
ANNIE C. CARTER, CARLA D. SCOTT, M.D.,
TERRY CATCHINGS, OTIS MATHIS, DR.
MARGARET BETTS, REVEREND DAVID
MURRAY, IDA SHORT, TYRONE
WINFREY and, LAMAR LEMMONS, all of
Who comprise the DETROIT BOARD OF
EDUCATION,
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants,

Case No. 09-020160 AW

-v-

ROBERT BOBB, Emergency Financial Manager
For Detroit Public Schools,
Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff.

At a Session Held
On the ___ day of December, 2010
PRESENT: THE HON. WENDY M. BAXTER

ORDER

The Court issued an opinion contemporaneously with the entry of this order and the
opinion is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff’s request for declaratory judgment is GRANTED;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff’s motion for summary disposition is granted in
part and denied in part under MCR 2.116(C)(10) and defendant's motion for summary disposition under MCR 2.116(C)(10) is granted in part and denied in part;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the relief requested by the EFM, for enforcement of orders he deemed necessary to the accomplishment of his statutory duties under MCL 141.1239, which gives him the authority to issue such orders and MCL 141.1241(n), which gives him the right to require compliance with his orders and to seek court assistance if necessary is hereby enforced to this extent: The EFM has the legal right to have the Board comply with his orders and the Board has the legal duty to comply with orders unrelated to academic and educational programming;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's request that plaintiff's case be dismissed involuntarily is DENIED;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Board's request that the Court issue a permanent injunction is GRANTED;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff submit a separate injunctive order for entry consistent with this opinion and MCR 3.310(C);

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court GRANTS plaintiff request for a writ of mandamus ordering the defendant/counter-plaintiff to consult with it regularly upon reexamination of the financial plan, including school closures for the Detroit School District. However, the request for additional mandamus relief by plaintiff/counter-defendant is DENIED;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's request for mandamus relief is GRANTED to the extent stated supra in this order;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant/counter-plaintiff's request for mandamus relief related to title of General Superintendent Teresa Gueyser is moot;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant's motion for directed verdict on the claim that school closures impair education in contravention of MCL 141.1241(2)(s) is GRANTED;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each prevailing party may submit an order for any remaining relief granted for entry consistent with the opinion under MCR 2.602(3).

__________________________
Circuit Court Judge
STATE OF MICHIGAN
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE

ANTHONY ADAMS, PRESIDENT OF
THE DETROIT BOARD OF EDUCATION,
ANNIE C. CARTER, CARLA D. SCOTT, M.D.,
TERRY CATCHINGS, OTIS MATHIS, DR.
MARGARET BETTS, REVEREND DAVID
MURRAY, IDA SHORT, TYRONE
WINFREY and, LAMAR LEMMONS, all of
Who comprise the DETROIT BOARD OF
EDUCATION,
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants,

Case No. 09-020160 AW

-v-

ROBERT BOBB, Emergency Financial Manager
For Detroit Public Schools,
Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff.

/____________________________________________________________________/

OPINION

Summary of Decisions and Procedural History

The Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant is the Detroit School Board of Education
(Board) for the Detroit Public School District, (DPS) and the named individuals are
the elected members of the Board. Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff, Robert C. Bobb
(Bobb), is the Emergency Financial Manager (EFM) for DPS. In the amended
complaints, both parties' request writs of mandamus and the Board seeks permanent
injunctive relief and a declaratory judgment. The Board alleges that defendant violated a clear legal duty to consult with the Board upon regular re-examination of his written financial plan. The Board claims that the EFM has no authority to decide and administer DPS academic policies and curriculum and failed to consult with it when he effectively regularly amended the written financial plan for DPS by implementing his own academic plan, facilities plan and school closures, which the Board maintains impairs education. Bobb filed an amended counterclaim requesting a writ of mandamus to enforce his Executive Order 2009-1 to invalidate the Board’s appointment of a General Superintendent on the basis that such action was contrary to its statutory duty and his authority.

After failed attempts to facilitate settlement between the parties, hearings were held pursuant to MCR 3.305(F), MCR 3.310(A)(2) and MCR 2.605(D) over several days. Approximately 81 exhibits were admitted into evidence; thereafter, both sides filed post hearing motions with supporting briefs under MCR 2.116(C)(8) and (9) for summary disposition. Defendant filed a motion for a directed verdict, claiming the Board failed to meet its burden to show that school closure impairs education under MCL 141.1241(2)(s), or that Mr. Bobb acted outside his statutory authority by implementing his academic plan, his Master Facilities Plan, his orders ending social promotions, instituting quarterly benchmark assessment testing aside from the state mandated MEAP test and terminating parental liaisons. Defendant asked this: Court to dismiss Plaintiffs’ action under MCR 2.504(B)(2). The Board is entitled to mandamus relief. The mandamus relief defendant requested is a moot issue. The Board is granted declaratory and permanent injunctive relief. The EFM’s motion for directed verdict is granted on the issue presented based on MCL 141.1241(2)(s) and also granted in part for enforcement of certain of his orders, e.g. terminating parental liaisons, but not those orders concerning education. The motion to dismiss this action is denied.

HISTORICAL RETROSPECTIVE AND PROSPECTIVE

The current governance structure under the Revised School Code is that the 11-member school board for the school district leads DPS with four members elected at large and seven members elected from the election districts.¹ This structure came about after the first time a governor intervened in the operations of DPS. In 1999, (then) Governor Engler imposed a body that became known as “The Reform Board” and outright suspended the powers and duties of the DPS school board.² (Under the language of the law authorizing this,) Detroit was the only qualifying district with, at the time 180,000 students. Now it has approximately 70,000 students. The reform board was a onetime only occurrence and the act no longer applies to Detroit, although the statute has not been repealed.

¹ MCL 380.410.
² MCL 380.371.
In contrast, the EFM is appointed by the governor under the authority of the Local Government Fiscal Responsibility Act, (LGFRA, “Act”) It does not solely apply to school districts. It is a statute concerned with all levels of state government. Article 3 of the Act, found at MCL 141.1201 et. seq. governs school districts and it does not apply only to the Detroit district. The state superintendent of public instruction is responsible for monitoring the financial soundness of school districts to insure that they follow the state laws on budgeting and accounting. If the superintendent determines that a district has a serious financial problem, as set forth in MCL 141.1233, the statutes provide for certain steps to be taken, ultimately recommending that the governor appoint an emergency financial manager. Thus, while the reform board was a onetime occurrence that only applied to Detroit and had a specific time limit, the appointment of the EFM can happen in any school district and the appointment is not limited in duration; while in this instance there is a 1 year contract, an EFM may reign for as long as the financial emergency exists. The LGFRA is concerned with financial matters. The reform school board had authority to act and perform all the duties of a duly elected school board MCL 380.373(3), which is concerned with both finances and academics.

Some of the very same community activists, concerned citizens, parents, teachers, preachers coalitions and voting rights groups who feel aggrieved by the actions of this EFM, felt aggrieved by the imposition of the “Reform Board” and sued that board all the way up to the United States Supreme Court in Moore v. School Reform Board of the City of Detroit, 147 F. Supp. 2d 679 (ED Mich 2000).

Many of the same people attending this litigation feel school is a matter of local control and view gubernatorial meddling as riding roughshod over the voting rights, parental rights and rights of self determination of Detroiters. Those groups were focused on language like that found in the school code at, e.g., MCL §380.10.

“Rights of parents and legal guardians; duties of public schools. Sec. 10. It is the natural, fundamental right of parents and legal guardians to determine and direct the care, teaching, and education of their children. The public schools of this state serve the needs of the pupils by cooperating with the pupil's parents and legal guardians to develop the pupil's intellectual capabilities and vocational skills in a safe and positive environment.”

The problem with that focus is that in Michigan there is no requirement in our constitution that mandates that schools be under the control of the local voters of the

---

5 MCL 141.1232.
6 MCL 141.1233.
7 See e.g. MCL 380.1278, core academic curriculum.
9 MCL 380.10
school district. In fact, a review of our constitutional history shows that our forefathers envisioned public education to be under the control of the entire state electorate, which means schools are under the command of the Legislature. It has been that way for centuries. The Constitution of 1835 stated: "The legislature shall provide for a system of common schools, by which a school shall be kept up and supported in each school district." There was no requirement in the 1835 Constitution that the members of the school board for local district be elected by the local voters. A review of the history of the education article shows that there has never been a requirement that the local school board had to be appointed by a public body. In fact, there are several schools in the state that are organized and maintained without any local control.

Later, at the 1850 Constitutional Convention, the education article was amended; and after much debate, Michigan again placed the legislature in charge of schools. A constitution delegate noted,

I think that the legislature should establish by law a system of common schools, and I think the subject should be left in their hands; as the system is in progress, it should be left for the Legislature to decide upon, and properly amend it from time to time. We might adopt a system that would, in the practical working, be found not to be the best. This matter should be left to be judged upon by the progress of the age.  

However, there is a division of labor and purpose in public education: the State Board of Education is constitutionally mandated to lead and supervise public education, while the Legislature is constitutionally mandated to maintain and support it.  

When the court in Moore ruled that the school reform board act was constitutionality valid, it quoted legislative debate in the opinion. The bill's sponsor stated that the bill's purpose was to improve education for a large number of Michigan students. The whole purpose was to improve education of students in a district that had serious problems with governance, administration, management, finance, and operations, with poor student achievement test results and high dropout rates. Those problems not only still exist but have since intensified and become inflamed.

Fast forward to today and nothing has changed in the 1963 Michigan Constitution with respect to state control of public education with one important exception; the statutory creation of charter schools, more recently dubbed "public

---

school academies, urban academies and schools of excellence. Act 362 specifies the power to grant academy contracts is vested in four types of authorizing bodies, one of which is the board of a school district. No matter what they are called, charter schools or these incorporated organizations are eligible for public funding under the State School Aid Act. It has been argued that this effectively allows turning public schools over to organizations that are paid with public dollars but are operated like private enterprises. If the current EFM can dictate the DPS academic plan, or step into the shoes of the Board and authorize charter schools, then that fiscal expert can bind this Board and DPS to contracts for education far into the future which may be subject to very little control, oversight, or revocation by the Board, the local parents and citizens or the State Board of Education depending on the contract and/or according to statute. Mr. Bobb created public school academies based on the Revised School Code amended as recently as 2009. It has a tortured court history of battles concerning its constitutionality that the legislature responded to when the court noted that the enabling statute for charter schools had no mechanism that mandated that a public body select the board of directors of an academy. Council of Organizations v. Governor, 455 Mich. 557, 564 (1997).

In January 2010, Mr. Bobb appeared before the Michigan legislature to address it concerning declaration of an “academic emergency” urging that it to give academic control over DPS to the EFM, which would have made this action moot. They did not: Although the legislature appointed a committee, no bill has been passed; and the laws scheduled to take effect on in March 2011 seem to indicate that an EFM may have some input on school reform and redesign, yet responsibility for education continues to rest with school boards.

FACTS

The underlying facts are not substantially in dispute. Pursuant to Section 33 of the Local Government and Fiscal Responsibility Act (LGFR A), MCL 141.1233, the state superintendent of public instruction notified the governor and the state board of education that a financial emergency exists in the Detroit School District due to serious financial problems. In response, the governor appointed a review team to evaluate the financial condition of the District. The review team’s 2008 report substantiated the findings of the superintendent of public instruction. There were a number of deficiencies in the Board’s management of the District’s finances—cash flow shortages, questionable expenditures, and a long history of serious deficit spending.

10 “[A] public school academy is a public school under Section 2 of Article VII of the state constitution of 1963, and is considered to be a school district for the purposes of Section 11 of Article IX of the state constitution of 1963.

11 See generally, MCL 380.01 et seq; MCL 388.1601 et seq.

12 Id; MCL 141.1241(c).
The school district consistently has operated in a deficit condition for a number of years. General fund expenditures of the School district exceed general fund revenues during seven of the eight fiscal years examined. The operating deficits ranged from a low of $10,631,337 in 2000 to a high of $122,167,428 in 2003; the estimated operating deficit for the 2008 fiscal year is $112 million. In many of the years during this period, general fund expenditures did not decrease or did not decrease commensurately in proportion to the decrease in general fund revenues. For example, general fund revenues decreased by $17,222,085 in 2001, compared to the prior year, but general fund expenditures increased by $10,193,257. Similarly, for the 2003 fiscal year, general fund revenues decreased by $19,006,122 compared to the prior year, while general fund expenditures increased by $43,833,180.

The pattern of deficit spending by the School district was facilitated by a succession of short-term notes. However, during the 2005 fiscal year, School district officials refinanced outstanding cash flow notes by issuing $210 million in bonds payable over a 15-year period. The effect of this refinancing was to convert what had been short-term debt into long-term debt. (Ex. 1 to Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law).

In an effort to resolve the District's financial problems, it entered into a consent agreement with the review team setting forth a financial recovery plan to alleviate the DPS's financial problems. As a result of the District's inability to successfully implement the provisions of the consent agreement, the Governor, in accordance with the provisions of the LGFRA and after Robert Cleveland Bobb had an interview with Tim Flanagan, from the Michigan Department of Education and Governor Granholm, the governor appointed Mr. Bobb emergency financial manager of Detroit Public Schools (DPS's EFM) on March 2, 2009 by formal contract. The Act mandates that the selection of the EFM, is based "solely" on his competence in fiscal matters.13

Robert Cleveland Bobb testified on June 11, 2010 that he received a Bachelor's degree in political science in 1968 and a Master's degree in business science in 1978. He is a former president of the Washington D.C. School Board from 2006-2008. He held a position of city manager in Washington. In that post, one of his duties was to review the budget for the school board and he held a similar

13 MCL §141.1238(2)
post and duty in Richmond, Virginia. He was a Broad Foundation Fellow, chosen to participate in “urban superintendence academy” (sic) once a month for ten months, studying a particular U.S. urban school district. In his case, Mr. Bobb did not study the Detroit School district, nor is he a resident of Detroit or from this area of the country. He has no background in teaching, education or curriculum studies.

§141.1241 of the Act requires the EFM to “immediately assume control over all fiscal matters” for the school district. The primary responsibility for the EFM is set out in §141.1240 which states in subsection 1: “In consultation with the school board, the emergency financial manager shall develop, and may from time to time amend, a written financial plan for the school district.” Once the plan is developed, according to subsection 2: “the emergency financial manager in consultation with the school board shall regularly reexamine the plan…” The EFM is authorized to implement his original written plan and modifications to the plan without any approval process, although the Act states he must, in consultation with the Board, regularly reexamine the plan.

The parties agree that Bobb developed a written financial plan on which he consulted with the Board in June 2009. The EFM subsequently wrote the Master Facilities Plan, dated March 17, 2010, nine months after the parties agree a consultation occurred. Bobb made it plain and public that he had other plans, but the Board denies that it was consulted and to some extent Bobb admits he did not consult with the Board:

Q. All right. In any event, would it be fair to say other than the meeting that this court ordered you to attend you have not been at a board meeting since July of 2009?

A. That’s correct.

Q. And would it be correct that sometime in August of 2009 the board brought this action against you?

A. Correct. And if I may –

Q. Well, no, let me –

A. Yes, that’s true, but if I may, in the world that I grew up in, in my
30-something-plus years of managing cities and having spent time in the private sector, every lawyer that has represented me either as a city attorney or as a private counsel, whenever I have been sued, has said now you speak — you only speak to the party that’s bringing the lawsuit against you through their lawyers; but I might add that I did not discontinue having individual conversations with board members.

So you bring this lawsuit against me. In the years that I’ve been counseled, before the years have always been to have no direct conversation with the party bringing the lawsuit against you, that those conversations take place between the attorneys. That’s the way I have trained and that’s the process that I’ve followed over the years.

But in this instance, I did not discontinue having individual —

* * *

Q. Mr. Bobb, so you decided once the board brought a lawsuit against you that you weren’t going to go back to their meetings, correct? Isn’t that what you said?

A. Well, once they brought a lawsuit there was no need to.

Q. In your mind?

A. In my mind.

Q. And you never sent a letter to the board saying, “I’m not coming to you because you’re suing me,” correct?

A. No.

Q. Never sent an e-mail, a memo, anything of that nature saying that?
A. No. And I never stopped meeting with the individual board members who chose to meet with me, either.

Q. I understand. But you decided they brought a lawsuit so, "I'm not going to come see you," and you didn't bother to tell them that, right?

A. I'm going by what -- the way that I have been counseled in the past, and I'm certain the way that they have been counseled as well, perhaps.

Q. All right. So you made the decision based on whatever experience you've had in the past just to not go talk to the board, correct?

A. That's the way I've been trained.

Q. All right. So it didn't matter what the issue was, you weren't going to talk to them, true?

A. Not if you file a lawsuit against me. We'll talk through our attorneys.

Q. Well, did you send your attorney to the board meetings?

A. No.

Q. So you didn't send anybody to the board meetings, true?
A. No. Their attorneys approached my attorney, as I recall.  

It is essentially undisputed what conduct and acts were committed by the EFM that the Board points to as either a usurpation of its' academic authority or a violation of the EFM's duty to regularly consult when amending the written financial plan and are chronologically as follows:

• On August 31 through September 3, 2009, contracting with Houghton Mifflin to purchase $40,000,000 in textbooks and online resources without informing the Boards or input from the Board, or using the Board's book adopting process and without regard to the recommendations based on need assessment made by the Board's academic advisors (Plaintiffs' Exhibit: 6);

• On December 16, 2009, defendant’s designee changed the instruction environment to deficit teaching as opposed to grade level teaching preferred by the Board (Dr. Irene Norde Tr. 5/21/10);

• On or after January 25, 2010 defendant implemented Quarterly Assessment test other than the mandated MEAP test, without input from the Board, declined to cease and desist the administration of said test in response to the Board’s demand to do so; then, countermanded a directive from the Board’s Superintendent to end Quarterly Assessment testing (Plaintiffs' Ex. 11.);

• On February 12, 2010 defendant issued an order styled “Order Terminating Practice of Social Promotion in the Detroit School District” (Plaintiffs’ Ex. 14.);

• On February 17, 2010 amended the written financial plan without consultation with the Board (Plaintiffs Ex. 21.);

• On March 1, 2010 Appropriated and eliminated the Board’s academic staff and ordering that all academic personnel in DPS are to report to him, his designee and not to the Board’s executive official on academics, the Superintendent. (Tr. 6/11/210 p. 45-49) (Plaintiffs' Ex. 12.);

• On March 11, 2010 defendant, by his signature in his capacity as EFM for DPS, aligned himself with “Taking Ownership; Our Pledge to Educate All of Detroit’s Children,” an academic plan in direct contravention of the Board’s academic plan. (Plaintiffs’ Ex. 7.);

• March 15, 2010 published an academic plan styled “Excellent Schools for Every Child: Detroit Public Schools Academic Plan” to implement instead of the Board’s academic plan dated 07/09/2009, without consulting or informing the Board; (Plaintiffs' Ex. 8.);

• March 17, 2010 released his Master Facilities Plan for 2010-2015 without engaging in any consultation with the Board and appeared pursuant to this

\[14\] Transcript June 11, 2010 Testimony of Robert C. Bobb, pg 15-20, generally.
court's order, at the April 30 Board meeting prepared to discuss both the Master Facilities Plan and his academic plan but was not permitted to proceed. (Ex. 16, Joint Ex. 39 Ex. 34A);

* On June 4, 2010 Defendant and his team met with 3 or 4 Board members to discuss the proposed Master Facilities Plan; and
* On June 7, 2010 announced the Master Facilities Plan.

Plaintiff postulated an academic plan on July 9, 2009 for DPS in accordance with its’ authority pursuant to MCL 380.11a(3), the Revised School Code. (Code) (Exhibit 1), specifically Section 1278 (MCL 380.1278), empowers and places a duty upon the board of a school district to establish a core academic curriculum for its students. Sections 1278(3)(a) and (b) provide:

(3) The board of each school district, considering academic curricular objectives defined and recommended pursuant to subsection (2), shall do both of the following: (a) Establish a core academic curriculum for its pupils at the elementary, middle, and secondary school levels. The core academic curriculum shall define academic objectives to be achieved by all pupils and shall be based upon the school district’s educational mission, long-range pupil goals, and pupil performance objectives. The core academic curriculum may vary from the model core academic curriculum content standards recommended by the state board pursuant to subsection (2). (b) After consulting with teachers and school building administrators, determine the aligned instructional program for delivering the core academic curriculum and identify the courses and programs in which the core academic curriculum will be taught.

Plaintiff posits that the Act, from which the Defendant, Mr. Bobb, derives his authority, made no changes in the academic sections of the School Code, from which the Board derives its’ authority.

It is also undisputed that Mr. Bobb admitted that he made a decision on what he would do as the EFM: After he read the Governor’s transition team report, the Great City School Report and consulted with the Broad Foundation, Bobb decided that he wanted to leave five policies in place in the Detroit public school district. He called these the “five products.” The first of the products was an academic plan.15

Q: And that was going to be a plan that charted out the academic

15 (Transpt., at p 15, l. 17.)
policies and future of the district, correct?

A: That's correct. Because the easiest thing to do would be to come in and balance the books without any regard to product[.]

Q: But if you could just list the other four items that you wanted to leave.

***

A: The first one is to develop for the Detroit Public Schools an academic plan for the 21st century teaching and learning... And that plan would form a master plan for safety and security[.]

Q: And that's number two, safety and security.

***

A: That's number two.

***

A: The third one is to ensure that we have a massive plan for facilities improvements.

Q: All right. And I assume the academic plan would inform and guide—

A: The academic plan informs all of these plans.

Q: And the fourth one would be what?

A: Plan for parental involvement and community engagement.

Q: And the fifth one would be what?

A: The fifth plan would be a five-year, long-term financial stability plan, of which would have been informed by the products from the four in a logical context.

***

A: I came with the intention of balancing the books, and I recognized the fact that it's easy for someone who is a turn-around specialist to come in and slice the budget and balance the books, but in the school district, balancing the books means what impact will you have on kids

***
[w]hen the financial decisions were being made, could have someone in the room who would debate the financial decision with respect to the impact that the financial decisions would have on teaching and learning[.]

Q: And the academic plan was going to be your academic plan, not the elected board’s plan; am I correct?

***

A: No one can give me an academic plan for Detroit Public Schools. I didn’t find one. What we had was a road map, the Counsel Of Great City Schools road map, the trans- the governor’s transition team plan road map.

***

Q: But you had decided when you came in that you were going to write your own academic plan. Correct?

A: I decided when I came in, before I walked out the door in March of last year, that there would be a long-term master education plan for Detroit Public Schools.

Q: And am I correct that the board, sometime in the summer of 2009, adopted an academic plan written by Teresa Gueyser?

A: Yes.

***

Q: Did you provide any comments to the school board on that plan?

A: No.

Q: You didn’t send them anything on that plan?

A: No.

Q: You just wrote your own plan

A: Correct.

***

A: I believe I had the power to do it, correct.

Q: But you decided on your own to do that?

A: Yes.
The powers and duties of the school board are set out at Part 16 of the School Code of 1976, MCL 380.1201 et seq. The board claims that the EFM overstepped his statutory authority in making an academic plan and educational policies for the District and take exception to plans, other than the initial written financial plan the Act mandates, and policies which they claim are a usurpation of academic powers which is reserved to the Board pursuant to the Code, specifically at MCL 330.11a. Defendant maintains that the LGRFA, viz §141.1238 et. seq allow him to exercise all authority that the School Board exercises, and also responds that his policies and plans are inextricably tied to the financial management of the District, his contract with the governor required him to command academics and generally all actions affect finances of the district which he reasons allows him to do all things. Mr. Bobb claims his education and academic action, particularly ordering quarterly assessment tests, increase federal and state funding under No Child Left Behind and Title II and Title VII. Further, the EFM claims, social promotions in early elementary education leads to increased high school drop-out rates which in turn decreases funding.

After the Board filed an amended complaint, it requested injunctive relief to stop the EFM from imposing his academic plan, educational assessment testing and school policy edicts and the Board is also requesting writ of mandamus to require the EFM to consult with the Board as he amended his written financial plans by changing the Master Facilities Plan, which announced closure of 100 schools; then, incrementally, closed 42 to 57 schools. The number of school closing changed frequently, even during the period of the hearings and oral arguments for this dispute. With each modification the EFM implemented to the Facilities Plan, there was a concomitant change and public pronouncement to the kinds of schools and school programs available, the school hours of operation, the curriculum offered in each facility and the school year. The Board now seeks permanent injunctive relief, and a declaratory judgment against defendant to address the extent of an EFM’s powers concerning academic policies and mandamus relief from the regularly amended the facilities plan - which is the equivalent of amending the written financial plan—without consulting the Board.

Both parties request mandamus relief. Specifically, the Board zealously requests the Court to issue a writ of mandamus ordering EFM Bobb to:

1. Consult with the Board, not individual board members as he regularly amends the written financial plan for the Detroit Public School District and in particular amending the school closures as required under MCL 141.1240 (2);
2. Cease and desist from making any decisions concerning academics, curriculum, assessment testing and/or educational policy, sessions, courses, and programs within the Detroit Public School District, as those functions by the EFM are unauthorized by the Act and are solely within the purview of the Board under the Code;
3. Cease and desist undermining the Board’s ability to lead and supervise education in DPS by issuing orders effectively nullifying...
all Board authority over teaching, deficit teaching, teachers, testing, programming, book adoptions, assessment testing and social promotion;

4. Negating the Board's appointment of Teresa N. Gueyser to the titular permanent position of General Superintendent of the School District;¹⁶

5. Cease and desist issuing orders on deficit teaching, social promotions; and

6. Cease and desist implementation of the Master Facilities Plan and the Excellent Schools for Every Child Academic Plan or any academic plan proposing K-14 campuses and "marketplace" grade schools and school closures that impair education.

Defendant posits that the Board's authority and responsibilities as provided by law are subordinate to EFM's authority under the statute in all matters affecting the financial condition of the school district including academics, curriculum, and educational policy. Defendant cites MCL 141.1241(2)(f) as authority for this proposition:

(2) In implementing this article and performing his or her functions under this article, an emergency financial manager may take 1 or more of the following actions:

***

(f) exercise the authority and responsibilities affecting the financial condition of the school district that are prescribed by law to school board and the superintendent of the school district.

Defendant requests that Plaintiffs' case be dismissed, involuntarily; however, according to Plaintiff, Mr. Bobb has failed to consult with the Board regularly when reexamining and amending the written financial plan as provided for in MCL 141.1240(2). Bobb concedes it may be fair to say there have not been consultations with the entire board or in any way the Board insists is required; however, the Board's ideas about what the act requires in terms of consultation are incorrect.

IMPAIRING EDUCATION CLAIM UNDER MCL 141.1241(2)(s)

Defendant's arguments against any finding that defendant violated this facet of the statute are twofold: First, the defendant argues that closing a school building is neither selling it nor using it according to the common understanding and usage of those words; furthermore, the closings were not done to "meet past or current obligation," rather the closings are done to save the district money in the future. Secondly, applying the "impair education" language to the school closings, defendant highlights that the evidentiary record is in his favor: The Board's expert Dr. Lipman, witness admitted that she had no opinions that the Master Facilities Plan for school closing impaired education. While Bobb's witness, Barbara Byrd Bennett.

¹⁶ Teresa Gueyser is no longer employed at DPS.
testified that the plan would not impair education. Based on the evidentiary record, this Court agrees that the defendant's motion for directed verdict on the claim that school closures impair education in contravention of MCL 141.1241(2)(s) must be granted. 17

STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION

The Board acknowledges that the EFM has broad powers when it comes to the management of the district's finances, but it asserts that he is making decisions that exceed his authority. It is urged that his decisions on academics and curriculum and school policy are within the exclusive responsibility of the Board.

The EFM argues that statutory construction requires that the Act be obeyed and the Code is not dominant. Mr. Bobb relies on People v. Schneider, 119 Mich App. 480, 486(1982). Defendant argues that the Revised School Code is general to the organization of the state system of schools, touching on all areas of education of elementary and secondary schools and school districts; vis a vis the Act is specific to addressing emergency financial situations that may arise in units of local government, including school districts. The Act, defendant maintains, interposes a very specific layer over the Code's general outline of how the system of public education in Michigan is structured. Defendant extrapolates that regarding the matter at hand -- the financial emergency in the DPS -- the Code grants the Board general authority over the operations of the district, whereas the Act grants the EFM specific authority to act in an emergency situation. Thus according to defendant, the Act is the more specific statute and was enacted later in time; for both these reasons, the Act should be read as controlling if it is in conflict with the code.

It is the function of the judiciary to determine existing rights, not to enact or repeal legislation. 18 The cardinal rule of statutory construction is to determine and effectuate the intent of the legislature. 19 When the Legislature's statutory language is clear and unambiguous, judicial construction is neither necessary nor permitted and courts must apply the statute as written. If reasonable minds can differ regarding the meaning of the statute, judicial construction is appropriate. 20

Courts presume the legislature intended meaning plainly expressed in the statute. 21 Judicial construction is permitted if the language is unclear and susceptible to more than one interpretation. 22 If the statutory language is ambiguous or reasonable minds may differ in its interpretation, a reasonable construction must be

17 See discussion on permanent injunction, infra.
22 Id.
given in light of the purpose of the statute. When alternative interpretations are possible, a court must ascribe to the legislature the most probable and reasonable intention. Statutes must be construed to avoid absurd or unreasonable results. The words contained in the statute provide us with the most reliable evidence of the legislature's intent. For example, the use of the term "shall" denotes a mandatory rather than permissive action.

A court should consider the plain meaning of the statute's words and their placement and purpose in the statutory scheme. The primary goal of judicial interpretation of statute is to determine and implement the intent of the legislature. The interpretation of the language of the statute must accord with the legislative intent. When interpreting statutory language, courts must ascertain the legislative intent that may reasonably be inferred from the words in the statute. Courts must give effect to every word, phrase, and clause in a statute and avoid an interpretation that renders nugatory or surplusage any part of the statute. If the term is not defined in the statute, a court may consult a dictionary. Undefined words should be accorded their plain and ordinary meaning. When reviewing a statute, all non-technical words and phrases shall be construed and understood according to the common usage of the language.

The legislature is presumed to be aware of all existing statutes when enacting a new statute. Conflicting statutes should be construed if possible, to give each full force and effect. Legislature enactments must be read as a whole so as to harmonize the meaning of their separate provisions; and, if possible, avoid the construction of one provision in such a manner as to negate another. Statutes that appear to conflict should be read together and reconciled, if possible. When two statutes lend themselves to an interpretation that avoids conflict, that interpretation should control. The interpretation should give effect to each statute "without repugnancy, absurdity, or unreasonableness." When two statutes conflict, the

27 Metla at 562.
30 Id.
31 Id.
statute that is more specific to the subject matter prevails over the more general statute. 38

Statutes are in pari materia when they relate to the same subject matter and share a common purpose. 39 When statutes are in pari materia, they must be read together as one law even if they contain no reference to one another and were enacted on different dates. 40 The object of this in pari materia rule is to effectuate legislative purposes when statutes are harmonious. 41 Statutes in pari materia read together as a whole fully reveal the legislature’s intent. 42 When two statutes are in pari materia but conflict with another on a particular issue, the more specific statute must control over the more general statute. 43 A statute specific in language and enacted contemporaneously or subsequent to a general statute covering the same subject matter constitutes an exception to the general statute if there appears to be a conflict between them. 44

Finally, the doctrine of expressio unius est exclusio alterius, or inclusion by specific mention excludes what is not mentioned as it applies to statutory construction was recently discussed in the case of Detroit City Council v. Detroit Mayor, 283 Mich App 442 (2009). It has been described as a “rule of construction that is a product of logic and common sense.” 45

The doctrine characterizes the general practice that “when people say one thing they do not mean something else.” In Detroit, the mayor used his veto powers under the Home Rule City Act to veto the Detroit City Council’s disapproval of transfer of authority over the Cobo Convention Center to the Detroit Regional Convention Facility Authority pursuant to the Regional Convention Facility Authority Act, in MCL 141.1351 et. seq., which undermines council’s action.

Detroit is instructive here because, much like this case, it concerns the juxtaposition of broad and specific statutes and differentiating powers of public officials when the legislature mentioned certain powers of an executive in a specific act, but did not mention certain other powers that the official exercised. In ruling that the Home Rule Act did not empower the mayor to use his general veto powers to override the final authority of city council to pass a resolution to disallow the transfer, the court reasoned, as follows:

The mayor answered that the Legislature did not intend to preclude the exercise of the mayoral veto power because it did not expressly do so.

The mayor also relied on MCL 141.1359 of the act, where the

38 Livonia Hotel, at 131.
39 In Re Estate of Koslin, 278 Mich App 47, 58; 748 NW 2d 583(2008).
42 Beatrice, supra.
43 In Re Estate of Koslin, supra.
Legislature expressly precluded the local legislative body from interfering with the local chief executive officer's power to appoint a board member to the Authority, to support his argument that the Legislature did not intend to preclude his exercise of a veto. The mayor argued that the legislative intent to allow a mayoral veto accords with the city's powers under the Home Rule City Act, MCL 117.1 et seq.

... The Circuit Court ruled that, under the plain language of the act, if the city Council rejects by resolution the transfer of authority, then the transfer does not occur. The circuit court relied on the doctrine of expressio unius exclusio alterius, or inclusion by specific mention excludes what is not mentioned. The court noted that the act mentioned certain powers of the local chief executive, but did not mention the veto power. The court concluded:

Thus, applying this maxim to the Act leads to the conclusion that the Legislature did not mean to provide the chief executive officer with the veto power over disapproval resolutions since, while the act delineates several duties or powers of the chief executive officer, none of these include the power to veto a disapproval resolution, and the act expressly confers on the legislative body alone the power to disapprove the transfer.

The court's process to statutory construction was again discussed in Donkers, supra:

The omission of a provision in one statute that is included in another statute should be construed as intentional, and any provision not included by the legislature may not be included by the courts.46 Indeed, "[c]ourts cannot assume that the Legislature inadvertently omitted from one statute the language that it placed in another statute, and then, on the basis of that assumption, applied what is not there."

THE STATUTES AT ISSUE

Mich. Const. 1963, art. VIII, § 2, titled "Free public elementary and secondary schools; discrimination; prohibition against use of public monies or property for nonpublic schools; transportation of students," reads as follows:

Sec. 2. The legislature shall maintain and support a system of free public elementary and secondary schools as defined by law. Every school district shall provide for the education of its pupils without discrimination as to religion, creed, race, color, or national origin.
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Both the Revised School Code and the Local Government Fiscal Responsibility Act contain provisions on operations of school districts; but each law has a different purpose. The preamble to the Revised School Code: announces its purposes is to provide a system of education:

AN ACT to provide a system of public instruction and elementary and secondary schools; to revise, consolidate, and clarify the laws relating to elementary and secondary education; to provide for the organization, regulation, and maintenance of schools, school districts, public school academies, intermediate school districts, and other public school entities; to prescribe rights, powers, duties, and privileges of schools, school districts, public school academies, intermediate school districts, and other public school entities; to provide for the regulation of school teachers and certain other school employees; to provide for school elections and to prescribe powers and duties with respect thereto; to provide for the levy and collection of taxes; to provide for the borrowing of money and issuance of bonds and other evidences of indebtedness; to establish a fund and provide for expenditures from that fund; to provide for and prescribe the powers and duties of certain state departments, the state board of education, and certain other boards and officials; to provide for licensure of boarding schools; to prescribe penalties; and to repeal acts and parts of acts.

The Revised School Code is codified as MCL 380.1 to 380.1852. MCL 380.11a delineates the rights powers and duties of school boards. DPS is a general power school district and therefore is a body corporate and is governed by a school board. MCL 380.11a delineates the general powers of the board and provides in pertinent part:

(3) A general powers school district has all of the rights, powers, and duties expressly stated in this act; may exercise a power implied or incidental to a power expressly stated in this act; and, except as provided by law, may exercise a power incidental or appropriate to the performance of a function related to operation of the school district in the interests of public elementary and secondary education in the school district, including, but not limited to, all of the following:

(a) Educating pupils. In addition to educating pupils in grades K-12, this function may include operation of preschool, lifelong education, adult education, community education, training, enrichment, and recreation programs for other persons.

***

(e) Receiving, accounting for, investing, or expending school district
money; borrowing money and pledging school district funds for repayment; and qualifying for state school aid and other public or private money from local, regional, state, or federal sources.

By comparison, the statute that created the office of EFM, the Local Government Fiscal Responsibility Act has a distinctively different purpose. It announces its purposes as follows:

An act to provide for review, management, planning, and control of the financial operations of units of local government, including school districts; to provide criteria to be used in determining the financial condition of a local government; to permit a declaration of the existence of a local government financial emergency and to prescribe the powers and duties of the governor, other state boards, agencies, and officials, and officials and employees of units of local government; to provide for a review and appeal process; to provide for the appointment and to prescribe the powers and duties of an emergency financial manager; to require the development of financial plans to regulate expenditures and investments by a local government in a state of financial emergency, to set forth conditions for termination of a local government financial emergency; and to repeal certain acts and parts of acts.

The legislature’s reasons for the enactment are stated at section 141.1202, titled Legislative Determinations. It reads as follows:

The Legislature hereby determines that the public health and welfare of the citizens of this state would be adversely affected by the insolvency of units of local government, including certain school districts, and that the survival of units of local government is vitally necessary to the interests of the people of this state to provide necessary governmental services. The legislature further determines that it is vitally necessary to protect the credit of the state and its political subdivisions and that it is a valid public purpose for the state to take action and to assist a unit of local government in a fiscal emergency situation to remedy this emergency situation by requiring prudent fiscal management. The legislature, therefore, determines that the authority and powers conferred by this act constitute a necessary program and serve a valid public purpose.

The Local Government Fiscal Responsibility Act applies to all units of state government. The school district provisions begin at MCL 141.1231. The powers and duties of an emergency financial manager is proscribed beginning in MCL §141.1240.

The relevant provisions are found at MCL §141.1240:

(1) In consultation with the school board, emergency financial manager shall develop and may from time to time a man, a
written financial plan for the school district. The financial plan shall provide for both of the following:

(a) Conducting the operations of the school district within the resources available according to the emergency financial manager's revenue estimate.

***

(2) After the initial development of the financial plan required by subsection (1), the emergency financial manager in consultation with the school board shall regularly reexamine the plan, and if the emergency financial manager reduces his or her revenue estimates, he or she shall modify the financial plan to conform to revised revenue estimates.

***

(4) The emergency financial manager shall make public the plan or modified plan. This subsection shall not be construed to mean that the emergency financial manager must receive public approval before he or she implements the financial plan or any modification to the plan.

MCL §141.1241 lists the powers of the emergency financial manager:

(1) Upon appointment under section 38, an emergency financial manager shall immediately assume control over all fiscal matters of, and make all fiscal decisions for, the school district for which he or she is appointed.

(2) In implementing this article and performing his or her functions under this article, an emergency financial manager may take 1 or more of the following actions:

***

(b) Review payrolls or other claims against the school district before payment.

(c) Negotiate, renegotiate, approve, and enter into contracts on behalf of the school district.

(d) Receive and disburse on behalf of the school district all federal, state, and local funds earmarked for the school district. These funds may include, but are not limited to, funds for specific programs and the retirement of debt.
(e) Adopt a final budget for the next school fiscal year and amend any adopted budget of the school district.

***

(h) Require compliance with his or her orders, by court action if necessary.

***

(k) Consolidate divisions or transfer functions from 1 division to another division within the school district and appoint, supervise, and, at his or her discretion, remove, within legal limitations, heads of divisions of the school district.

(l) Create a new position or approve or disapprove the creation of any new position or the filling of a vacancy in a permanent position by an appointing authority.

***

(o) Reduce expenditures in the budget of the school district.

***

(s) Sell or otherwise use the assets of the school district to meet past or current obligations, provided the use of assets for this purpose does not impair the education of the pupils of the district.

(t) Exercise the authority and responsibilities affecting the financial condition of the school district that are prescribed by law to the school board and superintendent of the school district.

Defendant’s position is that subparagraph (t) allows the EFM to do everything the school board has the authority to do. Defendant relies on this subsection to empower him to exercise the authority and responsibilities affecting the financial condition of the school district that are prescribed by law to the school board. Stating that “EFM by has developed and implemented academic initiatives designed to maximize receipt of federal and state grant funds to implement or prevent the loss of revenues for the district, defendant claimed these initiatives are well within the scope of his statutory authority because, while they are about academic policy, they affect the financial condition of the school district. (Supporting post-hearing brief, page 6.)

Tension rises between the provisions of the Code which grants the Board its
authority and the Act, which grants the EFM his authority when EFM issues decisions dictating academics and curriculum goals and education policy. There is no Michigan authority pertaining to the interpretation of these overlapping statutes, that are rendered ambiguous by their interaction. Therefore this decision is guided by principles of statutory construction. The statutes are in pari materia. In an emergency situation the two statutes merge to allow the best education possible within the resources available to the administrators of the system. It is clear there are two administrators because the Board was not suspended as the Legislature has done in the past. An EFM may be a czar with a great amount of authority but the position is not totally autocratic with unlimited authority. In the case at bar, each administrator has different responsibilities. It is the legislature’s intent that the DPS Board and the DPS emergency financial manager perform a shared mission. Performing that duty requires a recognition of the statutory authority conferred upon both the board and the EFM by the legislature—one to restore fiscal and budgetary responsibility to a financially troubled school district—the other to determine educational goals—the delivery of teaching services, curriculum, assessment testing, educational social policy and to communicate that effectively to the EFM to assist the EFM in making the decisions on how to selectively defray, financially prioritize and meet those goals within the revenues available.

The legislative intent is evidenced by the imposition upon the EFM of a legal duty to consult from time to time with the Board after the initial development of a written financial plan for the district because revenues and expenditures as well as cost are dynamic, not stagnant, changing with the enrollment as well as state aid and federal funding. The EFM’s power to ameliorate or eliminate the district’s financial problem is precisely to establish a sound fiscal and budgetary basis for operating a school district within the financial resources available to it. In this case that means the transfer of the authority of the school district to manage its financial affairs, not to relinquish its educational leadership, to a duly appointed emergency fiscal manager selected solely for his fiscal acumen and empowered specifically to resolve the district’s financial problems. The system of education is not relegated to sound accounting practices. The Act does not marginalize the Board as an irrelevant entity. Instead, a harmonious reading of the statutes leads to the finding that the leadership and supervision over education still resides in the board. The Board has not been suspended as it was in previous legislative enactments. The Act has expressly given the Board a recognized role in times of a fiscal emergency by specific mention of the Board’s role, not once, but several times in the statute.

To adopt the Defendant’s reading would serve to negate the Board altogether and is not sound reasoning given the fact that the purpose and language of the Act is devoid of any reference to academics and affirmatively raises an expectation that the EFM be informed by the Board and assisted in his fiscal function by the board. Bobbs making of all academic, educational and social policy for DPS makes the Act’s inclusive language provisions for the Board surplusage and runs afoul of the legislative intent. In fact the sole mention of the word “education” in the Act is in a limitation clause to eschew retiring debt to the detriment of education.
Notably, the legislature specifically delineated the Board’s powers relating to fiscal matters in MCL 380.11a (3)(e), as opposed to academic matters, which are separately and distinctly delineated in MCL 380.11a(3)(a). Accordingly, Mr. Bobb’s powers under MCL 141.1241(2)(t) are limited to those powers prescribed to the board under MCL 380.11a(3)(e) and do not include powers over academic matters which remain within the province of the board. The two statutes require Mr. Bobb and the board to share responsibilities, the first makes all the fiscal decisions and the latter makes all the decisions on how to educate pupils. Educating pupils includes the issues of school curriculum, hours of the school day, timing and content of examinations, and the individuals hired to teach at the schools.\footnote{Except to the extent stated at MCL 141.1241(2)(m).}

Decisions to restore financial sustainability to the district can easily be made by using the Board’s academic plan and simply identifying what can or cannot be paid for within the revenue resources of the district. The EFM chose to ignore the Board’s plan completely. The Act is intended to prevent insolvency in the school district. The emergency concerns the support and maintenance of DPS, i.e., the leadership and supervision of its education goals. Neither the Department of Education nor the Legislature has declared academic emergency.

Mr. Bobb’s Master Facilities Plan, which he testified is informed by his academic plan and purports to create new campuses, eliminate certain existing schools and reconfigure education in accordance with the features dictated by particular facilities is ultra vires. As stated in the synopsis describing its purposes, the Act, requires the EFM to write a financial plan to regulate expenditures and investments. The Master Facilities Plan details which buildings are being used, closed, or kept open for schools and he obviously closed more schools as the revenue projections were reduced. However, this Court cannot recognize a power that the legislature clearly did not provide. Mr. Bobb cannot usurp the elected board’s authority over academics and curriculum matters by creating his own academic system and programs under the guise of facilities or that his contract with the Governor required him to march forward in this way: He is not being sued by the Governor. The division of labor is still in place: Like the State Board of Education, it is the Board’s charge to supervise public education and likewise the Legislature’s job is to maintain and support, the EFM is imposed to run operations, to provide maintenance and support for the educational goals set by the Board with available revenues and to control spending in a responsible manner that is fiscally sound.

WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Bobb contends that the Board’s mandamus claim fails due to the Board’s failure to carry its burden to prove that the manner of consultation required of the
EFM by law is a non-discretionary function which he did not already correctly perform and thereafter could not correctly perform by meeting with individual Board members. Defendant points out the Board minutes that reflect meetings with the EFM and one or some Board committee member on 5 different occasions; plus a public presentation on the 2009-2010 school budget. On those facts, defendant claims the consultation held with the Board as a quorum combined with the meetings with certain individual board members and a public presentation that the Board, like any member of the public was free to attend, suffice to satisfy any obligation that the Act imposes. Extending this reasoning, Defendant posits, from an initial premise that the Board, having no decision making or voting responsibility in the consultation component, that the EFM properly consults with the Board under the Act by contact with individual members and/or with a standing or advisory committee. Plaintiff disagrees and states it must comply with the Open Meetings Act.48

Defendant’s most cogent argument is that the manner of consultation is discretionary. Defendant takes aim at the supposition that consultation with the board must be conducted in accordance with the Open Meeting Act and requires a regular monthly meeting and a quorum. Defendant reasons that simply because the Board must exercise its statutory obligation in open public meetings that its participation in consultation with defendant requires no action on its part and is merely ancillary to Mr. Bobb’s statutory duties, which involve the guidelines of the Act, not the Code. The EFM posits that there is more left to the imagination, and there is not enough precision and certainty in the Act to leave nothing to the exercise of discretion or judgment. Defendant prays that plaintiffs’ request be denied, claiming to issue a writ of mandamus would be to impose a level of detail not contemplated by the Act. Defendant maintains that there is no clear legal duty in the Act mandating with precision and certainty how the defendant must consult with the Board such that nothing is left to his discretion or judgment. Defendant further maintains that bow often the EFM consults with the Board is also at his discretion.

Defendant cites Flint City Council v. Michigan, 253 Mich. App. 378(2002) where the Court of Appeals held that although the relevant statute in that case required a hearing, the act did not specify what kind of hearing was required. The court reasoned that because the legislature did not specify what the hearing must entail, the scope and nature of the hearing was left to the governor’s discretion. The Court of Appeals further held that the circuit court did not have authority to dictate the procedure to be utilized in connection with the hearing. Similarly, defendant reasons in this case that the Act requires consultation but does not define what consultation means. And just as in Flint City Council, the parameters of the undefined duty should be left to the person responsible for fulfilling his duty, specifically, the EFM, Mr. Bobb. Therefore, defendant reasons that mandamus is inappropriate because there is no clear legal duty nor is there a clear legal right to perform in as specific a manner as the Board seeks.

48 (See Board President’s letter dated August 19, 2009, P’s Ex. 3.)
Black's law dictionary defines mandamus as a "writ issued by a court to compel a government officer to perform a mandatory or purely ministerial duty correctly." Michigan appellate courts have repeatedly held that mandamus is an extraordinary remedy that is only available where there is a legal duty incumbent upon a public official, the person(s) seeking the mandamus has a clear right to the discharge of such duty, and the person seeking the mandamus has no alternative remedy available.\(^{49}\) This means that although a trial court's decision regarding a writ of mandamus is discretionary,\(^{50}\) a writ of mandamus may only be granted where a plaintiff has a clear legal right to the performance of a specific duty sought to be compelled and the defendant has a clear legal duty to perform the requested acts.\(^{51}\)

A "clear legal duty." is ministerial: When considering mandamus, there is a distinction between ministerial and discretionary action. If the act requested by the plaintiff involves judgment or the exercise of discretion, a writ of mandamus is inappropriate.\(^{52}\) Ministerial acts are those defined by law with such precision as to leave nothing to discretion.\(^{53}\) The party seeking mandamus relief has the burden to prove that the specific act sought to be compelled is a ministerial act to which they have a clear legal right to have defendant perform because defendant has a clear legal duty to perform the specific act.\(^{54}\)

Although the act must be ministerial, i.e. involves no exercise of discretion or judgment, again, "where the law prescribes and defines the duty to be performed with such precision and certainty as to leave nothing to the exercise of discretion or judgment," a writ of mandamus can also be sought when the official refuses to exercise their discretion at all. In such instances a writ is issued to compel the official to proceed to exercise their discretion.\(^{55}\) Mandamus is, however, an inappropriate tool to use to control a public official's exercise of discretion and is properly granted when for all practical purposes, there is no other remedy.\(^{56}\)

In addition, in proving entitlement to a writ of mandamus a party also must be without an adequate legal or equitable remedy that might achieve the same result.\(^{57}\) Where the statutes provide no guidance concerning the procedures to follow, the court rules governing such matters are applicable.\(^{58}\)

The EFM has a clear duty to regularly reexamine the written financial plan. The Act has specifically and clearly stated that regular reexamination must be done for the operation of the school district within its resources according to the EFM's

\(^{51}\) \textit{University Medical Affiliates, PC v. Wayne County Executive}, 142 Mich App. 135, 1412(1985);
\(^{54}\) \textit{University Medical Affiliates}, supra, at 142.
\(^{55}\) \textit{Warber}, supra.
\(^{58}\) MCR 3.305.
revenue estimate; attendant to that duty is a requirement under Sec. 141.1240 (2) of the Act that the legal duty be carried out in consultation with the Board upon reexamination of the plan. Discussions with individual board members do not discharge that duty.

With reference to the Board's first request for relief, the sole responsibility under the LGFRA for the development of a written financial plan for the District rests with the EFM. However, he does under Sec. 40 of the Act have a legal duty to consult from time to time with the Board on a plan. Discussions with individual board members do not discharge that duty.

While the business that the board of a school district is authorized to perform must be conducted at a public meeting of the Board held in compliance with the Open Meetings Act, an individual acting in his official capacity is not a "public body" for the purposes of the OMA. The Michigan Supreme Court determined that a city manager was not obligated to follow the OMA because he was not a "public body" within the meaning of that term. The Court stated that the term "public body" connotes a collective entity and that a single individual is not generally recognized as a "board," "commission," "committee," subcommittee," "authority," or "council," terms that the legislature uses to describe "public body." The Court noted that the legislature could have included individuals in its definition of "public body" in the OMA, just as it did in its definition of "public body" for the purposes of the Freedom of Information Act. The Court further recognized the infeasibility of requiring an individual to deliberate in an open meeting pursuant to MCL 15.263(3).

This Court agrees that the manner of consultation required of the EFM by law is a discretionary function, however the action of consultation is mandated in two ways: There is a clear duty to conduct a consultation with the Board upon the development of the initial written plan and again whenever there is a reexamination of the financial plan. Mr. Bobb clearly admitted that he not only failed but simply refused to perform his clear legal duty to consult with the Board any time after August 17, 2009, the date of this lawsuit; and while Mr. Bobb does not have to abide by the OMA, since the consultation must be with the Board, certainly the Board must act in compliance with the OMA in carrying out its duty under both the Code and the Act. That includes all decisions and all deliberations of the Board with a quorum of its members. Consultations between the EFM and the Board may or may not by necessity implicate decisions or deliberations of the Board. It is clear that on the issue of consultation the Act is unambiguous. The word "shall" signals a

59 Craig v. Detroit Pub. Sch. Chief Exec. Officer, 265 Mich. App. 572(2005); §380.1201. The business that the board of a school district is authorized to perform shall be conducted at a public meeting of the board held in compliance with the open meetings act. 1976 PA 267, MCL 15.261 to 15.275. An act of the board is not valid unless the act is authorized at a meeting by a majority vote of the members elected or appointed to and serving on the board and a proper record is made of the vote.
61 Id at 129-130.
62 Id at 130 n 11.
63 Id at 131. MCL 380.120(1).
requirement that Mr. Bobb must engage in the act of consultation with the Board, and in doing so must reexamine the financial plan regularly. The clear duty of the EFM is to regularly reexamine the written financial plan. The Act has specifically stated that regular reexamination is done that provides for conducting the operation of the school district within its resources according to the EFM revenue estimate.

Mr. Bobb readily admits that once the lawsuit was initiated he failed to consult at all with the Board in any manner. And the fact that the revenue estimates have changed and Mr. Bobb has changed the financial plan in response to those vacillations are judicially noticed under MRE 201(b) as those events are a matter of common knowledge, public record and capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned. Accordingly, the first two requirements for issuing a writ of mandamus are met, as the Board has a legal right to have Mr. Bobb consult with it and Mr. Bobb has a clear legal duty to regularly re-examine the financial plan in consultation with the Board. Further, the act of consulting itself with the board is ministerial in nature. The act of consultation itself does not involve exercise of discretion—engaging in an act of consultation is ministerial and Bobb did not have discretion not to consult when he reexamined the financial plan, which he did effectively every time he amended the facilities plan. Notably while the manner of consultation is not ministerial Mr. Bobb admits that after August 9, 2009, he simply refused to do his duty.

Finally, it does not appear that the board has any other adequate legal or equitable remedy when Mr. Bobb fails to consult with the board as he re-examines the financial plan and reduces the revenue estimate: This is implicit in his amendment to the facilities plan. It is obvious the written financial plan changed with the fluctuations from a projected 100 school closures then the actualized 42 school closures at the time of the hearings to the eventual 57 school closures that exist to date. It is clear that the EFM was regularly reexamining the financial plan by the vacillating closures: It is equally clear that he failed to perform his duty to consult when he ignored the Board while amending the closure orders. Defendant has argued successfully in this action that the school closures are done “to save the district money in the future.” Consequently, the EFM cannot contradict himself when defending against plaintiffs’ request for a writ by claiming the facilities plan is not an amendment to the written financial plan. That argument belies defendant’s own theory on the “impairs education” claim, supra. Logic dictates that the revenues estimates were reexamined and changed with every amendment to the closure list and the EFM clearly did not consult with the Board in consideration of anything and certainly not in consideration of assessment testing, deficit teaching policy, ending social policy or closures. Mr. Bobb conflated his freedom to implement his changes and make those amendments public with a right he was not given in the statute, viz the right to regularly reexamine without engaging in consultation with the Board. In so doing he violated a clear legal duty. Except to order Mr. Bobb to do his duty, there is no other adequate legal remedy.

Mandamus relief is also warranted on the counter complaint, however the issue is moot: EFM Bobb seeks an order compelling the Board to comply with his
executive orders or to invalidate Board actions inconsistent with such orders. Section 39 of the LGFRA, provides:

Sec. 39. The emergency financial manager shall issue to the appropriate officials or employees of the school district the orders that he or she considers necessary to accomplish the purposes of this article, including, but not limited to, orders for the timely and satisfactory implementation of a financial plan developed pursuant to section 40. An order issued under this section is binding on the school district officials or employees to whom it is issued. MCL 141.1239

The Board had a clear legal duty to comply with orders issued by the EFM. The Board clearly violated the statute in re-titling Teresa Gueyser's position under these sections and MCL 141.1241(l) and (n), MCL 141.1241(2)(k), and this section:

Sec. 43. The superintendent of public instruction; the department of education; and the school board, administrators, and employees of a school district that has a financial emergency shall provide the assistance and information considered necessary and requested by the emergency financial manager in the effectuation of his or her powers and duties under this article. The school board shall comply with orders issued by the emergency financial manager and may take those actions necessary to comply with this article and as may be prescribed by the review team, the superintendent of public instruction, or the emergency financial manager in implementing this article. MCL 141.1243

However, while the defendant has similarly met his burden of proof on the elements of clear legal duty, Ms. Gueyser's departure from DPS makes the issue moot.

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

MCR 2.605 governs declaratory judgments. A suit for a declaratory judgment asks the court to render judgment on a legal question, allowing the parties with an actual controversy to obtain an adjudication of their rights before the actual issues or
injuries occur. An actual controversy exists when a declaratory ruling is needed to
guide a plaintiff's future conduct in order to preserve legal rights. An actual controversy is necessary to give the court subject matter jurisdiction to enter
declaratory judgment. The plaintiff seeking declaratory judgment must have standing; the plaintiff must have suffered an injury in fact, an invasion of a legally
protected interest that is concrete and particularized and actual and imminent (but
not hypothetical or conjectural); there must be a causal connection between the
injury and the conduct complained of; and it must be likely that the injury will be
redressed by a favorable decision.

Plaintiff has met its burden of proof to receive declaratory judgment on this evidentiary record. As noted in this Court's opinion issued in this case dated May 5,
2010, and/or as stated, supra and infra here, the Board was given the right, power
and duty to set the academic, educational and social policy goals for DPS and the
decision concerning those matters continues to reside with the Board in a financial
emergency where an emergency financial manager is in charge of fiscal operations
for the school district. The timing and the content of assessment testing is an issue of
public education, which is a responsibility of the Board. In a financial emergency,
an EFM for a school district has no leadership and supervisory statutory authority
over educational goals and methods of approach and attainment except to decide for
the school board all it's operational, funding and budget defrayments. An EFM is an
accounting officer—there to carry out the legislative function of support and
maintenance; and EFM is not imposed to carry out the leadership and supervisory
function of the Board of education; that power still remains with the Board. The
discipline of democracy dictates that the transfer of power is a peacefully transferred
through the dynamics of Congress. It is the function of this Court to determine
existing rights and clearly there is no provision in the Act that bestowed academic or
educational authority on the EFM. Accordingly, plaintiff is entitled declaratory
judgment that academic authority is reposed in the Board.

PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Defendant points out that the Board, again, did not brief this Court on the law
for injunctive relief. Generally, the same considerations for preliminary injunctions
apply to permanent injunctions. It is an extraordinary measure granted when there is
no adequate remedy at law and there is a real and imminent danger of irreparable

injury.\textsuperscript{69}

For a preliminary injunction there is a 4 factor analysis: the likelihood that the party seeking the injunction will prevail, the danger of irreparable injury to the party seeking the injunction if it is not issued; the risk that the party seeking the injunction will be harmed more by its absence than the opposing party will be harmed by its issuance; and the harm to the public interest if it is granted.\textsuperscript{70} Also, a permanent injunction should not be granted if its enforcement would be too difficult or if it is ineffectual.\textsuperscript{71}

Once a judge has considered an application for an injunction, she may reconsider the same matter or another injunction for the same purpose on any subsequent request. This is true whether the original request for an injunction was granted in whole or in part, or whether an injunction was granted conditionally or on terms.\textsuperscript{72}

In cases dealing with injunctive relief, courts restate the general rule of irreparable harm.\textsuperscript{73} Irreparable harm is simply an injury for which there is no legal amount of damages or for which damages cannot be determined with a sufficient degree of certainty.\textsuperscript{74} Economic injuries are not irreparable because they can be remedied by damages at law, however the loss of consumer goodwill and weakened ability to fairly compete that will result from disclosure of trade secrets and breach of noncompetition agreement have been held to establish irreparable injury.\textsuperscript{75}

MCR 3.310(C), \textit{et seq} requires that an order for an injunction must have each of the following: the reason for its issuance; its terms; in reasonable detail, the acts restrained (without reference to the complaint or other document); a statement that it is binding on the parties to the action, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys and on those persons in active concert or participation with them who received actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise; if security is not required, the reason must be stated. Under MCR 3.310(D)(2), security is not required of a Michigan county or municipal corporation or its officer or agency acting in an official capacity.

An injunction must be an all-inclusive, self-explanatory instrument-it must state the reason for its issuance, be specific in its terms and describe in reasonable detail the acts sought to be restrained. The purpose of these requirements is to enable the person served with the injunction to determine its purpose and the acts proscribed without having to refer to other documents, such as court pleadings, that

\textsuperscript{70} \textit{Alliance for Mentally Ill v. Department of Community Health}, 231 Mich App 447(1998).
\textsuperscript{72} MCR 3.310(G)(1).
the person may not possess.76

An injunction is binding the parties to the action on their officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys and on those persons in active concert or participation with them received actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise. MCR 3.310(C)(4). The court may enjoin those in privity with a party.77

A person not named in the injunction nor included within the description listed in MCR 3.310(c)(4) is not bound by the injunction.78 However, a person who is not a party to the suit but who had notice of the injunction because he/she was served of a copy of it risk contempt proceedings if he or she helps a party violate it.79

An injunction may not be vacated except by direct appeal and appeal of an allegedly improper injunctive order does not automatically stay enforcement of it. After an appeal is taken from an injunction, the court that issued it has the power to punish those who disobey it in a contempt proceeding.

The rulings supra detail the reasons the Board succeeded on the merits in its major requests for mandamus and declaratory relief. Therefore, the remaining elements for injunctive relief of irreparable harm, balancing the harm, and no adequate remedy are addressed here.

Robert Bobb put on the identity of DPS to the exclusion of the Board. He rendered the elected Board a nullity existing in name only, yet totally disempowered to effectuate any decisions the electorate authorized it to make; and recently without a secretary to record its history, as if it has been erased not only from any and all relevance but from all embodiment. What flows from his fiscal decisions is the complete frustration of the Board’s ability or capacity to carry out its official duty. This is irreparable harm. He was empowered to figure out how to pay for education fashioned by the Board. Instead he created education products he proposed to implement. His business paradigm envisions competitive marketplace schools where parents shop like consumers for the best schools with best being dictated by survival of the fittest principles of caveat emptor. Dr. Lipman warned that this competitive business approach to teaching and learning is shortsighted because competition among teachers and schools kills sharing pathways to successful teaching methods for educational professionals and consequently degrades widespread learning across the district. Schools will compete for the best students leaving less gifted children or those that come from households that somehow fail to present their children to school in the optimal ready-to-learn state, may fall by the wayside. Only schools with the best teachers will thrive and without encouragement to foster cooperation among and between teachers and schools the weak perish. While the current governance structure has not succeeded in quality control, academics or finances, the unauthorized EFM’s vision is uninformed by the lack of

education expertise leaving it subject to criticism as a short-sighted business patch, short on teaching and learning wisdom, a short term fix where some stand to profit shielded to some extent from the eye of public oversight of competitive bids.

This is the vision that emanates from a person who had to be chosen solely based on his finance credentials and who has no teaching certificate, training or experience, no education or counseling background; all his study in education has emanated from unvetted sources, who may stand to benefit financially should his academic plans come to fruition and who have supplemented his pay. He hired Barbara Byrd Bennett as an education expert, who answers to the title ‘Doctor’ but admits that her degree is merely honorary. Issuing the injunction will result in far less harm to the EFM and his staff because they have already implemented the school closures. On balance, the Board and Detroiters who have had no enforceable say in school governance, particularly education, since March 2009, will be particularly harmed if the marketplace school experiment is foisted on children and teachers without the sanction of the statutorily responsible entity making that decision. The public interest is best served by allowing the legislature to clearly grant an EFM academic authority vis-à-vis sanctioning the exercise of punishing power of the purse as a sword instead of a shield for education, teaching and children. There is no adequate remedy for being prevented from performing a statutory duty.

There is no need for security for this injunctive relief where both parties are public officials.

Circuit Court Judge

---
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ENROLLED HOUSE BILL No. 4214

AN ACT to safeguard and assure the fiscal accountability of units of local government, including school districts; to preserve the capacity of units of local government to provide or cause to be provided necessary services essential to the public health, safety, and welfare; to provide for review, management, planning, and control of the financial operation of units of local government and the provision of services by units of local government, including school districts; to provide criteria to be used in determining the financial condition of units of local government, including school districts; to permit a declaration of the existence of a local government financial emergency and to prescribe the powers and duties of the governor, other state departments, boards, agencies, officials, and employees, and officials and employees of units of local government, including school districts; to provide for placing units of local government, including school districts, into receivership; to provide for a review and appeal process; to provide for the appointment and to prescribe the powers and duties of an emergency manager; to require the development of financial and operational plans to regulate expenditures, investments, and the provision of services by units of local government, including school districts, in a state of financial stress or financial emergency; to provide for the modification or termination of contracts under certain circumstances; to set forth the conditions for termination of a local government financial emergency; and to repeal acts and parts of acts.

The People of the State of Michigan enact:

Sec. 1. This act shall be known and may be cited as the “local government and school district fiscal accountability act”.
Sec. 3. The legislature hereby determines that the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of this state would be materially and adversely affected by the insolvency of local governments and that the fiscal accountability of local governments is vitally necessary to the interests of the citizens of this state to assure the provision of necessary governmental services essential to public health, safety, and welfare. The legislature further determines that it is vitally necessary to protect the credit of this state and its political subdivisions and that it is necessary for the public good and it is a valid public purpose for this state to take action and to assist a local government in a condition of financial stress or financial emergency so as to remedy the stress or emergency by requiring prudent fiscal management and efficient provision of services, permitting the restructuring of contractual obligations, and prescribing the powers and duties of state and local government officials and emergency managers. The legislature, therefore, determines that the authority and powers conferred by this act constitute a necessary program and serve a valid public purpose.

Sec. 5. As used in this act:

(a) "Chief administrative officer" means any of the following:

(i) The manager of a village or, if a village does not employ a manager, the president of the village.

(ii) The city manager of a city or, if a city does not employ a city manager, the mayor of the city.

(iii) The manager of a township or the manager or superintendent of a charter township, or if the township does not employ a manager or superintendent, the supervisor of the township.

(iv) The elected county executive or appointed county manager of a county; or if the county has not adopted the provisions of either 1973 PA 139, MCL 45.551 to 45.573, or 1966 PA 293, MCL 45.501 to 45.521, the county's chairperson of the county board of commissioners.

(v) The chief operating officer of an authority or of a public utility owned by a city, village, township, or county.

(vi) The superintendent of a school district.

(b) "Emergency manager" or "manager" means the emergency manager appointed under section 15. An emergency manager includes an emergency financial manager appointed under former 1988 PA 101 or former 1990 PA 72.

(c) "Entity" means a partnership, nonprofit or business corporation, limited liability company, labor organization, or any other association, corporation, trust, or other legal entity.

(d) "Financial and operating plan" means a written financial and operating plan for a local government under section 18, including an academic and educational plan for a school district.

(e) "Local government" means a municipal government or a school district.

(f) "Local inspector" means a certified forensic accountant, certified public accountant, attorney,
or similarly credentialed person whose responsibility it is to determine the existence of proper internal and management controls, fraud, criminal activity, or any other accounting or management deficiencies.

(g) "Municipal government" means a city, a village, a township, a charter township, a county, an authority established by law, or a public utility owned by a city, village, township, or county.

(h) "Review team" means a review team designated under section 12.

(i) "School board" means the governing body of a school district.

(j) "School district" means a school district as that term is defined in section 6 of the revised school code, 1976 PA 451, MCL 380.6, or an intermediate school district as that term is defined in section 4 of the revised school code, 1976 PA 451, MCL 380.4.

(k) "State financial authority" means the following:

(i) For a municipal government, the state treasurer.

(ii) For a school district, the superintendent of public instruction.

Sec. 12. (1) The state financial authority of a local government may conduct a preliminary review to determine the existence of a local government financial problem if 1 or more of the following occur:

(a) The governing body or the chief administrative officer of a local government requests a preliminary review under this act. The request shall be in writing and shall identify the existing or anticipated financial conditions or events that make the request necessary.

(b) The state financial authority receives a written request from a creditor with an undisputed claim that remains unpaid 6 months after its due date against the local government that exceeds the greater of $10,000.00 or 1% of the annual general fund budget of the local government, provided that the creditor notifies the local government in writing at least 30 days before his or her request to the state financial authority of his or her intention to submit a written request under this subdivision.

(c) The state financial authority receives a petition containing specific allegations of local government financial distress signed by a number of registered electors residing within the local government's jurisdiction equal to not less than 5% of the total vote cast for all candidates for governor within the local government’s jurisdiction at the last preceding election at which a governor was elected. Petitions shall not be filed under this subdivision within 60 days before any election of the local government.

(d) The state financial authority receives written notification that a local government has not timely deposited its minimum obligation payment to the local government pension fund as required by law.

(e) The state financial authority receives written notification that the local government has failed
for a period of 7 days or more after the scheduled date of payment to pay wages and salaries or other compensation owed to employees or benefits owed to retirees.

(f) The state financial authority receives written notification from a trustee, paying agent, bondholder, or auditor engaged by the local government of a default in a bond or note payment or a violation of 1 or more bond or note covenants.

(g) The state financial authority of a local government receives a resolution from either the senate or the house of representatives requesting a preliminary review under this section.

(h) The local government has violated a requirement of, or a condition of an order issued pursuant to, former 1943 PA 202, the revenue bond act of 1933, 1933 PA 94, MCL 141.101 to 141.140, the revised municipal finance act, 2001 PA 34, MCL 141.2101 to 141.2821, or any other law governing the issuance of bonds or notes.

(i) A municipal government has violated the conditions of an order issued by the local emergency financial assistance loan board pursuant to the emergency municipal loan act, 1980 PA 243, MCL 141.931 to 141.942.

(j) The local government has violated a requirement of sections 17 to 20 of the uniform budgeting and accounting act, 1968 PA 2, MCL 141.437 to 141.440.

(k) The local government fails to timely file an annual financial report or audit that conforms with the minimum procedures and standards of the state financial authority and is required for local governments under the uniform budgeting and accounting act, 1968 PA 2, MCL 141.421 to 141.440a, or 1919 PA 71, MCL 21.41 to 21.55. In addition, if the local government is a school district, the school district fails to provide an annual financial report or audit that conforms with the minimum procedures and standards of the superintendent of public instruction and is required under the revised school code, 1976 PA 451, MCL 380.1 to 380.1852, and 1979 PA 94, MCL 388.1601 to 388.1772.

(l) A municipal government is delinquent in the distribution of tax revenues, as required by law, that it has collected for another taxing jurisdiction, and that taxing jurisdiction requests a preliminary review.

(m) A local government is in breach of its obligations under a deficit elimination plan or an agreement entered into pursuant to a deficit elimination plan.

(n) A court has ordered an additional tax levy without the prior approval of the governing body of the local government.

(o) A municipal government has ended a fiscal year in a deficit condition as defined in section 21 of the Glenn Steil state revenue sharing act of 1971, 1971 PA 140, MCL 141.921, or has failed to comply with the requirements of that section for filing or instituting a financial plan to correct the deficit condition.

(p) A school district ended its most recently completed fiscal year with a deficit in 1 or more of
its funds and the school district has not submitted a deficit elimination plan to the state financial authority within 30 days after the district’s deadline for submission of its annual financial statement.

(q) A local government has been assigned a long-term debt rating within or below the BBB category or its equivalent by 1 or more nationally recognized credit rating agencies.

(r) The existence of other facts or circumstances that in the state treasurer’s sole discretion for a municipal government are indicative of municipal financial stress, or, that in the superintendent of public instruction’s sole discretion for a school district are indicative of school district financial stress.

(2) If the state financial authority determines that a preliminary review is appropriate under this section, before commencing the preliminary review the state financial authority shall give the local government specific written notification of the review. The preliminary review shall be completed within 30 days following its commencement. Elected and appointed officials of a local government shall promptly and fully provide the assistance and information requested by the state financial authority for that local government in conducting the preliminary review.

(3) If a finding of probable financial stress is made for a municipal government under subsection (2), the governor shall appoint a review team for that municipal government consisting of the state treasurer or his or her designee, the director of the department of technology, management, and budget or his or her designee, a nominee of the senate majority leader, and a nominee of the speaker of the house of representatives. The governor may appoint other state officials or other persons with relevant professional experience to serve on a review team to undertake a municipal financial management review.

(4) If a finding of probable financial stress is made for a school district under subsection (2), the governor shall appoint a review team for that school district consisting of the state treasurer or his or her designee, the superintendent of public instruction or his or her designee, the director of the department of technology, management, and budget or his or her designee, a nominee of the senate majority leader, and a nominee of the speaker of the house of representatives. The governor may appoint other state officials or other persons with relevant professional experience to serve on a review team to undertake a school district financial management review.

(5) The department of treasury shall provide staff support to each review team.

(6) A review team appointed under former 1988 PA 101 or former 1990 PA 72 and serving on the effective date of this act shall continue under this act to fulfill their powers and duties. All proceedings and actions taken by the governor, the state treasurer, or a review team under former 1988 PA 101 or former 1990 PA 72 before the effective date of this act are ratified and are enforceable as if the proceedings and actions were taken under this act, and a consent agreement entered into under former 1988 PA 101 or former 1990 PA 72 is ratified and is binding and enforceable under this act.

Sec. 13. (1) The review team shall have full power in its review to perform all of the following functions:
(a) Examine the books and records of the local government.

(b) Utilize the services of other state agencies and employees.

(c) Negotiate and sign a consent agreement with the chief administrative officer of the local government. The consent agreement may provide for remedial measures considered necessary to address the local financial problem and provide for the financial stability of the local government and may include either a continuing operations plan or recovery plan as described in section 14a. The consent agreement may utilize state financial management and technical assistance as necessary in order to alleviate the local financial problem. The consent agreement shall also provide for periodic financial status reports to the state financial authority. In order for the consent agreement to go into effect, it shall be approved, by resolution, by the governing body of the local government and shall be approved and executed by the state financial authority. A consent agreement shall provide that in the event of a material uncured breach of the consent agreement, the state treasurer is authorized to place the local government in receivership as provided under section 15.

(2) The review team shall meet with the local government as part of its review. At this meeting, the review team shall receive, discuss, and consider information provided by the local government concerning the financial condition of the local government.

(3) The review team shall report its findings to the governor, with a copy to the state financial authority, within 60 days following the appointment of the review team under section 12 or earlier if required by the governor. Upon request, the governor may grant one 30-day extension of this 60-day time limit. A copy of the report shall be forwarded by the state treasurer to the chief administrative officer and the governing body of the local government, the speaker of the house of representatives, the senate majority leader, and the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district. The report shall include the existence, or an indication of the likely occurrence, of any of the following:

(a) A default in the payment of principal or interest upon bonded obligations, notes, or other municipal securities for which no funds or insufficient funds are on hand and, if required, segregated in a special trust fund.

(b) Failure for a period of 30 days or more beyond the due date to transfer 1 or more of the following to the appropriate agency:

(i) Taxes withheld on the income of employees.

(ii) For a municipal government, taxes collected by the municipal government as agent for another governmental unit, school district, or other entity or taxing authority.

(iii) Any contribution required by a pension, retirement, or benefit plan.

(c) Failure for a period of 7 days or more after the scheduled date of payment to pay wages and salaries or other compensation owed to employees or benefits owed to retirees.
(d) The total amount of accounts payable for the current fiscal year, as determined by the state financial authority's uniform chart of accounts, is in excess of 10% of the total expenditures of the local government in that fiscal year.

(e) Failure to eliminate an existing deficit in any fund of the local government within the 2-year period preceding the end of the local government's fiscal year during which the review team report is received.

(f) Projection of a deficit in the general fund of the local government for the current fiscal year in excess of 5% of the budgeted revenues for the general fund.

(g) Failure to comply in all material respects with the terms of an approved deficit elimination plan or an agreement entered into pursuant to a deficit elimination plan.

(h) Existence of material loans to the general fund from other local government funds that are not regularly settled between the funds or that are increasing in scope.

(i) Existence after the close of the fiscal year of material recurring unbudgeted subsidies from the general fund to other major funds as defined under government accounting standards board principles.

(j) Existence of a structural operating deficit.

(k) Use of restricted revenues for purposes not authorized by law.

(l) Any other facts and circumstances indicative of local government financial stress or financial emergency.

(4) The review team shall include 1 of the following conclusions in its report:

(a) The local government is not in financial stress or is in a condition of mild financial stress as provided in section 14.

(b) The local government is in a condition of severe financial stress as provided in section 14, but a consent agreement containing a plan to resolve the problem has been adopted pursuant to subsection (1)(c).

(c) The local government is in a condition of severe financial stress as provided in section 14, and a consent agreement has not been adopted pursuant to subsection (1)(c).

(d) A financial emergency exists as provided in section 14 and no satisfactory plan exists to resolve the emergency.

(5) The review team may, with the approval of the state financial authority, appoint an individual or firm to carry out the review and submit a report to the review team for approval. The department of treasury may enter into a contract with the individual or firm respecting the terms and conditions of the appointment.
Sec. 14. (1) For purposes of this act, a local government is considered to be in a condition of no financial stress or mild financial stress if the report required in section 13 concludes that none of the factors in section 13(3) exist or are likely to occur within the current or next succeeding fiscal year or, if they occur, do not threaten the local government's capability to provide necessary governmental services essential to public health, safety, and welfare.

(2) For purposes of this act, a local government is considered to be in a condition of severe financial stress if either of the following occurs:

(a) The report required in section 13 concludes that 1 or more of the factors in section 13(3) exist or are likely to occur within the current or next succeeding fiscal year and, if left unaddressed, may threaten the local government's future capability to provide necessary governmental services essential to the public health, safety, and welfare.

(b) The chief administrative officer of the local government recommends that the local government be considered in severe financial stress.

(3) For purposes of this act, a local government is considered to be in a condition of financial emergency if any of the following occur:

(a) The report required in section 13 concludes that 2 or more of the factors in section 13(3) exist or are likely to occur within the current fiscal year and threaten the local government's current and future capability to provide necessary governmental services essential to the public health, safety, and welfare.

(b) The local government has failed to provide timely and accurate information enabling the review team to complete its report under section 13.

(c) The local government has failed to comply in all material respects with a continuing operations plan or recovery plan, as provided in section 14a, or with the terms of an approved deficit elimination plan or an agreement entered into pursuant to a deficit elimination plan.

(d) The local government is in material breach of a consent agreement entered into under section 13(1)(c).

(e) The local government is in a condition of severe financial stress as provided in subsection (2), and a consent agreement has not been adopted pursuant to section 13(1)(c).

(f) The chief administrative officer of the local government, based upon the existence or likely occurrence of 1 or more of the factors in section 13(3), recommends that a financial emergency be declared and the state treasurer concurs with the recommendation.

Sec. 14a. (1) A consent agreement as provided in section 13(1)(c) may require a continuing operations plan or a recovery plan if required by the state financial authority.

(2) If the state treasurer requires that a consent agreement include a continuing operations plan, the local government shall prepare and file the continuing operations plan with the state treasurer as provided for in the consent agreement. The state financial authority shall approve or reject the
initial continuing operations plan within 14 days of receiving it from the local government. If a plan is rejected, the local government shall file an amended plan within 30 days of the rejection addressing any concerns raised by the state financial authority. If the amended plan is rejected, then the local government is considered to be in material breach of the consent agreement. The local government is required to file annual updates to its continuing operations plan. The annual updates shall be included with the annual filing of the local government’s audit report with the state financial authority as long as the continuing operations plan remains in effect.

(3) The continuing operations plan shall be in a form prescribed by the state financial authority, but shall, at a minimum, include all of the following:

(a) A detailed projected budget of revenues and expenditures over not less than 3 fiscal years which demonstrates that the local government’s expenditures will not exceed its revenues and that any existing deficits will be eliminated during the projected budget period.

(b) A cash flow projection for the budget period.

(c) An operating plan for the budget period that assures fiscal accountability for the local government.

(d) A plan showing reasonable and necessary maintenance and capital expenditures so as to assure the local government’s fiscal accountability.

(e) An evaluation of the costs associated with pension and postemployment health care obligations for which the local government is responsible and a plan for how those costs will be addressed within the budget period.

(f) A provision for submitting quarterly compliance reports to the state financial authority demonstrating compliance with the continuing operations plan.

(4) If a continuing operations plan is approved for a municipal government, the municipal government shall amend the budget and general appropriations ordinance adopted by the municipal government under the uniform budgeting and accounting act, 1968 PA 2, MCL 141.421 to 141.440a, to the extent necessary or advisable to give full effect to the continuing operations plan. If a continuing operations plan is approved for a school district, the school district shall amend the budget adopted by the school district under the uniform budgeting and accounting act, 1968 PA 2, MCL 141.421 to 141.440a, to the extent necessary or advisable to give full effect to the continuing operations plan. The chief administrative officer, the chief financial officer, the governing body, and other officials of the local government shall take and direct such actions as may be necessary or advisable to maintain the local government’s operations in compliance with the continuing operations plan.

(5) If the state financial authority requires that a consent agreement include a recovery plan, the state financial authority shall develop and adopt, in consultation with the review team if desired by the state financial authority, a recovery plan. If a recovery plan is developed and adopted for the local government, the local government thereafter is required to file annual updates to its recovery plan. The annual updates shall be included with the annual filing of the local
government's audit report with the state financial authority as long as the recovery plan remains in effect.

(6) A recovery plan may include terms and provisions as may be approved in the discretion of the state treasurer, including, but not limited to, any 1 or more of the following:

(a) A detailed projected budget of revenues and expenditures over not less than 3 fiscal years which demonstrates that the local government's expenditures will not exceed its revenues and that any existing deficits will be eliminated during the projected budget period.

(b) A cash flow projection for the budget period.

(c) An operating plan for the budget period that assures fiscal accountability for the local government.

(d) A plan showing reasonable and necessary maintenance and capital expenditures so as to assure the local government's fiscal accountability.

(e) An evaluation of costs associated with pension and postemployment health care obligations for which the local government is responsible and a plan for how those costs will be addressed to assure that current obligations are met and that steps are taken to reduce future unfunded obligations.

(f) Procedures for cash control and cash management, including, but not limited to, procedures for timely collection, securing, depositing, balancing, and expending of cash, and may include the designation of appropriate fiduciaries.

(g) A provision for submitting quarterly compliance reports to the state financial authority and the chief administrative officer of the local government that demonstrates compliance with the recovery plan.

(7) The recovery plan may include the appointment of a local auditor or local inspector, or both, in accordance with section 19(1)(p).

(8) If a recovery plan is developed and adopted by the state financial authority for a local government, the recovery plan shall supersede the budget and general appropriations ordinance adopted by the local government under the uniform budgeting and accounting act, 1968 PA 2, MCL 141.421 to 141.440a, and the budget and general appropriations ordinance is considered amended to the extent necessary or advisable to give full effect to the recovery plan. In the event of any inconsistency between the recovery plan and the budget or general appropriations ordinance, the recovery plan shall control. The chief administrative officer, the chief financial officer, the governing body, and other officers of the local government shall take and direct actions as may be necessary or advisable to bring and maintain the local government's operations in compliance with the recovery plan.

(9) Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the consent agreement may include a grant to the chief administrative officer, the chief financial officer, the governing body, or other officers of
the local government by the state treasurer of 1 or more of the powers prescribed for emergency managers in section 19 for such periods and upon such terms and conditions as the state treasurer considers necessary or convenient, in the state treasurer’s discretion to enable the local government to achieve the goals and objectives of the consent agreement. However, the consent agreement shall not include a grant to the chief administrative officer, the chief financial officer, the governing body, or other officers of the local government of the powers prescribed for emergency managers in section 19(1)(k).

(10) Unless the state treasurer determines otherwise, beginning 30 days after the date a local government enters into a consent agreement under this act, that local government is not subject to section 15(1) of 1947 PA 336, MCL 423.215, for the remaining term of the consent agreement.

(11) The consent agreement may provide for the required retention by the local government of a consultant for the purpose of assisting the local government to achieve the goals and objectives of the consent agreement.

(12) A local government is released from the requirements under this section upon compliance with the consent agreement as determined by the state financial authority.

Sec. 15. (1) Within 10 days after receipt of the report provided for in section 13, the governor shall make 1 of the following determinations:

(a) The local government is not in a condition of severe financial stress.

(b) The local government is in a condition of severe financial stress as provided in section 14, but a consent agreement containing a plan to resolve the financial stress has been adopted under this act.

(c) A local government financial emergency exists as provided in section 14 and no satisfactory plan exists to resolve the emergency.

(d) The local government entered into a consent agreement containing a continuing operations plan or recovery plan to resolve a financial problem, but materially breached that consent agreement.

(2) If the governor determines pursuant to subsection (1) that a financial emergency exists, the governor shall provide the governing body and chief administrative officer of the local government with a written notification of the determination, findings of fact utilized as the basis upon which this determination was made, a concise and explicit statement of the underlying facts supporting the factual findings, and notice that the chief administrative officer or the governing body of the local government has 7 days after the date of the notification to request a hearing conducted by the state financial authority or the state financial authority’s designee. Following the hearing, or if no hearing is requested following the expiration of the deadline by which a hearing may be requested, the governor, in his or her sole discretion based upon the record, shall either confirm or revoke, in writing, the determination of the existence of a financial emergency. If confirmed, the governor shall provide a written report to the governing body and chief administrative officer of the local government of the findings of fact of the continuing or newly
developed conditions or events providing a basis for the confirmation of a financial emergency, and a concise and explicit statement of the underlying facts supporting these factual findings.

(3) A local government for which a financial emergency determination under this section has been confirmed to exist may, by resolution adopted by a vote of 2/3 of the members of its governing body elected and serving, appeal this determination within 10 business days to the Ingham county circuit court. The court shall not set aside a determination of financial emergency by the governor unless it finds that the determination is either of the following:

(a) Not supported by competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record.

(b) Arbitrary, capricious, or clearly an abuse or unwarranted exercise of discretion.

(4) Upon the confirmation of a finding of a financial emergency, the governor shall declare the local government in receivership and shall appoint an emergency manager to act for and in the place and stead of the governing body and the office of chief administrative officer of the local government. The emergency manager shall have broad powers in receivership to rectify the financial emergency and to assure the fiscal accountability of the local government and the local government’s capacity to provide or cause to be provided necessary governmental services essential to the public health, safety, and welfare. Upon the declaration of receivership and during the pendency of receivership, the governing body and the chief administrative officer of the local government may not exercise any of the powers of those offices except as may be specifically authorized in writing by the emergency manager and are subject to any conditions required by the emergency manager.

(5) All of the following apply to an emergency manager:

(a) The emergency manager shall have a minimum of 5 years’ experience and demonstrable expertise in business, financial, or local or state budgetary matters.

(b) The emergency manager may but need not be a resident of the local government.

(c) The emergency manager shall be an individual.

(d) Except as otherwise provided in this subdivision, the emergency manager shall serve at the pleasure of the governor. An emergency manager is subject to impeachment and conviction by the legislature as if he or she were a civil officer under section 7 of article XI of the state constitution of 1963. A vacancy in the office of emergency manager shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.

(e) The emergency manager’s compensation and reimbursement for actual and necessary expenses shall be paid by the local government and shall be set forth in a contract approved by the state treasurer. The contract shall be posted on the department of treasury’s website within 7 days after the contract is approved by the state treasurer.

(6) In addition to staff otherwise authorized by law, an emergency manager shall appoint additional staff and secure professional assistance as the emergency manager considers necessary
to fulfill his or her appointment.

(7) The emergency manager shall make quarterly reports to the state treasurer with respect to the financial condition of the local government in receivership, with a copy to the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district.

(8) The emergency manager shall continue in the capacity of an emergency manager as follows:

(a) Until removed by the governor or the legislature as provided in subsection (5)(d). If an emergency manager is removed pursuant to this subdivision, the governor shall within 30 days of the removal appoint a new emergency manager.

(b) Until the financial emergency is rectified.

(9) A local government shall be removed from receivership when the financial conditions are corrected in a sustainable fashion as determined by the state treasurer in accordance with this act.

(10) The governor may delegate his or her duties under this section to the state treasurer.

Sec. 15a. Notwithstanding section 3(1) of 1968 PA 317, MCL 15.323, an emergency manager appointed under this act or former 1988 PA 101 or former 1990 PA 72 is subject to all of the following:

(a) 1968 PA 317, MCL 15.321 to 15.330, as a public servant.

(b) 1973 PA 196, MCL 15.341 to 15.348, as a public officer.

(c) 1968 PA 318, MCL 15.301 to 15.310, as if he or she were a state officer.

Sec. 16. An emergency financial manager appointed under former 1988 PA 101 or former 1990 PA 72, and serving on the effective date of this act, shall continue under this act to fulfill his or her powers and duties.

Sec. 17. (1) The emergency manager shall issue to the appropriate local elected and appointed officials and employees, agents, and contractors of the local government the orders the manager considers necessary to accomplish the purposes of this act, including, but not limited to, orders for the timely and satisfactory implementation of a financial and operating plan developed pursuant to section 18, including an academic and educational plan for a school district, or to take actions, or refrain from taking actions, to enable the orderly accomplishment of the financial and operating plan. An order issued under this section is binding on the local elected and appointed officials and employees, agents, and contractors of the local government to whom it is issued. Local elected and appointed officials and employees, agents, and contractors of the local government shall take and direct those actions that are necessary and advisable to maintain compliance with the financial and operating plan.

(2) If an order of the emergency manager under subsection (1) is not reasonably carried out and the failure to carry out an order is disrupting the emergency manager's ability to manage the local government, the emergency manager, in addition to other remedies provided in this act, may
prohibit the local elected or appointed official or employee, agent, or contractor of the local government from access to the local government's office facilities, electronic mail, and internal information systems.

Sec. 18. (1) The emergency manager shall develop and may amend a written financial and operating plan for the local government. The plan shall have the objectives of assuring that the local government is able to provide necessary or cause to be provided governmental services essential to the public health, safety, and welfare and assuring the fiscal accountability of the local government. The financial and operating plan shall provide for all of the following:

(a) Conducting all aspects of the operations of the local government within the resources available according to the emergency manager’s revenue estimate.

(b) The payment in full of the scheduled debt service requirements on all bonds, notes, and municipal securities of the local government and all other uncontested legal obligations.

(c) The modification, rejection, termination, and renegotiation of contracts pursuant to section 19.

(d) The timely deposit of required payments to the pension fund for the local government or in which the local government participates.

(e) For school districts, an academic and educational plan.

(f) Any other actions considered necessary by the emergency manager in the emergency manager’s discretion to achieve the objectives of the financial and operating plan, alleviate the financial emergency, and remove the local government from receivership.

(2) Within 45 days after the emergency manager’s appointment, the emergency manager shall submit the financial and operating plan to the state treasurer, with a copy to the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district, and to the chief administrative officer and governing body of the local government. The plan shall be regularly reexamined by the emergency manager and the state treasurer and may be modified from time to time by the emergency manager with notice to the state treasurer. If the emergency manager reduces his or her revenue estimates, the emergency manager shall modify the plan to conform to the revised revenue estimates.

(3) The financial and operating plan shall be in a form as provided by the state treasurer and shall contain that information for each year during which year the plan is in effect that the emergency manager, in consultation with the state financial authority, specifies. The financial and operating plan may serve as a deficit elimination plan otherwise required by law if so approved by the state financial authority.

(4) The emergency manager, within 30 days of submitting the financial and operating plan to the state financial authority, shall conduct a public informational meeting on the plan and any modifications to the plan. This subsection does not mean that the emergency manager must receive public approval before he or she implements the plan or any modification of the plan.
Sec. 19. (1) An emergency manager may take 1 or more of the following additional actions with respect to a local government which is in receivership, notwithstanding any charter provision to the contrary:

(a) Analyze factors and circumstances contributing to the financial emergency of the local government and initiate steps to correct the condition.

(b) Amend, revise, approve, or disapprove the budget of the local government, and limit the total amount appropriated or expended.

(c) Receive and disburse on behalf of the local government all federal, state, and local funds earmarked for the local government. These funds may include, but are not limited to, funds for specific programs and the retirement of debt.

(d) Require and approve or disapprove, or amend or revise a plan for paying all outstanding obligations of the local government.

(e) Require and prescribe the form of special reports to be made by the finance officer of the local government to its governing body, the creditors of the local government, the emergency manager, or the public.

(f) Examine all records and books of account, and require under the procedures of the uniform budgeting and accounting act, 1968 PA 2, MCL 141.421 to 141.440a, or 1919 PA 71, MCL 21.41 to 21.55, or both, the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, papers, contracts, and other documents relevant to an analysis of the financial condition of the local government.

(g) Make, approve, or disapprove any appropriation, contract, expenditure, or loan, the creation of any new position, or the filling of any vacancy in a position by any appointing authority.

(h) Review payrolls or other claims against the local government before payment.

(i) Notwithstanding any minimum staffing level requirement established by charter or contract, establish and implement staffing levels for the local government.

(j) Reject, modify, or terminate 1 or more terms and conditions of an existing contract.

(k) After meeting and conferring with the appropriate bargaining representative and, if in the emergency manager’s sole discretion and judgment, a prompt and satisfactory resolution is unlikely to be obtained, reject, modify, or terminate 1 or more terms and conditions of an existing collective bargaining agreement. The rejection, modification, or termination of 1 or more terms and conditions of an existing collective bargaining agreement under this subdivision is a legitimate exercise of the state’s sovereign powers if the emergency manager and state treasurer determine that all of the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) The financial emergency in the local government has created a circumstance in which it is reasonable and necessary for the state to intercede to serve a significant and legitimate public purpose.
(ii) Any plan involving the rejection, modification, or termination of 1 or more terms and conditions of an existing collective bargaining agreement is reasonable and necessary to deal with a broad, generalized economic problem.

(iii) Any plan involving the rejection, modification, or termination of 1 or more terms and conditions of an existing collective bargaining agreement is directly related to and designed to address the financial emergency for the benefit of the public as a whole.

(iv) Any plan involving the rejection, modification, or termination of 1 or more terms and conditions of an existing collective bargaining agreement is temporary and does not target specific classes of employees.

(l) Act as sole agent of the local government in collective bargaining with employees or representatives and approve any contract or agreement.

(m) If a municipal government’s pension fund is not actuarially funded at a level of 80% or more, according to the most recent governmental accounting standards board’s applicable standards, at the time the most recent comprehensive annual financial report for the municipal government or its pension fund was due, the emergency manager may remove 1 or more of the serving trustees of the local pension board or, if the state treasurer appoints the emergency manager as the sole trustee of the local pension board, replace all the serving trustees of the local pension board. For the purpose of determining the pension fund level under this subdivision, the valuation shall exclude the net value of pension bonds or evidence of indebtedness. The annual actuarial valuation for the municipal government’s pension fund shall use the actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets. If a pension fund uses the aggregate actuarial cost method or a method involving a frozen accrued liability, the retirement system actuary shall use the entry age normal actuarial cost method. If the emergency manager serves as sole trustee of the local pension board, all of the following apply:

(i) The emergency manager shall assume and exercise the authority and fiduciary responsibilities of the local pension board, including to the extent applicable, setting and approval of all actuarial assumptions for pension obligations of a municipal government to the local pension fund.

(ii) The emergency manager shall fully comply with the public employee retirement system investment act, 1965 PA 314, MCL 38.1132 to 38.1140m, and section 24 of article IX of the state constitution of 1963, and any actions taken shall be consistent with the pension fund’s qualified plan status under the federal internal revenue code.

(iii) The emergency manager shall not make changes to a local pension fund without identifying the changes and the costs and benefits associated with the changes and receiving the state treasurer’s approval for the changes. If a change includes the transfer of funds from 1 pension fund to another pension fund, the valuation of the pension fund receiving the transfer must be actuarially funded at a level of 80% or more, according to the most recent governmental accounting standards board’s applicable standards, at the time the most recent comprehensive annual financial report for the municipal government was due.

(iv) The emergency manager’s assumption and exercise of the authority and fiduciary
responsibilities of the local pension board shall end not later than the termination of the receivership of the municipal government as provided in this act.

(n) Consolidate or eliminate departments of the local government or transfer functions from 1 department to another and appoint, supervise, and, at his or her discretion, remove administrators, including heads of departments other than elected officials.

(o) Employ or contract for, at the expense of the local government and with the approval of the state financial authority, auditors and other technical personnel considered necessary to implement this act.

(p) Retain 1 or more persons or firms, which may be an individual or firm selected from a list approved by the state treasurer, to perform the duties of a local inspector or a local auditor as described in this subdivision. The duties of a local inspector are to assure integrity, economy, efficiency, and effectiveness in the operations of the local government by conducting meaningful and accurate investigations and forensic audits, and to detect and deter waste, fraud, and abuse. At least annually, a report of the local inspector shall be submitted to the emergency manager, the state treasurer, and the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district. The duties of a local auditor are to assure that internal controls over local government operations are designed and operating effectively to mitigate risks that hamper the achievement of the emergency manager’s financial plan, assure that local government operations are effective and efficient, assure that financial information is accurate, reliable, and timely, comply with policies, regulations, and applicable laws, and assure assets are properly managed. At least annually, a report of the local auditor shall be submitted to the emergency manager, the state treasurer, and the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district.

(q) An emergency manager may initiate court proceedings in Ingham county circuit court in the name of the local government to enforce compliance with any of his or her orders or any constitutional or legislative mandates, or to restrain violations of any constitutional or legislative power of his or her orders.

(r) If provided in the financial and operating plan, or otherwise with the prior written approval of the governor or his or her designee, sell, lease, convey, assign, or otherwise use or transfer the assets, liabilities, functions, or responsibilities of the local government, provided the use or transfer of assets, liabilities, functions, or responsibilities for this purpose does not endanger the health, safety, or welfare of residents of the local government or unconstitutionally impair a bond, note, security, or uncontested legal obligation of the local government.

(s) Apply for a loan from the state on behalf of the local government, subject to the conditions of the emergency municipal loan act, 1980 PA 243, MCL 141.931 to 141.942, in a sufficient amount to pay the expenses of the emergency manager and for other lawful purposes.

(t) Order, as necessary, 1 or more millage elections for the local government consistent with the Michigan election law, 1954 PA 116, MCL 168.1 to 168.992, sections 6 and 25 through 34 of article IX of the state constitution of 1963, and any other applicable state law. A millage election ordered for a local government pursuant to this subdivision shall only be held at the general
November election.

(u) Authorize the borrowing of money by the local government as provided by law.

(v) Approve or disapprove of the issuance of obligations of the local government on behalf of the local government under this subdivision. An election to approve or disapprove of the issuance of obligations of the local government pursuant to this subdivision shall only be held at the general November election.

(w) Enter into agreements with creditors or other persons or entities for the payment of existing debts, including the settlement of claims by the creditors.

(x) Enter into agreements with creditors or other persons or entities to restructure debt on terms, at rates of interest, and with security as shall be agreed among the parties, subject to approval by the state treasurer.

(y) Enter into agreements with other local governments, public bodies, or entities for the provision of services, the joint exercise of powers, or the transfer of functions and responsibilities.

(z) For municipal governments, enter into agreements with other units of municipal government to transfer property of the municipal government under 1984 PA 425, MCL 124.21 to 124.30, or as otherwise provided by law, subject to approval by the state treasurer.

(aa) Enter into agreements with 1 or more other local governments or public bodies for the consolidation of services.

(bb) For a city, village, or township, the emergency manager may recommend to the state boundary commission that the municipal government consolidate with 1 or more other municipal governments, if the emergency manager determines that consolidation would materially alleviate the financial emergency of the municipal government and would not materially and adversely affect the financial situation of the government or governments with which the municipal government in receivership is consolidated. Consolidation under this subdivision shall proceed as provided by law.

(cc) For municipal governments, with approval of the governor, disincorporate or dissolve the municipal government and assign its assets, debts, and liabilities as provided by law.

(dd) Exercise solely, for and on behalf of the local government, all other authority and responsibilities of the chief administrative officer and governing body concerning the adoption, amendment, and enforcement of ordinances or resolutions of the local government as provided in the following acts:

(i) The home rule city act, 1909 PA 279, MCL 117.1 to 117.38.

(ii) The fourth class city act, 1895 PA 215, MCL 81.1 to 113.20.

(iii) The charter township act, 1947 PA 359, MCL 42.1 to 42.34.
(iv) 1851 PA 156, MCL 46.1 to 46.32.

(v) 1966 PA 293, MCL 45.501 to 45.521.

(vi) The general law village act, 1895 PA 3, MCL 61.1 to 74.25.

(vii) The home rule village act, 1909 PA 278, MCL 78.1 to 78.28.

(viii) The revised school code, 1976 PA 451, MCL 380.1 to 380.1852.

(ix) 1979 PA 94, MCL 388.1601 to 388.1772.

(ee) Take any other action or exercise any power or authority of any officer, employee, department, board, commission, or other similar entity of the local government, whether elected or appointed, relating to the operation of the local government. The power of the emergency manager shall be superior to and supersede the power of any of the foregoing officers or entities.

(ff) Remove, replace, appoint, or confirm the appointments to any office, board, commission, authority, or other entity which is within or is a component unit of the local government.

(2) Except as otherwise provided in this act, during the pendency of the receivership, the authority of the chief administrative officer and governing body to exercise power for and on behalf of the local government under law, charter, and ordinance shall be suspended and vested in the emergency manager.

(3) Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, any contract involving a cumulative value of $50,000.00 or more is subject to competitive bidding by an emergency manager. However, if a potential contract involves a cumulative value of $50,000.00 or more, the emergency manager may submit the potential contract to the state treasurer for review and the state treasurer may authorize that the potential contract is not subject to competitive bidding.

(4) An emergency manager appointed for a city or village shall not sell or transfer a public utility furnishing light, heat, or power without the approval of a majority of the electors of the city or village voting thereon, or a greater number if the city or village charter provides, as required by section 25 of article VII of the state constitution of 1963. In addition, an emergency manager appointed for a city or village shall not utilize the assets of a public utility furnishing heat, light, or power, the finances of which are separately maintained and accounted for by the city or village, to satisfy the general obligations of the city or village.

Sec. 19a. Immediately upon the local government being placed in receivership under section 15 and during the pendency of the receivership, the salary, wages, or other compensation, including the accrual of postemployment benefits, and other benefits of the chief administrative officer and members of the governing body of the local government shall be eliminated. This section does not authorize the impairment of vested pension benefits. If an emergency manager has reduced, suspended, or eliminated the salary, wages, or other compensation of the chief administrative officer and members of the governing body of a local government before the effective date of this act, the reduction, suspension, or elimination is valid to the same extent had it occurred after the
effective date of this act. The emergency manager may restore, in whole or in part, any of the
salary, wages, other compensation, or benefits of the chief administrative officer and members of
the governing body during the pendency of the receivership, for such time and on such terms as
the emergency manager considers appropriate, to the extent that the manager finds that the
restoration of salary, wages, compensation, or benefits is consistent with the financial and
operating plan.

Sec. 20. In addition to the actions authorized in section 19, an emergency manager for a school
district may take 1 or more of the following additional actions with respect to a school district
that is in receivership:

(a) Negotiate, renegotiate, approve, and enter into contracts on behalf of the school district.

(b) Receive and disburse on behalf of the school district all federal, state, and local funds
earmarked for the school district. These funds may include, but are not limited to, funds for
specific programs and the retirement of debt.

(c) Seek approval from the superintendent of public instruction for a reduced class schedule in
accordance with administrative rules governing the distribution of state school aid.

(d) Sell, assign, transfer, or otherwise use the assets of the school district to meet past or current
obligations or assure the fiscal accountability of the school district, provided the use, assignment,
or transfer of assets for this purpose does not impair the education of the pupils of the school
district. The power under this subdivision includes the closing of schools or other school
buildings in the school district.

(e) Approve or disapprove of the issuance of obligations of the school district.

(f) Exercise solely, for and on behalf of the school district, all other authority and responsibilities
affecting the school district that are prescribed by law to the school board and superintendent of
the school district.

(g) Employ or contract for, at the expense of the school district, school administrators considered
necessary to implement this act.

Sec. 20a. Unless the potential sale and value of an asset is included in the emergency manager’s
financial and operating plan prepared under section 18, the emergency manager shall not sell an
asset of the local government valued at more than $50,000.00 without the state treasurer’s
approval.

Sec. 20b. A provision of an existing collective bargaining agreement that authorizes the payment
of a benefit upon the death of a police officer or firefighter that occurs in the line of duty shall not
be impaired and is not subject to any provision of this act authorizing an emergency manager to
reject, modify, or terminate 1 or more terms of an existing collective bargaining agreement.

Sec. 21. The emergency manager shall, on his or her own or upon the advice of the local
inspector if a local inspector has been retained, make a determination as to whether possible
criminal conduct contributed to the financial situation resulting in the local government’s receivership status. If the emergency manager determines that there is reason to believe that criminal conduct has occurred, the manager shall refer the matter to the attorney general and the local prosecuting attorney for investigation.

Sec. 22. (1) An emergency manager appointed under this act shall file with the governor, the senate majority leader, the speaker of the house of representatives, and the clerk of the local government that is in receivership, and shall post on the internet on the website of the local government, a report that contains all of the following:

(a) A description of each expenditure made, approved, or disapproved during the reporting period that has a cumulative value of $5,000.00 or more and the source of the funds.

(b) A list of each contract that the emergency manager awarded or approved with a cumulative value of $5,000.00 or more, the purpose of the contract, and the identity of the contractor.

(c) A description of each loan sought, approved, or disapproved during the reporting period that has a cumulative value of $5,000.00 or more and the proposed use of the funds.

(d) A description of any new position created or any vacancy in a position filled by the appointing authority.

(e) A description of any position that has been eliminated or from which an employee has been laid off.

(f) A copy of the contract with the emergency manager as provided in section 15(5)(e).

(g) The salary and benefits of the emergency manager.

(h) The financial and operating plan as required under section 18.

(2) The report required under this section shall be submitted every 3 months, beginning 6 months after the emergency manager’s appointment.

Sec. 23. (1) If, in the judgment of the emergency manager, no reasonable alternative to rectifying the financial emergency of the local government which is in receivership exists, then the emergency manager may recommend to the governor and the state treasurer that the local government be authorized to proceed under title 11 of the United States Code, 11 USC 101 to 1532. If the governor approves of the recommendation, the governor shall inform the state treasurer and the emergency manager in writing of the decision, with a copy to the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district. Upon receipt of the written approval, the emergency manager is authorized to proceed under title 11 of the United States Code, 11 USC 101 to 1532. This section empowers the local government for which an emergency manager has been appointed to become a debtor under title 11 of the United States Code, 11 USC 101 to 1532, as required by section 109 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 USC 109, and empowers the emergency manager to act exclusively on the local government’s behalf in any such case under title 11 of the United States Code, 11 USC 101 to 1532.
(2) The recommendation to the governor and the state treasurer under subsection (1) shall include 1 of the following:

(a) A determination by the emergency manager that no feasible financial plan can be adopted that can satisfactorily rectify the financial emergency of the local government in a timely manner.

(b) A determination by the emergency manager that a plan, in effect for at least 180 days, cannot be implemented as written or as it might be amended in a manner that can satisfactorily rectify the financial emergency in a timely manner.

(3) The emergency manager shall provide a copy of the recommendation as provided under subsection (1) to the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district.

Sec. 24. A local government that is in receivership is considered to be in a condition of financial emergency until the emergency manager declares the financial emergency to be rectified in his or her quarterly report to the state treasurer required under section 15, and is subject to the written concurrence of the state treasurer, and the concurrence of the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district. The declaration shall not be made until the financial conditions have been addressed and rectified.

Sec. 25. (1) An emergency manager is immune from liability as provided in section 7(5) of 1964 PA 170, MCL 691.1407. A person employed by an emergency manager is immune from liability as provided in section 7(2) of 1964 PA 170, MCL 691.1407.

(2) The attorney general shall defend any civil claim, demand, or lawsuit which challenges any of the following:

(a) The validity of this act.

(b) The authority of a state official or officer acting under this act.

(c) The authority of an emergency manager if the emergency manager is or was acting within the scope of authority for an emergency manager under this act.

(3) With respect to any aspect of a receivership under this act, the costs incurred by the attorney general in carrying out the responsibilities of subsection (2) for attorneys, experts, court filing fees, and other reasonable and necessary expenses shall be at the expense of the local government that is subject to that receivership and shall be reimbursed to the attorney general by the local government. The failure of a municipal government that is or was in receivership to remit to the attorney general the costs incurred by the attorney general within 30 days after written notice to the municipal government from the attorney general of the costs is a debt owed to this state and shall be recovered by the state treasurer as provided in section 17a(5) of the Glenn Steil state revenue sharing act of 1971, 1971 PA 140, MCL 141.917a. The failure of a school district that is or was in receivership to remit to the attorney general the costs incurred by the attorney general within 30 days after written notice to the school district from the attorney general of the costs is a debt owed to this state and shall be recovered by the state treasurer as provided in the state school
aid act of 1979, 1979 PA 94, MCL 388.1601 to 388.1772.

(4) An emergency manager may procure and maintain, at the expense of the local government for which the emergency manager is appointed, worker’s compensation, general liability, professional liability, and motor vehicle insurance for the emergency manager and any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager as may be provided to elected officials, appointed officials, or employees of the local government. The insurance procured and maintained by an emergency manager may extend to any claim, demand, or lawsuit asserted or costs recovered against the emergency manager and any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager from the date of appointment of the emergency manager to the expiration of the applicable statute of limitation if the claim, demand, or lawsuit asserted or costs recovered against the emergency manager or any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager resulted from conduct of the emergency manager or any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager taken in accordance with this act during the emergency manager’s term of service.

(5) If, after the date that the service of an emergency manager is concluded, the emergency manager or any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager is subject to a claim, demand, or lawsuit arising from an action taken during the service of that emergency manager, and not covered by a procured worker’s compensation, general liability, professional liability, or motor vehicle insurance, litigation expenses of the emergency manager or any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager, including attorney fees for civil and criminal proceedings and preparation for reasonably anticipated proceedings, and payments made in settlement of civil proceedings both filed and anticipated, shall be paid out of the funds of the local government that is or was subject to the receivership administered by that emergency manager, provided that the litigation expenses are approved by the state treasurer and that the state treasurer determines that the conduct resulting in actual or threatened legal proceedings that is the basis for the payment is based upon both of the following:

(a) The scope of authority of the person or entity seeking the payment.

(b) The conduct occurred on behalf of a local government while it was in receivership under this act.

(6) The failure of a municipal government to honor and remit the legal expenses of a former emergency manager or any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager as required by this section is a debt owed to this state and shall be recovered by the state treasurer as provided in section 17a(5) of the Glenn Steil state revenue sharing act of 1971, 1971 PA 140, MCL 141.917a. The failure of a school district to honor and remit the legal expenses of a former emergency manager or any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager as required by this section is a debt owed to this state and shall be recovered by the state treasurer as provided in the state school aid act of 1979, 1979 PA 94, MCL 388.1601 to 388.1772.

Sec. 26. (1) The local elected and appointed officials and employees, agents, and contractors of a local government shall promptly and fully provide the assistance and information necessary and properly requested by the state financial authority, a review team, or the emergency manager in
the effectuation of their duties and powers and of the purposes of this act. If the review team or emergency manager believes that a local elected or appointed official or employee, agent, or contractor of the local government is not answering questions accurately or completely or is not furnishing information requested, the review team or emergency manager may issue subpoenas and administer oaths to the local elected or appointed official or employee, agent, or contractor to furnish answers to questions or to furnish documents or records, or both. If the local elected or appointed official or employee, agent, or contractor refuses, the review team or emergency manager may bring an action in the circuit court in which the local government is located or Ingham county circuit court, as determined by the emergency manager, to compel testimony and furnish records and documents. An action in mandamus may be used to enforce this section.

(2) Failure of a local government official to abide by this act shall be considered gross neglect of duty, which the review team or emergency manager may report to the state financial authority and the attorney general. Following review and a hearing with a local government elected official, the state financial authority may recommend to the governor that the governor remove the elected official from office. If the governor removes the elected official from office, the resulting vacancy in office shall be filled as prescribed by law.

(3) Subject to section 30(2), a local government placed in receivership under this act is not subject to section 15(1) of 1947 PA 336, MCL 423.215, for a period of 5 years from the date the local government is placed in receivership or until the time the receivership is terminated, whichever occurs first.

Sec. 27. (1) Before the termination of receivership and the completion of the emergency manager’s term, the manager shall adopt and implement a 2-year budget, including all contractual and employment agreements, for the local government commencing with the termination of receivership.

(2) After the completion of the emergency manager’s term and the termination of receivership, the governing body of the local government shall not amend the 2-year budget adopted under subsection (1) without the approval of the state treasurer, and shall not revise any order or ordinance implemented by the emergency manager during his or her term prior to 1 year after the termination of receivership.

Sec. 28. This act is not construed to give the emergency manager or the state financial authority the power to impose taxes, over and above those already authorized by law, without the approval at an election of a majority of the qualified electors voting on the question.

Sec. 29. The state financial authority is authorized and directed to issue bulletins or adopt rules as necessary to carry out the purposes of this act. A rule adopted under this section shall be adopted in accordance with the administrative procedures act of 1969, 1969 PA 306, MCL 24.201 to 24.328.

Sec. 30. (1) An emergency financial manager appointed and serving under state law prior to the effective date of this act shall continue under this act as an emergency manager for the local government and shall fulfill his or her duties and responsibilities and exercise all of the powers
granted under former 1988 PA 101 or former 1990 PA 72. Except as provided in subsection (2), the provisions of this act shall apply to any local government for which an emergency financial manager is appointed and serving as of the effective date of this act.

(2) For a local government for which an emergency financial manager is serving as of the effective date of this act, the provisions of section 26(3) shall not become applicable until 60 days after the effective date of this act.

Sec. 31. If any portion of this act or the application of this act to any person or circumstances is found to be invalid by a court, the invalidity shall not affect the remaining portions or applications of the act which can be given effect without the invalid portion or application. The provisions of this act are severable.

Enacting section 1. The local government fiscal responsibility act, 1990 PA 72, MCL 141.1201 to 141.1291, is repealed.

Enacting section 2. This act does not take effect unless Senate Bill No. 158 of the 96th Legislature is enacted into law.

This act is ordered to take immediate effect.

Clerk of the House of Representatives

Secretary of the Senate

Approved

Governor
EXHIBIT 11
Act No. 436  
Public Acts of 2012  
Approved by the Governor  
December 26, 2012  
Filed with the Secretary of State  
December 27, 2012  
EFFECTIVE DATE: March 28, 2013

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
96TH LEGISLATURE  
REGULAR SESSION OF 2012

Introduced by Senator Pavlov

ENROLLED SENATE BILL No. 865

AN ACT to safeguard and assure the financial accountability of local units of government and school districts; to preserve the capacity of local units of government and school districts to provide or cause to be provided necessary services essential to the public health, safety, and welfare; to provide for review, management, planning, and control of the financial operation of local units of government and school districts and the provision of services by local units of government and school districts; to provide criteria to be used in determining the financial condition of local units of government and school districts; to authorize a declaration of the existence of a financial emergency within a local unit of government or school district; to prescribe remedial measures to address a financial emergency within a local unit of government or school district; to provide for a review and appeal process; to provide for the appointment and to prescribe the powers and duties of an emergency manager for a local unit of government or school district; to provide for the modification or termination of contracts under certain circumstances; to provide for the termination of a financial emergency within a local unit of government or school district; to provide a process by which a local unit of government or school district may file for bankruptcy; to prescribe the powers and duties of certain state agencies and officials and officials within local units of government and school districts; to provide for appropriations; and to repeal acts and parts of acts.

The People of the State of Michigan enact:

Sec. 1. This act shall be known and may be cited as the "local financial stability and choice act".

Sec. 2. As used in this act:
(a) "Chapter 9" means chapter 9 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 USC 901 to 946.
(b) "Chief administrative officer" means any of the following:
(i) The manager of a village or, if a village does not employ a manager, the president of the village.
(ii) The city manager of a city or, if a city does not employ a city manager, the mayor of the city.
(iii) The manager of a township or the manager or superintendent of a charter township or, if the township does not employ a manager or superintendent, the supervisor of the township.
(iv) The elected county executive or appointed county manager of a county or, if the county has not adopted the provisions of either 1973 PA 139, MCL 45.551 to 45.573, or 1966 PA 293, MCL 45.501 to 45.521, the county's chairperson of the county board of commissioners.
(v) The chief operating officer of an authority or of a public utility owned by a city, village, township, or county.
(vi) The superintendent of a school district.
(c) “Creditor” means either of the following:

(i) An entity that has a noncontingent claim against a local government that arose at the time of or before the commencement of the neutral evaluation process and whose claim represents at least $5,000,000.00 or comprises more than 5% of the local government's debt or obligations, whichever is less.

(ii) An entity that would have a noncontingent claim against the local government upon the rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease in a chapter 9 case and whose claim would represent at least $5,000,000.00 or would comprise more than 5% of the local government's debt or obligations, whichever is less.

(d) “Debtor” means a local government that is authorized to proceed under chapter 9 by this act and that meets the requirements of chapter 9.

(e) “Emergency manager” means an emergency manager appointed under section 9. An emergency manager includes an emergency financial manager appointed under former 1988 PA 101 or former 1990 PA 72 who was acting in that capacity on the effective date of this act.

(f) “Entity” means a partnership, nonprofit or business corporation, limited liability company, labor organization, or any other association, corporation, trust, or other legal entity.

(g) “Financial and operating plan” means a written financial and operating plan for a local government under section 11, including an educational plan for a school district.

(h) “Good faith” means participation by an interested party or a local government representative in the neutral evaluation process with the intent to negotiate a resolution of the issues that are the subject of the neutral evaluation process, including the timely provision of complete and accurate information to provide the relevant participants through the neutral evaluation process with sufficient information, in a confidential manner, to negotiate the readjustment of the local government’s debt.

(i) “Interested party” means a trustee, a committee of creditors, an affected creditor, an indenture trustee, a pension fund, a bondholder, a union that under its collective bargaining agreements has standing to initiate contract negotiations with the local government, or a representative selected by an association of retired employees of the public entity who receive income or benefits from the public entity. A local government may invite holders of contingent claims to participate as interested parties in the neutral evaluation process if the local government determines that the contingency is likely to occur and the claim may represent at least $5,000,000.00 or comprise more than 5% of the local government’s debt or obligations, whichever is less.

(j) “Local emergency financial assistance loan board” means the local emergency financial assistance loan board created under section 2 of the emergency municipal loan act, 1980 PA 243, MCL 141.932.

(k) “Local government” means a municipal government or a school district.

(l) “Local government representative” means the person or persons designated by the governing body of the local government with authority to make recommendations and to attend the neutral evaluation process on behalf of the governing body of the local government.

(m) “Local inspector” means a certified forensic accountant, certified public accountant, attorney, or similarly credentialed person whose responsibility it is to determine the existence of proper internal and management controls, fraud, criminal activity, or any other accounting or management deficiencies.

(n) “Municipal government” means a city, a village, a township, a charter township, a county, a department of county government if the county has an elected county executive under 1966 PA 298, MCL 45.501 to 45.521, an authority established by law, or a public utility owned by a city, village, township, or county.

(o) “Neutral evaluation process” means a form of alternative dispute resolution or mediation between a local government and interested parties as provided for in section 28.

(p) “Neutral evaluator” means an impartial, unbiased person or entity, commonly known as a mediator, who assists local governments and interested parties in reaching their own settlement of issues under this act, who is not aligned with any party, and who has no authoritative decision-making power.

(q) “Receivership” means the process under this act by which a financial emergency is addressed through the appointment of an emergency manager. Receivership does not include chapter 9 or any provision under federal bankruptcy law.

(r) “Review team” means a review team appointed under section 4.

(s) “School board” means the governing body of a school district.

(t) “School district” means a school district as that term is defined in section 6 of the revised school code, 1976 PA 451, MCL 380.6, or an intermediate school district as that term is defined in section 4 of the revised school code, 1976 PA 451, MCL 380.4.

(u) “State financial authority” means the following:

(i) For a municipal government, the state treasurer.
(ii) For a school district, the superintendent of public instruction.

(v) "Strong mayor" means a mayor who has been granted veto power for any purpose under the charter of that local government.

(w) "Strong mayor approval" means approval of a resolution under (i) of the following conditions:

(i) The strong mayor approves the resolution.

(ii) The resolution is approved by the governing body with sufficient votes to override a veto by the strong mayor.

(iii) The strong mayor vetoes the resolution and the governing body overrides the veto.

Sec. 3. The legislature finds and declares all of the following:

(a) That the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of this state would be materially and adversely affected by the insolvency of local governments and that the fiscal accountability of local governments is vitally necessary to the interests of the citizens of this state to assure the provision of necessary governmental services essential to public health, safety, and welfare.

(b) That it is vitally necessary to protect the credit of this state and its political subdivisions and that it is necessary for the public good and it is a valid public purpose for this state to take action to assist a local government in a financial emergency so as to remedy the financial emergency by requiring prudent fiscal management and efficient provision of services, permitting the restructuring of contractual obligations, and prescribing the powers and duties of state and local government officials and emergency managers.

(c) That the fiscal stability of local governments is necessary to the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of this state and it is a valid public purpose for this state to assist a local government in a condition of financial emergency by providing for procedures of alternative dispute resolution between a local government and its creditors to resolve disputes, to determine criteria for establishing the existence of a financial emergency, and to set forth the conditions for a local government to exercise powers under federal bankruptcy law.

(d) That the authority and powers conferred by this act constitute a necessary program and serve a valid public purpose.

Sec. 4. (1) The state financial authority may conduct a preliminary review to determine the existence of probable financial stress within a local government if 1 or more of the following occur:

(a) The governing body or the chief administrative officer of a local government requests a preliminary review. The request shall be in writing and shall identify the existing or anticipated financial conditions or events that make the request necessary.

(b) The state financial authority receives a written request from a creditor with an undisputed claim that remains unpaid 6 months after its due date against the local government that exceeds the greater of $10,000.00 or 1% of the annual general fund budget of the local government, provided that the creditor notifies the local government in writing at least 30 days before his or her request to the state financial authority of his or her intention to submit a written request under this subdivision.

(c) The state financial authority receives a petition containing specific allegations of local government financial distress signed by a number of registered electors residing within the local government's jurisdiction equal to not less than 5% of the total vote cast for all candidates for governor within the local government's jurisdiction at the last preceding election at which a governor was elected. Petitions shall not be filed under this subdivision within 60 days before any election of the local government.

(d) The state financial authority receives written notification that a local government has not timely deposited its minimum obligation payment to the local government pension fund as required by law.

(e) The state financial authority receives written notification that the local government has failed for a period of 7 days or more after the scheduled date of payment to pay wages and salaries or other compensation owed to employees or benefits owed to retirees.

(f) The state financial authority receives written notification from a trustee, paying agent, bondholder, or auditor engaged by the local government of a default in a bond or note payment or a violation of 1 or more bond or note covenants.

(g) The state financial authority of a local government receives a resolution from either the senate or the house of representatives requesting a preliminary review.

(h) The local government has violated a requirement of, or a condition of an order issued pursuant to, former 1943 PA 202, the revenue bond act of 1933, 1938 PA 94, MCL 141.101 to 141.140, the revised municipal finance act, 2001 PA 84, MCL 141.2101 to 141.2821, or any other law governing the issuance of bonds or notes.

(i) The municipal government has violated the conditions of an order issued by the local emergency financial assistance loan board pursuant to the emergency municipal loan act, 1980 PA 243, MCL 141.931 to 141.942.
(j) The local government has violated a requirement of sections 17 to 20 of the uniform budgeting and accounting act, 1968 PA 2, MCL 141.437 to 141.440.

(k) The local government fails to timely file an annual financial report or audit that conforms with the minimum procedures and standards of the state financial authority and is required for local governments under the uniform budgeting and accounting act, 1968 PA 2, MCL 141.421 to 141.440a, or 1919 PA 71, MCL 21.41 to 21.55.

(l) If the local government is a school district, the school district fails to provide an annual financial report or audit that conforms with the minimum procedures and standards of the superintendent of public instruction and is required under the revised school code, 1976 PA 451, MCL 380.1 to 380.1802, and the state school aid act of 1979, 1979 PA 94, MCL 388.1601 to 388.1896.

(m) The municipal government is delinquent in the distribution of tax revenues, as required by law, that it has collected for another taxing jurisdiction, and that taxing jurisdiction requests a preliminary review.

(n) The local government is in breach of its obligations under a deficit elimination plan or an agreement entered into pursuant to a deficit elimination plan.

(o) A court has ordered an additional tax levy without the prior approval of the governing body of the local government.

(p) The municipal government has ended a fiscal year in a deficit condition as defined in section 21 of the Glenn Steil state revenue sharing act of 1971, 1971 PA 140, MCL 141.921, or has failed to comply with the requirements of that section for filing or instituting a financial plan to correct the deficit condition.

(q) The school district ended its most recently completed fiscal year with a deficit in 1 or more of its funds and the school district has not submitted a deficit elimination plan to the state financial authority within 30 days after the district’s deadline for submission of its annual financial statement.

(r) The local government has been assigned a long-term debt rating within or below the BBB category or its equivalent by 1 or more nationally recognized credit rating agencies.

(s) The existence of other facts or circumstances that, in the state treasurer’s sole discretion for a municipal government, are indicative of probable financial stress or that, in the state treasurer’s or superintendent of public instruction’s sole discretion for a school district, are indicative of probable financial stress.

(2) Before commencing the preliminary review under subsection (1), the state financial authority shall provide the local government specific written notification that it intends to conduct a preliminary review. Elected and appointed officials of a local government shall promptly and fully provide the assistance and information requested by the state financial authority for that local government in conducting the preliminary review. The state financial authority shall provide an interim report of its findings to the local government within 20 days following the commencement of the preliminary review. In addition, a copy of the interim report shall be provided to each state senator and state representative who represents that local government. The local government may provide comments to the state financial authority concerning the interim report within 5 days after the interim report is provided to the local government. The state financial authority shall prepare and provide a final report detailing its preliminary review to the local emergency financial assistance loan board. In addition, a copy of the final report shall be provided to each state senator and state representative who represents that local government. The final report shall be posted on the department of treasury’s website within 7 days after the final report is provided to the local emergency financial assistance loan board. The preliminary review and final report by the state financial authority shall be completed within 30 days following commencement of the preliminary review. Within 20 days after receiving the final report from the state financial authority, the local emergency financial assistance loan board shall determine if probable financial stress exists for the local government.

(3) If a finding of probable financial stress is made for a municipal government by the local emergency financial assistance loan board under subsection (2), the governor shall appoint a review team for that municipal government consisting of the state treasurer or his or her designee, the director of the department of technology, management, and budget or his or her designee, a nominee of the senate majority leader, and a nominee of the speaker of the house of representatives. The governor may appoint other state officials or other persons with relevant professional experience to serve on a review team to undertake a municipal financial management review.

(4) If a finding of probable financial stress is made for a school district by the local emergency financial assistance loan board under subsection (2), the governor shall appoint a review team for that school district consisting of the state treasurer or his or her designee, the superintendent of public instruction or his or her designee, the director of the department of technology, management, and budget or his or her designee, a nominee of the senate majority leader, and a nominee of the speaker of the house of representatives. The governor may appoint other state officials or other persons with relevant professional experience to serve on a review team to undertake a school district financial management review.

(5) The department of treasury shall provide staff support to each review team appointed under this section.

(6) A review team appointed under former 1988 PA 101 or former 1990 PA 72 and serving immediately prior to the effective date of this act shall continue under this act to fulfill its powers and duties. All proceedings and actions taken
by the governor, the state treasurer, the superintendent of public instruction, the local emergency financial assistance loan board, or a review team under former 2011 PA 4, former 1988 PA 101, or former 1990 PA 72 before the effective date of this act are ratified and are enforceable as if the proceedings and actions were taken under this act, and a consent agreement entered into under former 2011 PA 4, former 1988 PA 101, or former 1990 PA 72 that was in effect immediately prior to the effective date of this act is ratified and is binding and enforceable under this act.

Sec. 5. (1) In conducting its review, the review team may do either or both of the following:

(a) Examine the books and records of the local government.

(b) Utilize the services of other state agencies and employees.

(2) The review team shall meet with the local government as part of its review. At this meeting, the review team shall receive, discuss, and consider information provided by the local government concerning the financial condition of the local government. In addition, the review team shall hold at least 1 public information meeting in the jurisdiction of the local government at which the public may provide comment.

(3) The review team shall submit a written report of its findings to the governor within 60 days following its appointment or earlier if required by the governor. Upon request, the governor may grant one 30-day extension of this 60-day time limit. A copy of the report shall be forwarded by the state treasurer to the chief administrative officer and the governing body of the local government, the speaker of the house of representatives, the senate majority leader, the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district, and each state senator and state representative who represents that local government. The report shall be posted on the department of treasury's website within 7 days after the report is submitted to the governor. The report shall include the existence, or an indication of the likely occurrence, of any of the following:

(a) A default in the payment of principal or interest upon bonded obligations, notes, or other municipal securities for which no funds or insufficient funds are on hand and, if required, segregated in a special trust fund.

(b) Failure for a period of 30 days or more beyond the due date to transfer 1 or more of the following to the appropriate agency:

(i) Taxes withheld on the income of employees.

(ii) For a municipal government, taxes collected by the municipal government as agent for another governmental unit, school district, or other entity or taxing authority.

(iii) Any contribution required by a pension, retirement, or benefit plan.

(c) Failure for a period of 7 days or more after the scheduled date of payment to pay wages and salaries or other compensation owed to employees or benefits owed to retirees.

(d) The total amount of accounts payable for the current fiscal year, as determined by the state financial authority's uniform chart of accounts, is in excess of 10% of the total expenditures of the local government in that fiscal year.

(e) Failure to eliminate an existing deficit in any fund of the local government within the 2-year period preceding the end of the local government's fiscal year during which the review team report is received.

(f) Projection of a deficit in the general fund of the local government for the current fiscal year in excess of 5% of the budgeted revenues for the general fund.

(g) Failure to comply in all material respects with the terms of an approved deficit elimination plan or an agreement entered into pursuant to a deficit elimination plan.

(h) Existence of material loans to the general fund from other local government funds that are not regularly settled between the funds or that are increasing in scope.

(i) Existence after the close of the fiscal year of material recurring unbudgeted subsidies from the general fund to other major funds as defined under government accounting standards board principles.

(j) Existence of a structural operating deficit.

(k) Use of restricted revenues for purposes not authorized by law.

(l) The likelihood that the local government is or will be unable to pay its obligations within 60 days after the date of the review team's reporting its findings to the governor.

(m) Any other facts and circumstances indicative of local government financial emergency.

(4) The review team shall include 1 of the following conclusions in its report:

(a) A financial emergency does not exist within the local government.

(b) A financial emergency exists within the local government.

(5) The review team may, with the approval of the state financial authority, appoint an individual or firm to carry out the review and submit a report to the review team for approval. The department of treasury may enter into a contract with the individual or firm respecting the terms and conditions of the appointment.
(6) For purposes of this section:

(a) A financial emergency does not exist within a local government if the report under subsection (3) concludes that none of the factors in subsection (3) exist or are likely to occur within the current or next succeeding fiscal year or, if they occur, do not threaten the local government's capability to provide necessary governmental services essential to public health, safety, and welfare.

(b) A financial emergency exists within a local government if any of the following occur:

(i) The report under subsection (3) concludes that 1 or more of the factors in subsection (3) exist or are likely to occur within the current or next succeeding fiscal year and threaten the local government's current and future capability to provide necessary governmental services essential to the public health, safety, and welfare.

(ii) The local government has failed to provide timely and accurate information enabling the review team to complete its report under subsection (3).

(iii) The local government has failed to comply in all material respects with the terms of an approved deficit elimination plan or an agreement entered into pursuant to a deficit elimination plan.

(iv) The chief administrative officer of the local government concludes that 1 or more of the factors in subsection (3) exist or are likely to occur within the current or next succeeding fiscal year and threaten the local government's current and future capability to provide necessary governmental services essential to the public health, safety, and welfare, and the chief administrative officer recommends that a financial emergency be declared and the state treasurer concurs with the recommendation.

Sec. 6. (1) Within 10 days after receipt of the report under section 5, the governor shall make 1 of the following determinations:

(a) A financial emergency does not exist within the local government.

(b) A financial emergency exists within the local government.

(2) Before making a determination under subsection (1), the governor, in his or her sole discretion, may provide officials of the local government an opportunity to submit a written statement concerning their agreement or disagreement with the findings and conclusion of the review team report under section 5. If the governor determines pursuant to subsection (1) that a financial emergency exists, the governor shall provide the governing body and chief administrative officer of the local government with a written notification of the determination, findings of fact utilized as the basis upon which this determination was made, a concise and explicit statement of the underlying facts supporting the factual findings, and notice that the chief administrative officer or the governing body of the local government has 7 days after the date of the notification to request a hearing conducted by the state financial authority or the state financial authority's designee. Following the hearing, or if no hearing is requested following the expiration of the deadline by which a hearing may be requested, the governor, in his or her sole discretion based upon the record, shall either confirm or revoke, in writing, the determination of the existence of a financial emergency. If confirmed, the governor shall provide a written report to the governing body and chief administrative officer of the local government of the findings of fact of the continuing or newly developed conditions or events providing a basis for the confirmation of a financial emergency and a concise and explicit statement of the underlying facts supporting these factual findings. In addition, a copy of the report shall be provided to each state senator and state representative who represents that local government. The report shall be posted on the department of treasury's website within 7 days after the report is provided to the governing body and chief executive officer of the local government.

(3) A local government for which a financial emergency determination under this section has been confirmed to exist may, by resolution adopted by a vote of 2/3 of the members of its governing body elected and serving, appeal this determination within 10 business days to the Michigan court of claims. A local government may, by resolution adopted by a vote of 2/3 of the members of its governing body elected and serving, waive its right to appeal as provided in this subsection. The court shall not set aside a determination of financial emergency by the governor unless it finds that the determination is either of the following:

(a) Not supported by competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record.

(b) Arbitrary, capricious, or clearly an abuse or unwarranted exercise of discretion.

Sec. 7. (1) Notwithstanding section 6(3), upon the confirmation of a finding of a financial emergency under section 6, the governing body of the local government shall, by resolution within 7 days after the confirmation of a finding of a financial emergency, select 1 of the following local government options to address the financial emergency:

(a) The consent agreement option pursuant to section 8.

(b) The emergency manager option pursuant to section 9.

(c) The neutral evaluation process option pursuant to section 25.

(d) The chapter 9 bankruptcy option pursuant to section 26.
(2) Subject to subsection (3), if the local government has a strong mayor, the resolution under subsection (1) requires strong mayor approval. If the local government is a school district, the resolution shall be approved by the school board. The resolution shall be filed with the state treasurer, with a copy to the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district.

(3) If the governing body of the local government does not pass a resolution as required under subsection (1), the local government shall proceed under the neutral evaluation process pursuant to section 25.

(4) Subject to section 9(6)(c) and (11), unless authorized by the governor, a local government shall not utilize 1 of the local options listed in subsection (1)(a) to (d) more than 1 time.

Sec. 8. (1) The chief administrative officer of a local government may negotiate and sign a consent agreement with the state treasurer as provided for in this act. If the local government is a school district and the consent agreement contains an educational plan, the consent agreement shall also be signed by the superintendent of public instruction. The consent agreement shall provide for remedial measures considered necessary to address the financial emergency within the local government and provide for the financial stability of the local government. The consent agreement may utilize state financial management and technical assistance as necessary in order to alleviate the financial emergency. The consent agreement shall also provide for periodic financial status reports to the state treasurer, with a copy of each report to each state senator and state representative who represents that local government. The consent agreement may provide for a board appointed by the governor to monitor the local government's compliance with the consent agreement. In order for the consent agreement to go into effect, it shall be approved, by resolution, by the governing body of the local government and shall be approved and executed by the state treasurer. Nothing in the consent agreement shall limit the ability of the state treasurer in his or her sole discretion to declare a material breach of the consent agreement. A consent agreement shall provide that in the event of a material uncured breach of the consent agreement, the governor may place the local government in receivership or in the neutral evaluation process. If within 30 days after a local government selects the consent agreement option under section 7(1)(a) or sooner in the discretion of the state treasurer, a consent agreement cannot be agreed upon, the state treasurer shall require the local government to proceed under 1 of the other local options provided for in section 7.

(2) A consent agreement as provided in subsection (1) may require a continuing operations plan or a recovery plan if required by the state treasurer.

(3) If the state treasurer requires that a consent agreement include a continuing operations plan, the local government shall prepare and file the continuing operations plan with the state treasurer as provided for in the consent agreement. The state treasurer shall approve or reject the initial continuing operations plan within 14 days of receiving it from the local government. If a continuing operations plan is rejected, the local government shall refile an amended plan within 30 days of the rejection, addressing any concerns raised by the state treasurer or the superintendent of public instruction regarding an educational plan. If the amended plan is rejected, then the local government may be considered to be in material breach of the consent agreement. The local government shall file annual updates to its continuing operations plan. The annual updates shall be included with the annual filing of the local government's audit report with the state financial authority as long as the continuing operations plan remains in effect.

(4) The continuing operations plan shall be in a form prescribed by the state treasurer but shall, at a minimum, include all of the following:

(a) A detailed projected budget of revenues and expenditures over not less than 3 fiscal years which demonstrates that the local government's expenditures will not exceed its revenues and that any existing deficits will be eliminated during the projected budget period.

(b) A cash flow projection for the budget period.

(c) An operating plan for the budget period that assures fiscal accountability for the local government.

(d) A plan showing reasonable and necessary maintenance and capital expenditures so as to assure the local government's fiscal accountability.

(e) An evaluation of the costs associated with pension and postemployment health care obligations for which the local government is responsible and a plan for how those costs will be addressed within the budget period.

(f) A provision for submitting quarterly compliance reports to the state treasurer demonstrating compliance with the continuing operations plan, with a copy of each report to each state senator and state representative who represents that local government. Each quarterly compliance report shall be posted on the local government's website within 7 days after the report is submitted to the state treasurer.

(5) If a continuing operations plan is approved for a municipal government, the municipal government shall amend the budget and general appropriations ordinance adopted by the municipal government under the uniform budgeting and accounting act, 1968 PA 2, MCL 141.421 to 141.440a, to the extent necessary or advisable to give full effect to the continuing operations plan. If a continuing operations plan is approved for a school district, the school district shall amend the budget adopted by the school district under the uniform budgeting and accounting act, 1968 PA 2, MCL 141.421 to 141.440a, to the extent necessary or advisable to give full effect to the continuing operations plan. The chief
administrative officer, the chief financial officer, the governing body, and other officials of the local government shall take and direct such actions as may be necessary or advisable to maintain the local government’s operations in compliance with the continuing operations plan.

(6) If the state treasurer requires that a consent agreement include a recovery plan, the state treasurer, with input from the local government, shall develop and adopt a recovery plan. If a recovery plan is developed and adopted for the local government, the local government shall file annual updates to its recovery plan. The annual updates shall be included with the annual filing of the local government’s audit report with the state financial authority as long as the recovery plan remains in effect.

(7) A recovery plan may include terms and provisions as may be approved in the discretion of the state treasurer, including, but not limited to, 1 or more of the following:

(a) A detailed projected budget of revenues and expenditures over not less than 3 fiscal years that demonstrates that the local government’s expenditures will not exceed its revenues and that any existing deficits will be eliminated during the projected budget period.

(b) A cash flow projection for the budget period.

(c) An operating plan for the budget period that assures fiscal accountability for the local government.

(d) A plan showing reasonable and necessary maintenance and capital expenditures so as to assure the local government’s fiscal accountability.

(e) An evaluation of costs associated with pension and postemployment health care obligations for which the local government is responsible and a plan for how those costs will be addressed to assure that current obligations are met and that steps are taken to reduce future unfunded obligations.

(f) Procedures for cash control and cash management, including, but not limited to, procedures for timely collection, securing, depositing, balancing, and expending of cash. Procedures for cash control and cash management may include the designation of appropriate fiduciaries.

(g) A provision for submitting quarterly compliance reports to the state treasurer and the chief administrative officer of the local government that demonstrate compliance with the recovery plan, with a copy of each report to each state senator and state representative who represents that local government. Each quarterly compliance report shall be posted on the local government’s website within 7 days after the report is submitted to the state treasurer.

(8) The recovery plan may include the appointment of a local auditor or local inspector, or both, in accordance with section 12(1)(p).

(9) If a recovery plan is developed and adopted by the state treasurer for a local government, the recovery plan shall supersede the budget and general appropriations ordinance adopted by the local government under the uniform budgeting and accounting act, 1968 PA 2, MCL 141.421 to 141.440a, and the budget and general appropriations ordinance is considered amended to the extent necessary or advisable to give full effect to the recovery plan. In the event of any inconsistency between the recovery plan and the budget or general appropriations ordinance, the recovery plan shall control. The chief administrative officer, the chief financial officer, the governing body, and other officers of the local government shall take and direct actions as may be necessary or advisable to bring and maintain the local government’s operations in compliance with the recovery plan.

(10) Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the consent agreement may include a grant to the chief administrative officer, the chief financial officer, the governing body, or other officers of the local government by the state treasurer of 1 or more of the powers prescribed for emergency managers as otherwise provided in this act for such periods and upon such terms and conditions as the state treasurer considers necessary or convenient, in the state treasurer’s discretion to enable the local government to achieve the goals and objectives of the consent agreement. However, the consent agreement shall not include a grant to the chief administrative officer, the chief financial officer, the governing body, or other officers of the local government of the powers prescribed for emergency managers in section 12(1)(k).

(11) Unless the state treasurer determines otherwise, beginning 30 days after the date a local government enters into a consent agreement under this act, that local government is not subject to section 15(1) of 1947 PA 336, MCL 423.215, for the remaining term of the consent agreement.

(12) The consent agreement may provide for the required retention by the local government of a consultant for the purpose of assisting the local government to achieve the goals and objectives of the consent agreement.

(13) A local government is released from the requirements under this section upon compliance with the consent agreement as determined by the state treasurer.

Sec. 9. (1) The governor may appoint an emergency manager to address a financial emergency within that local government as provided for in this act.

(2) Upon appointment, an emergency manager shall act for and in the place and stead of the governing body and the office of chief administrative officer of the local government. The emergency manager shall have broad powers in
receivership to rectify the financial emergency and to assure the fiscal accountability of the local government and the local government's capacity to provide or cause to be provided necessary governmental services essential to the public health, safety, and welfare. Following appointment of an emergency manager and during the pendency of receivership, the governing body and the chief administrative officer of the local government shall not exercise any of the powers of those offices except as may be specifically authorized in writing by the emergency manager or as otherwise provided by this act and are subject to any conditions required by the emergency manager.

(3) All of the following apply to an emergency manager:

(a) The emergency manager shall have a minimum of 5 years' experience and demonstrable expertise in business, financial, or local or state budgetary matters.

(b) The emergency manager may, but need not, be a resident of the local government.

(c) The emergency manager shall be an individual.

(d) Except as otherwise provided in this subdivision, the emergency manager shall serve at the pleasure of the governor. An emergency manager is subject to impeachment and conviction by the legislature as if he or she were a civil officer under section 7 of article XI of the state constitution of 1963. A vacancy in the office of emergency manager shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.

(e) The emergency manager's compensation shall be paid by this state and shall be set forth in a contract approved by the state treasurer. The contract shall be posted on the department of treasury's website within 7 days after the contract is approved by the state treasurer.

(f) In addition to the salary provided to an emergency manager in a contract approved by the state treasurer under subdivision (e), this state may receive and distribute private funds to an emergency manager. As used in this subdivision, "private funds" means any money the state receives for the purpose of allocating additional salary to an emergency manager. Private funds distributed under this subdivision are subject to section 1 of 1901 PA 145, MCL 21.161, and section 17 of article IX of the state constitution of 1963.

(4) In addition to staff otherwise authorized by law, an emergency manager shall appoint additional staff and secure professional assistance as the emergency manager considers necessary to fulfill his or her appointment.

(5) The emergency manager shall submit quarterly reports to the state treasurer with respect to the financial condition of the local government in receivership, with a copy to the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district and a copy to each state senator and state representative who represents that local government. In addition, each quarterly report shall be posted on the local government's website within 7 days after the report is submitted to the state treasurer.

(6) The emergency manager shall continue in the capacity of an emergency manager as follows:

(a) Until removed by the governor or the legislature as provided in subsection (3)(d). If an emergency manager is removed, the governor shall within 30 days of the removal appoint a new emergency manager.

(b) Until the financial emergency is rectified.

(c) If the emergency manager has served for at least 18 months after his or her appointment under this act, the emergency manager may, by resolution, be removed by a 2/3 vote of the governing body of the local government. If the local government has a strong mayor, the resolution requires strong mayor approval before the emergency manager may be removed. Notwithstanding section 7(4), if the emergency manager is removed under this subsection and the local government has not previously breached a consent agreement under this act, the local government may within 10 days negotiate a consent agreement with the state treasurer. If a consent agreement is not agreed upon within 10 days, the local government shall proceed with the neutral evaluation process pursuant to section 25.

(7) A local government shall be removed from receivership when the financial conditions are corrected in a sustainable fashion as provided in this act. In addition, the local government may be removed from receivership if an emergency manager is removed under subsection (6)(c) and the governing body of the local government by 2/3 vote approves a resolution for the local government to be removed from receivership. If the local government has a strong mayor, the resolution requires strong mayor approval before the local government is removed from receivership. A local government that is removed from receivership while a financial emergency continues to exist as determined by the governor shall proceed under the neutral evaluation process pursuant to section 25.

(8) The governor may delegate his or her duties under this section to the state treasurer.

(9) Notwithstanding section 3(1) of 1968 PA 317, MCL 15.323, an emergency manager is subject to all of the following:

(a) 1968 PA 317, MCL 15.321 to 15.330, as a public servant.
(b) 1973 PA 196, MCL 15.341 to 15.348, as a public officer.
(c) 1968 PA 318, MCL 15.301 to 15.310, as if he or she were a state officer.

(10) An emergency financial manager appointed under former 1988 PA 101 or former 1990 PA 72, and serving immediately prior to the effective date of this act, shall be considered an emergency manager under this act and shall
continue under this act to fulfill his or her powers and duties. Notwithstanding any other provision of this act, the governor may appoint a person who was appointed as an emergency manager under former 2011 PA 4 or an emergency financial manager under former 1988 PA 101 or former 1990 PA 72 to serve as an emergency manager under this act.

(11) Notwithstanding section 7(4) and subject to the requirements of this section, if an emergency manager has served for less than 18 months after his or her appointment under this act, the governing body of the local government may pass a resolution petitioning the governor to remove the emergency manager as provided in this section and allow the local government to proceed under the neutral evaluation process as provided in section 25. If the local government has a strong mayor, the resolution requires strong mayor approval. If the governor accepts the resolution, notwithstanding section 7(4), the local government shall proceed under the neutral evaluation process as provided in section 25.

Sec. 10. (1) An emergency manager shall issue to the appropriate local elected and appointed officials and employees, agents, and contractors of the local government the orders the emergency manager considers necessary to accomplish the purposes of this act, including, but not limited to, orders for the timely and satisfactory implementation of a financial and operating plan, including an educational plan for a school district, or to take actions, or refrain from taking actions, to enable the orderly accomplishment of the financial and operating plan. An order issued under this section is binding on the local elected and appointed officials and employees, agents, and contractors of the local government to whom it is issued. Local elected and appointed officials and employees, agents, and contractors of the local government shall take and direct those actions that are necessary and advisable to maintain compliance with the financial and operating plan.

(2) If an order of the emergency manager under subsection (1) is not carried out and the failure to carry out an order is disrupting the emergency manager's ability to manage the local government, the emergency manager, in addition to other remedies provided in this act, may prohibit the local elected or appointed official or employee, agent, or contractor of the local government from access to the local government's office facilities, electronic mail, and internal information systems.

Sec. 11. (1) An emergency manager shall develop and may amend a written financial and operating plan for the local government. The plan shall have the objectives of assuring that the local government is able to provide or cause to be provided governmental services essential to the public health, safety, and welfare and assuring the fiscal accountability of the local government. The financial and operating plan shall provide for all of the following:

(a) Conducting all aspects of the operations of the local government within the resources available according to the emergency manager's revenue estimate.

(b) The payment in full of the scheduled debt service requirements on all bonds, notes, and municipal securities of the local government, contract obligations in anticipation of which bonds, notes, and municipal securities are issued, and all other uncontested legal obligations.

(c) The modification, rejection, termination, and renegotiation of contracts pursuant to section 12.

(d) The timely deposit of required payments to the pension fund for the local government or in which the local government participates.

(e) For school districts, an educational plan.

(f) Any other actions considered necessary by the emergency manager in the emergency manager's discretion to achieve the objectives of the financial and operating plan, alleviate the financial emergency, and remove the local government from receivership.

(2) Within 45 days after the emergency manager's appointment, the emergency manager shall submit the financial and operating plan, and an educational plan if the local government is a school district, to the state treasurer, with a copy to the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district, and to the chief administrative officer and governing body of the local government. The plan shall be regularly reexamined by the emergency manager and the state treasurer may be modified from time to time by the emergency manager with notice to the state treasurer. If the emergency manager reduces his or her revenue estimates, the emergency manager shall modify the plan to conform to the revised revenue estimates.

(3) The financial and operating plan shall be in a form as provided by the state treasurer and shall contain that information for each year during which year the plan is in effect that the emergency manager, in consultation with the state financial authority, specifies. The financial and operating plan may serve as a deficit elimination plan otherwise required by law if so approved by the state financial authority.

(4) The emergency manager, within 90 days of submitting the financial and operating plan to the state financial authority, shall conduct a public informational meeting on the plan and any modifications to the plan. This subsection does not mean that the emergency manager must receive public approval before he or she implements the plan or any modification of the plan.

(5) For a local government in receivership immediately prior to the effective date of this act, a financial and operating plan for that local government adopted under former 2011 PA 4 or a financial plan for that local government.
adopted under former 1990 PA 72 shall be effective and enforceable as a financial and operating plan for the local government under this act until modified or rescinded under this act.

Sec. 12. (1) An emergency manager may take 1 or more of the following additional actions with respect to a local government that is in receivership, notwithstanding any charter provision to the contrary:

(a) Analyze factors and circumstances contributing to the financial emergency of the local government and initiate steps to correct the condition.

(b) Amend, revise, approve, or disapprove the budget of the local government, and limit the total amount appropriated or expended.

(c) Receive and disburse on behalf of the local government all federal, state, and local funds earmarked for the local government. These funds may include, but are not limited to, funds for specific programs and the retirement of debt.

(d) Require and approve or disapprove, or amend or revise, a plan for paying all outstanding obligations of the local government.

(e) Require and prescribe the form of special reports to be made by the finance officer of the local government to its governing body, the creditors of the local government, the emergency manager, or the public.

(f) Examine all records and books of account, and require under the procedures of the uniform budgeting and accounting act, 1968 PA 2, MCL 141.421 to 141.440a, or 1919 PA 71, MCL 21.41 to 21.55, or both, the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, papers, contracts, and other documents relevant to an analysis of the financial condition of the local government.

(g) Make, approve, or disapprove any appropriation, contract, expenditure, or loan, the creation of any new position, or the filling of any vacancy in a position by any appointing authority.

(h) Review payrolls or other claims against the local government before payment.

(i) Notwithstanding any minimum staffing level requirement established by charter or contract, establish and implement staffing levels for the local government.

(j) Reject, modify, or terminate 1 or more terms and conditions of an existing contract.

(k) Subject to section 39, after meeting and conferring with the appropriate bargaining representative and, if in the emergency manager's sole discretion and judgment, a prompt and satisfactory resolution is unlikely to be obtained, reject, modify, or terminate 1 or more terms and conditions of an existing collective bargaining agreement. The rejection, modification, or termination of 1 or more terms and conditions of an existing collective bargaining agreement under this subdivision is a legitimate exercise of the state's sovereign powers if the emergency manager and state treasurer determine that all of the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) The financial emergency in the local government has created a circumstance in which it is reasonable and necessary for the state to intervene to serve a significant and legitimate public purpose.

(ii) Any plan involving the rejection, modification, or termination of 1 or more terms and conditions of an existing collective bargaining agreement is reasonable and necessary to deal with a broad, generalized economic problem.

(iii) Any plan involving the rejection, modification, or termination of 1 or more terms and conditions of an existing collective bargaining agreement is directly related to and designed to address the financial emergency for the benefit of the public as a whole.

(iv) Any plan involving the rejection, modification, or termination of 1 or more terms and conditions of an existing collective bargaining agreement is temporary and does not target specific classes of employees.

(l) Act as sole agent of the local government in collective bargaining with employees or representatives and approve any contract or agreement.

(m) If a municipal government's pension fund is not actuarially funded at a level of 80% or more, according to the most recent governmental accounting standards board's applicable standards, at the time the most recent comprehensive annual financial report for the municipal government or its pension fund was due, the emergency manager may remove 1 or more of the serving trustees of the local pension board or, if the state treasurer appoints the emergency manager as the sole trustee of the local pension board, replace all the serving trustees of the local pension board. For the purpose of determining the pension fund level under this subdivision, the valuation shall exclude the net value of pension bonds or evidence of indebtedness. The annual actuarial valuation for the municipal government's pension fund shall use the actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets. If a pension fund uses the aggregate actuarial cost method or a method involving a frozen accrued liability, the retirement system actuary shall use the entry age normal actuarial cost method. If the emergency manager serves as sole trustee of the local pension board, all of the following apply:

(i) The emergency manager shall assume and exercise the authority and fiduciary responsibilities of the local pension board including, to the extent applicable, setting and approval of all actuarial assumptions for pension obligations of a municipal government to the local pension fund.
(ii) The emergency manager shall fully comply with the public employee retirement system investment act, 1965 PA 314, MCL 38.1132 to 38.1140m, and section 24 of article IX of the state constitution of 1963, and any actions taken shall be consistent with the pension fund's qualified plan status under the federal internal revenue code.

(iii) The emergency manager shall not make changes to a local pension fund without identifying the changes and the costs and benefits associated with the changes and receiving the state treasurer's approval for the changes. If a change includes the transfer of funds from 1 pension fund to another pension fund, the valuation of the pension fund receiving the transfer must be actuarially funded at a level of 90% or more, according to the most recent governmental accounting standards board's applicable standards, at the time the most recent comprehensive annual financial report for the municipal government was due.

(iv) The emergency manager's assumption and exercise of the authority and fiduciary responsibilities of the local pension board shall end not later than the termination of the receivership of the municipal government as provided in this act.

(n) Consolidate or eliminate departments of the local government or transfer functions from 1 department to another and appoint, supervise, and, at his or her discretion, remove administrators, including heads of departments other than elected officials.

(o) Employ or contract for, at the expense of the local government and with the approval of the state financial authority, auditors and other technical personnel considered necessary to implement this act.

(p) Retain 1 or more persons or firms, which may be an individual or firm selected from a list approved by the state treasurer, to perform the duties of a local inspector or a local auditor as described in this subdivision. The duties of a local inspector are to assure integrity, economy, efficiency, and effectiveness in the operations of the local government by conducting meaningful and accurate investigations and forensic audits, and to detect and deter waste, fraud, and abuse. At least annually, a report of the local inspector shall be submitted to the emergency manager, the state treasurer, the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district, and each state senator and state representative who represents that local government. The annual report of the local inspector shall be posted on the local government's website within 7 days after the report is submitted. The duties of a local auditor are to assure that internal controls over local government operations are designed and operating effectively to mitigate risks that hamper the achievement of the emergency manager's financial plan, assure that local government operations are effective and efficient, assure that financial information is accurate, reliable, and timely, comply with policies, regulations, and applicable laws, and assure assets are properly managed. At least annually, a report of the local auditor shall be submitted to the emergency manager, the state treasurer, the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district, and each state senator and state representative who represents that local government. The annual report of the local auditor shall be posted on the local government's website within 7 days after the report is submitted.

(q) An emergency manager may initiate court proceedings in the Michigan court of claims or in the circuit court of the county in which the local government is located in the name of the local government to enforce compliance with any of his or her orders or any constitutional or legislative mandates, or to restrain violations of any constitutional or legislative power or his or her orders.

(r) Subject to section 19, if provided in the financial and operating plan, or otherwise with the prior written approval of the governor or his or her designee, sell, lease, convey, assign, or otherwise use or transfer the assets, liabilities, functions, or responsibilities of the local government, provided the use or transfer of assets, liabilities, functions, or responsibilities for this purpose does not endanger the health, safety, or welfare of residents of the local government or unconstitutionally impair a bond, note, security, or uncontested legal obligation of the local government.

(s) Apply for a loan from the state on behalf of the local government, subject to the conditions of the emergency municipal loan act, 1980 PA 248, MCL 141.931 to 141.942.

(t) Order, as necessary, 1 or more millage elections for the local government consistent with the Michigan election law, 1954 PA 116, MCL 168.1 to 168.992, sections 6 and 25 through 34 of article IX of the state constitution of 1963, and any other applicable state law.

(u) Subject to section 19, authorize the borrowing of money by the local government as provided by law.

(v) Approve or disapprove of the issuance of obligations of the local government on behalf of the local government under this subdivision. An election to approve or disapprove of the issuance of obligations of the local government pursuant to this subdivision shall only be held at the general November election.

(w) Enter into agreements with creditors or other persons or entities for the payment of existing debts, including the settlement of claims by the creditors.

(x) Enter into agreements with creditors or other persons or entities to restructure debt on terms, at rates of interest, and with security as shall be agreed among the parties, subject to approval by the state treasurer.

(y) Enter into agreements with other local governments, public bodies, or entities for the provision of services, the joint exercise of powers, or the transfer of functions and responsibilities.
(2) For municipal governments, enter into agreements with other units of municipal government to transfer property of the municipal government under 1984 PA 423, MCL 124.21 to 124.30, or as otherwise provided by law, subject to approval by the state treasurer.

(a) Enter into agreements with 1 or more other local governments or public bodies for the consolidation of services.

(bb) For a city, village, or township, the emergency manager may recommend to the state boundary commission that the municipal government consolidate with 1 or more other municipal governments, if the emergency manager determines that consolidation would materially alleviate the financial emergency of the municipal government and would not materially and adversely affect the financial situation of the government or governments with which the municipal government in receivership is consolidated. Consolidation under this subdivision shall proceed as provided by law.

(cc) For municipal governments, with approval of the governor, disincorporate or dissolve the municipal government and assign its assets, debts, and liabilities as provided by law. The disincorporation or dissolution of the local government is subject to a vote of the electors of that local government if required by law.

(dd) Exercise solely, for and on behalf of the local government, all other authority and responsibilities of the chief administrative officer and governing body concerning the adoption, amendment, and enforcement of ordinances or resolutions of the local government as provided in the following acts:

(i) The home rule city act, 1909 PA 279, MCL 117.1 to 117.38.
(ii) The fourth class city act, 1896 PA 215, MCL 81.1 to 113.20.
(iii) The charter township act, 1947 PA 859, MCL 42.1 to 42.34.
(iv) 1851 PA 156, MCL 46.1 to 46.32.
(v) 1966 PA 293, MCL 45.501 to 45.521.
(vi) The general law village act, 1895 PA 3, MCL 61.1 to 74.25.
(vii) The home rule village act, 1909 PA 278, MCL 78.1 to 78.28.
(viii) The revised school code, 1976 PA 451, MCL 380.1 to 380.1852.

(ee) Take any other action or exercise any power or authority of any officer, employee, department, board, commission, or other similar entity of the local government, whether elected or appointed, relating to the operation of the local government. The power of the emergency manager shall be superior to and supersede the power of any of the foregoing officers or entities.

(ff) Remove, replace, appoint, or confirm the appointments to any office, board, commission, authority, or other entity which is within or is a component unit of the local government.

(2) Except as otherwise provided in this act, during the pendency of the receivership, the authority of the chief administrative officer and governing body to exercise power for and on behalf of the local government under law, charter, and ordinance shall be suspended and vested in the emergency manager.

(3) Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, any contract involving a cumulative value of $50,000.00 or more is subject to competitive bidding by an emergency manager. However, if a potential contract involves a cumulative value of $50,000.00 or more, the emergency manager may submit the potential contract to the state treasurer for review and the state treasurer may authorize that the potential contract is not subject to competitive bidding.

(4) An emergency manager appointed for a city or village shall not sell or transfer a public utility furnishing light, heat, or power without the approval of a majority of the electors of the city or village voting thereon, or a greater number if the city or village charter provides, as required by section 25 of article VII of the state constitution of 1963. In addition, an emergency manager appointed for a city or village shall not utilize the assets of a public utility furnishing heat, light, or power, the finances of which are separately maintained and accounted for by the city or village, to satisfy the general obligations of the city or village.

Sec. 13. Upon appointment of an emergency manager and during the pendency of the receivership, the salary, wages, or other compensation, including the accrual of postemployment benefits, and other benefits of the chief administrative officer and members of the governing body of the local government shall be eliminated. This section does not authorize the impairment of vested pension benefits. If an emergency manager has reduced, suspended, or eliminated the salary, wages, or other compensation of the chief administrative officer and members of the governing body of a local government before the effective date of this act, the reduction, suspension, or elimination is valid to the same extent had it occurred after the effective date of this act. The emergency manager may restore, in whole or in part, any of the salary, wages, other compensation, or benefits of the chief administrative officer and members of the governing body during the pendency of the receivership, for such time and on such terms as the emergency manager considers appropriate, to the extent that the emergency manager finds that the restoration of salary, wages, compensation, or benefits is consistent with the financial and operating plan.
Sec. 14. In addition to the actions otherwise authorized in this act, an emergency manager for a school district may take 1 or more of the following additional actions with respect to a school district that is in receivership:

(a) Negotiate, renegotiate, approve, and enter into contracts on behalf of the school district.

(b) Receive and disburse on behalf of the school district all federal, state, and local funds earmarked for the school district. These funds may include, but are not limited to, funds for specific programs and the retirement of debt.

(c) Seek approval from the superintendent of public instruction for a reduced class schedule in accordance with administrative rules governing the distribution of state school aid.

(d) Subject to section 19, sell, assign, transfer, or otherwise use the assets of the school district to meet past or current obligations or assure the fiscal accountability of the school district, provided the use, assignment, or transfer of assets for this purpose does not impair the education of the pupils of the school district. The power under this subdivision includes the closing of schools or other school buildings in the school district.

(e) Approve or disapprove of the issuance of obligations of the school district.

(f) Exercise solely, for and on behalf of the school district, all other authority and responsibilities affecting the school district that are prescribed by law to the school board and superintendent of the school district.

(g) With the approval of the state treasurer, employ or contract for, at the expense of the school district, school administrators considered necessary to implement this act.

Sec. 15. (1) Unless the potential sale and value of an asset is included in the emergency manager's financial and operating plan, the emergency manager shall not sell an asset of the local government valued at more than $50,000.00 without the state treasurer's approval.

(2) A provision of an existing collective bargaining agreement that authorizes the payment of a benefit upon the death of a police officer or firefighter that occurs in the line of duty shall not be impaired and is not subject to any provision of this act authorizing an emergency manager to reject, modify, or terminate 1 or more terms of an existing collective bargaining agreement.

Sec. 16. An emergency manager shall, on his or her own or upon the advice of the local inspector if a local inspector has been retained, make a determination as to whether possible criminal conduct contributed to the financial situation resulting in the local government's receivership status. If the emergency manager determines that there is reason to believe that criminal conduct has occurred, the manager shall refer the matter to the attorney general and the local prosecuting attorney for investigation.

Sec. 17. Beginning 6 months after an emergency manager's appointment, and every 3 months thereafter, an emergency manager shall submit to the governor, the state treasurer, the senate majority leader, the speaker of the house of representatives, each state senator and state representative who represents the local government that is in receivership, and the clerk of the local government that is in receivership, and shall post on the internet on the website of the local government, a report that contains all of the following:

(a) A description of each expenditure made, approved, or disapproved during the reporting period that has a cumulative value of $5,000.00 or more and the source of the funds.

(b) A list of each contract that the emergency manager awarded or approved with a cumulative value of $5,000.00 or more, including the purpose of the contract and the identity of the contractor.

(c) A description of each loan sought, approved, or disapproved during the reporting period that has a cumulative value of $5,000.00 or more and the proposed use of the funds.

(d) A description of any new position created or any vacancy in a position filled by the appointing authority.

(e) A description of any position that has been eliminated or from which an employee has been laid off.

(f) A copy of the contract with the emergency manager as provided in section 9(3)(e).

(g) The salary and benefits of the emergency manager.

(h) The financial and operating plan.

Sec. 18. (1) If, in the judgment of the emergency manager, no reasonable alternative to rectifying the financial emergency of the local government which is in receivership exists, then the emergency manager may recommend to the governor and the state treasurer that the local government be authorized to proceed under chapter 9. If the governor approves of the recommendation, the governor shall inform the state treasurer and the emergency manager in writing of the decision, with a copy to the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district. The governor may place contingencies on a local government in order to proceed under chapter 9. Upon receipt of the written approval, the emergency manager is authorized to proceed under chapter 9. This section empowers the local government for which an emergency manager has been appointed to become a debtor under title 11 of the United States
Code, 11 USC 101 to 1532, as required by section 109 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 USC 109, and empowers the emergency manager to act exclusively on the local government's behalf in any such case under chapter 9.

(2) The recommendation to the governor and the state treasurer under subsection (1) shall include 1 of the following:

(a) A determination by the emergency manager that no feasible financial plan can be adopted that can satisfactorily rectify the financial emergency of the local government in a timely manner.

(b) A determination by the emergency manager that a plan, in effect for at least 180 days, cannot be implemented as written or as it might be amended in a manner that can satisfactorily rectify the financial emergency in a timely manner.

(3) The emergency manager shall provide a copy of the recommendation as provided under subsection (1) to the superintendent of public instruction if the local government is a school district.

Sec. 19. (1) Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, before an emergency manager executes an action under section 12(1)(k), (r), or (u) or section 14(d), he or she shall submit his or her proposed action to the governing body of the local government. The governing body of the local government shall have 10 days from the date of submission to approve or disapprove the action proposed by the emergency manager. If the governing body of the local government does not act within 10 days, the proposed action is considered approved by the governing body of the local government and the emergency manager may then execute the proposed action. For an action under section 12(1)(r) or section 14(d), this subsection only applies if the asset, liability, function, or responsibility involves an amount of $50,000.00 or more.

(2) If the governing body of the local government disapproves the proposed action within 10 days, the governing body of the local government shall, within 7 days of its disapproval of the action proposed by the emergency manager, submit to the local emergency financial assistance loan board an alternative proposal that would yield substantially the same financial result as the action proposed by the emergency manager. The local emergency financial assistance loan board shall have 30 days to review both the alternative proposal submitted by the governing body of the local government and the action proposed by the emergency manager and to approve either the alternative proposal submitted by the governing body of the local government or the action proposed by the emergency manager. If the local emergency financial assistance loan board approves the proposal that best serves the interest of the public in that local government. The emergency manager shall implement the alternative proposal submitted by the governing body of the local government or the action proposed by the emergency manager, whichever is approved by the local emergency financial assistance loan board.

Sec. 20. (1) An emergency manager is immune from liability as provided in section 7(5) of 1964 PA 170, MCL 691.1407. A person employed by an emergency manager is immune from liability as provided in section 7(2) of 1964 PA 170, MCL 691.1407.

(2) The attorney general shall defend any civil claim, demand, or lawsuit which challenges any of the following:

(a) The validity of this act.

(b) The authority of a state official or officer acting under this act.

(c) The authority of an emergency manager if the emergency manager is or was acting within the scope of authority for an emergency manager under this act.

(3) With respect to any aspect of a receivership under this act, the costs incurred by the attorney general in carrying out the responsibilities of subsection (2) for attorneys, experts, court filing fees, and other reasonable and necessary expenses shall be at the expense of the local government that is subject to that receivership and shall be reimbursed to the attorney general by the local government. The failure of a municipal government that is or was in receivership to remit to the attorney general the costs incurred by the attorney general within 30 days after written notice to the municipal government from the attorney general of the costs is a debt owed to this state and shall be recovered by the state treasurer as provided in section 17a(5) of the Glenn Stell state revenue sharing act of 1971, 1971 PA 140, MCL 141.917a. The failure of a school district that is or was in receivership to remit to the attorney general the costs incurred by the attorney general within 30 days after written notice to the school district from the attorney general of the costs is a debt owed to this state and shall be recovered by the state treasurer as provided in the state school aid act of 1972, 1979 PA 94, MCL 388.1601 to 388.1896.

(4) An emergency manager may procure and maintain, at the expense of the local government for which the emergency manager is appointed, worker's compensation, general liability, professional liability, and motor vehicle insurance for the emergency manager and any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager as may be provided to elected officials, appointed officials, or employees of the local government. The insurance procured and maintained by an emergency manager may extend to any claim, demand, or lawsuit asserted or costs recovered against the emergency manager and any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager from the date of appointment of the emergency manager to the expiration of the applicable statute of limitation if the claim, demand, or lawsuit asserted or costs recovered against the emergency manager or any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager resulted from conduct of the emergency manager or any employee, agent,
appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager taken in accordance with this act during the emergency manager's term of service.

(5) If, after the date that the service of an emergency manager is concluded, the emergency manager or any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager is subject to a claim, demand, or lawsuit arising from an action taken during the service of that emergency manager, and not covered by a procured worker's compensation, general liability, professional liability, or motor vehicle insurance, litigation expenses of the emergency manager or any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager, including attorney fees for civil and criminal proceedings and preparation for reasonably anticipated proceedings, and payments made in settlement of civil proceedings both filed and anticipated, shall be paid out of the funds of the local government that is or was subject to the receivership administered by that emergency manager, provided that the litigation expenses are approved by the state treasurer and that the state treasurer determines that the conduct resulting in actual or threatened legal proceedings that is the basis for the payment is based upon both of the following:

(a) The scope of authority of the person or entity seeking the payment.

(b) The conduct occurred on behalf of a local government while it was in receivership under this act.

(6) The failure of a municipal government to honor and remit the legal expenses of a former emergency manager or any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager as required by this section is a debt owed to this state and shall be recovered by the state treasurer as provided in section 17a(6) of the Glenn Steil state revenue sharing act of 1971, 1971 PA 140, MCL 141.917a. The failure of a school district to honor and remit the legal expenses of a former emergency manager or any employee, agent, appointee, or contractor of the emergency manager as required by this section is a debt owed to this state and shall be recovered by the state treasurer as provided in the state school aid act of 1979, 1979 PA 94, MCL 388.1601 to 388.1686.

Sec. 21. (1) Before the termination of receivership and the completion of the emergency manager's term, or if a transition advisory board is appointed under section 23, then before the transition advisory board is appointed, the emergency manager shall adopt and implement a 2-year budget, including all contractual and employment agreements, for the local government commencing with the termination of receivership.

(2) After the completion of the emergency manager's term and the termination of receivership, the governing body of the local government shall not amend the 2-year budget adopted under subsection (1) without the approval of the state treasurer, and shall not revise any order or ordinance implemented by the emergency manager during his or her term prior to 1 year after the termination of receivership.

Sec. 22. (1) If an emergency manager determines that the financial emergency that he or she was appointed to manage has been rectified, the emergency manager shall inform the governor and the state treasurer.

(2) If the governor disagrees with the emergency manager's determination that the financial emergency has been rectified, the governor shall inform the emergency manager and the term of the emergency manager shall continue or the governor shall appoint a new emergency manager.

(3) Subject to subsection (4), if the governor agrees that the financial emergency has been rectified, the emergency manager has adopted a 2-year budget as required under section 21, and the financial conditions of the local government have been corrected in a sustainable fashion as required under section 9(7), the governor may do either of the following:

(a) Remove the local government from receivership.

(b) Appoint a receivership transition advisory board as provided in section 23.

(4) Before removing a local government from receivership, the governor may impose 1 or more of the following conditions on the local government:

(a) The implementation of financial best practices within the local government.

(b) The adoption of a model charter or model charter provisions.

(c) Pursue financial or managerial training to ensure that official responsibilities are properly discharged.

Sec. 23. (1) Before removing a local government from receivership, the governor may appoint a receivership transition advisory board to monitor the affairs of the local government until the receivership is terminated.

(2) A receivership transition advisory board shall consist of the state treasurer or his or her designee, the director of the department of technology, management, and budget or his or her designee, and, if the local government is a school district, the superintendent of public instruction or his or her designee. The governor also may appoint to a receivership transition advisory board 1 or more other individuals with relevant professional experience, including 1 or more residents of the local government.

(3) A receivership transition advisory board serves at the pleasure of the governor.
(4) At its first meeting, a receivership transition advisory board shall adopt rules of procedure to govern its conduct, meetings, and periodic reporting to the governor. Procedural rules required by this section are not subject to the administrative procedures act of 1969, 1969 PA 306, MCL 24.201 to 24.328.

(5) A receivership transition advisory board may do all of the following:

(a) Require the local government to annually convene a consensus revenue estimating conference for the purpose of arriving at a consensus estimate of revenues to be available for the ensuing fiscal year of the local government.

(b) Require the local government to provide monthly cash flow projections and a comparison of budgeted revenues and expenditures to actual revenues and expenditures.

(c) Review proposed and amended budgets of the local government. A proposed budget or budget amendment shall not take effect unless approved by the receivership transition advisory board.

(d) Review requests by the local government to issue debt under the revised municipal finance act, 2001 PA 34, MCL 141.2101 to 141.2821, or any other law governing the issuance of bonds or notes.

(e) Review proposed collective bargaining agreements negotiated under section 15(1) of 1947 PA 336, MCL 423.215. A proposed collective bargaining agreement shall not take effect unless approved by the receivership transition advisory board.

(f) Review compliance by the local government with a deficit elimination plan submitted under section 21 of the Glenn Steil state revenue sharing act of 1971, 1971 PA 140, MCL 141.921.

(g) Review proposed judgment levies before submission to a court under section 6093 or 6094 of the revised judicature act of 1961, 1961 PA 286, MCL 600.6093 and 600.6094.

(h) Perform any other duties assigned by the governor at the time the receivership transition advisory board is appointed.

(6) A receivership transition advisory board is a public body as that term is defined in section 2 of the open meetings act, 1976 PA 267, MCL 15.262, and meetings of a receivership transition advisory board are subject to the open meetings act, 1976 PA 267, MCL 15.251 to 15.275. A receivership transition advisory board is also a public body as that term is defined in section 2 of the freedom of information act, 1976 PA 442, MCL 15.222, and a public record in the possession of a receivership transition advisory board is subject to the freedom of information act, 1976 PA 442, MCL 15.231 to 15.246.

Sec. 24. The governor may, upon his or her own initiative or after receiving a recommendation from a receivership transition advisory board, determine that the financial conditions of a local government have not been corrected in a sustainable fashion as required under section 9(7) and appoint a new emergency manager.

Sec. 25. (1) A neutral evaluation process may be utilized as provided for in this act. The state treasurer may, in his or her own discretion, determine that the state monitor the neutral evaluation process initiated by a local government under this section and may identify 1 or more individuals who may attend and observe the neutral evaluation process. A local government shall initiate the neutral evaluation process by providing notice by certified mail of a request for neutral evaluation process to all interested parties. If the local government does not provide notice under this subsection to all interested parties within 7 days after selecting the neutral evaluation process option, the treasurer may require the local government to go into receivership and proceed under section 9.

(2) An interested party shall respond within 10 business days of receipt of notice of the local government's request for neutral evaluation process.

(3) The local government and the interested parties agreeing to participate in the neutral evaluation process shall, through a mutually agreed-upon process, select a neutral evaluator to oversee the neutral evaluation process and facilitate all discussions in an effort to resolve their disputes.

(4) If the local government and interested parties fail to agree on a neutral evaluator within 7 days after the interested parties have responded to the notification sent by the local government, the local government shall, within 7 days, select 5 qualified neutral evaluators and provide their names, references, and backgrounds to the participating interested parties. Within 3 business days, a majority of participating interested parties may disqualify up to 4 names from the list. If a majority of participating interested parties disqualify 4 names from the list, the remaining candidate shall be the neutral evaluator. If the majority of participating parties disqualify fewer than 4 names, the local government shall choose which of the remaining candidates shall be the neutral evaluator.

(5) If an interested party objects to the qualifications of the neutral evaluator after the process for selection in subsection (4) is complete, the interested party may appeal to the state treasurer to determine if the neutral evaluator meets the qualifications under subsection (6). If the state treasurer determines that the qualifications have been met, the neutral evaluation process shall continue. If the state treasurer determines that the qualifications have not been met, the state treasurer shall select the neutral evaluator.
(6) A neutral evaluator shall have experience and training in conflict resolution and alternative dispute resolution and have at least 1 of the following qualifications:

(a) At least 10 years of high-level business or legal experience involving bankruptcy or service as a United States bankruptcy judge.

(b) At least 10 years of combined professional experience or training in municipal finance in 1 or more of the following areas:

(i) Municipal organization.

(ii) Municipal debt restructuring.

(iii) Municipal finance dispute resolution.

(iv) Chapter 9 bankruptcy.

(v) Public finance.

(vi) Taxation.

(vii) Michigan constitutional law.

(viii) Michigan labor law.

(ix) Federal labor law.

(7) The neutral evaluator's performance shall be impartial, objective, independent, and free from prejudice. The neutral evaluator shall not act with partiality or prejudice based on any participant's personal characteristics, background, values, or beliefs, or performance during the neutral evaluation process.

(8) The neutral evaluator shall avoid a conflict of interest and the appearance of a conflict of interest during the neutral evaluation process. The neutral evaluator shall make a reasonable inquiry to determine whether there are any facts that a reasonable individual would consider likely to create a potential or actual conflict of interest. Notwithstanding subsection (16), if the neutral evaluator is informed of the existence of any facts that a reasonable individual would consider likely to create a potential or actual conflict of interest, the neutral evaluator shall disclose these facts in writing to the local government and all interested parties involved in the neutral evaluation process. If any participating interested party to the neutral evaluation process objects to the neutral evaluator, that interested party shall notify the local government and all other participating interested parties to the neutral evaluation process, including the neutral evaluator, within 16 days of receipt of the notice from the neutral evaluator. The neutral evaluator shall withdraw, and a new neutral evaluator shall be selected as provided in subsections (3) and (4).

(9) Before commencing a neutral evaluation process, the neutral evaluator shall not establish another fiscal or fiduciary relationship with any of the interested parties or the local government in a manner that would raise questions about the integrity of the neutral evaluation process, except that the neutral evaluator may conduct further neutral evaluation processes regarding other potential local public entities that may involve some of the same or similar constituents to a prior mediation.

(10) The neutral evaluator shall conduct the neutral evaluation process in a manner that promotes voluntary, uncoerced decision making in which each participant makes free and informed choices regarding the neutral evaluation process and outcome.

(11) The neutral evaluator shall not impose a settlement on the participants. The neutral evaluator shall use his or her best efforts to assist the participants to reach a satisfactory resolution of their disputes. Subject to the discretion of the neutral evaluator, the neutral evaluator may make oral or written recommendations for a settlement or plan of readjustment to a participant privately or to all participants jointly.

(12) The neutral evaluator shall inform the local government and all participants of the provisions of chapter 9 relative to other chapters of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 USC 101 to 1532. This instruction shall highlight the limited authority of United States bankruptcy judges in chapter 9, including, but not limited to, the restriction on federal bankruptcy judges' authority to interfere with or force liquidation of a local government's property and the lack of flexibility available to federal bankruptcy judges to reduce or cram down debt repayments and similar efforts not available to reorganize the operations of the local government that may be available to a corporate entity.

(13) The neutral evaluator may request from the participants documentation and other information that the neutral evaluator believes may be helpful in assisting the participants to address the obligations between them. This documentation may include the status of funds of the local government that clearly distinguishes between general funds and special funds and the proposed plan of readjustment prepared by the local government. The participants shall respond to a request from the neutral evaluator in a timely manner.

(14) The neutral evaluator shall provide counsel and guidance to all participants, shall not be a legal representative of any participant, and shall not have a fiduciary duty to any participant.

(15) If a settlement with all interested parties and the local government occurs, the neutral evaluator may assist the participants in negotiating a pre-petitioned, pre-agreed-upon plan of readjustment in connection with a potential chapter 9 filing.
(16) If at any time during the neutral evaluation process the local government and a majority of the representatives of the interested parties participating in the neutral evaluation process wish to remove the neutral evaluator, the local government or any interested party may make a request to the other interested parties to remove the neutral evaluator. If the local government and a majority of the interested parties agree that the neutral evaluator should be removed and agree on who should replace the neutral evaluator, the local government and the interested parties shall select a new neutral evaluator.

(17) The local government and all interested parties participating in the neutral evaluation process shall negotiate in good faith.

(18) The local government and each interested party shall provide a representative to attend all sessions of a neutral evaluation process. Each representative shall have the authority to settle and resolve disputes or shall be in a position to present any proposed settlement or plan of readjustment to the participants in the neutral evaluation process.

(19) The local government and the participating interested parties shall maintain the confidentiality of the neutral evaluation process and shall not at the conclusion of the neutral evaluation process or during any bankruptcy proceeding disclose statements made, information disclosed, or documents prepared or produced unless a judge in a chapter 9 bankruptcy proceeding orders that the information be disclosed to determine the eligibility of a local government to proceed with a bankruptcy proceeding under chapter 9, or as otherwise required by law.

(20) A neutral evaluation process authorized by this act shall not last for more than 60 days following the date the neutral evaluator is initially selected, unless the local government or a majority of participating interested parties elect to extend the neutral evaluation process for up to 30 additional days. The neutral evaluation process shall not last for more than 90 days following the date the neutral evaluator is initially selected.

(21) The local government shall pay 50% of the costs of a neutral evaluation process, including, but not limited to, the fees of the neutral evaluator, and the interested parties shall pay the balance of the costs of the neutral evaluation process, unless otherwise agreed to by the local government and a majority of the interested parties.

(22) The neutral evaluation process shall end if any of the following occur:

(a) The local government and the participating interested parties execute a settlement agreement. However, if the state treasurer determines that the settlement agreement does not provide sufficient savings to the local government, the state treasurer shall provide notice to the local government that the settlement agreement does not provide sufficient savings to the local government and the local government shall proceed under 1 of the other local government options as provided in section 7.

(b) The local government and the participating interested parties reach an agreement or proposed plan of readjustment that requires the approval of a bankruptcy judge.

(c) The neutral evaluation process has exceeded 60 days following the date the neutral evaluator was selected, the local government and the participating interested parties have not reached an agreement, and neither the local government nor a majority of the interested parties elect to extend the neutral evaluation process past the initial 60-day time period.

(d) The local government initiated the neutral evaluation process under subsection (1) and did not receive a response from any interested party within the time specified in subsection (2).

(e) The fiscal condition of the local government deteriorates to the point that necessitates the need to proceed under the chapter 9 bankruptcy option pursuant to section 28.

(23) If the 60-day time period for a neutral evaluation process expires, including any extension of the neutral evaluation process past the initial 60-day time period under subsection (20), and the neutral evaluation process is complete with differences resolved, the neutral evaluation process shall be concluded. If the neutral evaluation process does not resolve all pending disputes with the local government and the interested parties, or if subsection (22)(b), (c), or (d) applies, the governing body of the local government shall adopt a resolution recommending that the local government proceed under chapter 9 and submit the resolution to the governor and the state treasurer. Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, if the local government has a strong mayor, the resolution requires strong mayor approval before the local government proceeds under chapter 9. The resolution shall include a statement determining that the financial condition of the local government jeopardizes the health, safety, and welfare of the residents who reside within the local government or service area of the local government absent the protections of chapter 9. If the governor approves the resolution for the local government to proceed under chapter 9, the governor shall inform the local government in writing of the decision. The governor may place contingencies on a local government in order to proceed under chapter 9 including, but not limited to, appointing a person to act exclusively on behalf of the local government in the chapter 9 bankruptcy proceedings. If the governing body of the local government fails to adopt a resolution within 7 days after the neutral evaluation process is concluded as provided in this subsection, the governor may appoint a person to act exclusively on behalf of the local government in chapter 9 bankruptcy proceedings. If the governor does not appoint a person to act exclusively on behalf of the local government in chapter 9 bankruptcy proceedings, the chief administrative officer of the local government shall act exclusively on behalf of the local government in chapter 9 bankruptcy proceedings. Upon receiving written approval from the governor under section 28, the local government may file a petition under chapter 9 and exercise powers under federal bankruptcy law.
Sec. 26. (1) With the written approval of the governor, a local government may file a petition under chapter 9 and exercise powers pursuant to federal bankruptcy law if the local government adopts a resolution, by a majority vote of the governing body of the local government, that declares a financial emergency in the local government. Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, if the local government has a strong mayor, the resolution requires strong mayor approval. The resolution shall include a statement determining that the financial condition of the local government jeopardizes the health, safety, and welfare of the residents who reside within the local government or service area of the local government absent the protections of chapter 9 and that the local government is or will be unable to pay its obligations within 60 days following the adoption of the resolution.

(2) If the governor approves a local government to proceed under chapter 9, the governor shall inform the local government in writing of the decision. The governor may place contingencies on a local government in order to proceed under chapter 9 including, but not limited to, appointing a person to act exclusively on behalf of the local government in the chapter 9 bankruptcy proceedings. If the governor does not appoint a person to act exclusively on behalf of the local government in chapter 9 bankruptcy proceedings, the chief administrative officer of the local government shall act exclusively on behalf of the local government in chapter 9 bankruptcy proceedings. Upon receipt of the written approval and subject to this subsection, the local government may proceed under chapter 9 and exercise powers under federal bankruptcy law.

(3) If the governor does not approve a local government to proceed under chapter 9, the local government shall within 7 days select 1 of the other local options as provided in section 7.

Sec. 27. (1) The local elected and appointed officials and employees, agents, and contractors of a local government shall promptly and fully provide the assistance and information necessary and properly requested by the state financial authority, a review team, or the emergency manager in the effectuation of their duties and powers and of the purposes of this act. If the review team or emergency manager believes that a local elected or appointed official or employee, agent, or contractor of the local government is not answering questions accurately or completely or is not furnishing information requested, the review team or emergency manager may issue subpoenas and administer oaths to the local elected or appointed official or employee, agent, or contractor to furnish answers to questions or to furnish documents or records, or both. If the local elected or appointed official or employee, agent, or contractor refuses, the review team or emergency manager may bring an action in the circuit court in which the local government is located or the Michigan court of claims, as determined by the review team or emergency manager, to compel testimony and furnish records and documents. An action in mandamus may be used to enforce this section.

(2) Failure of a local government official to abide by this act shall be considered gross neglect of duty, which the review team or emergency manager may report to the state financial authority and the attorney general. Following review and a hearing with a local government elected official, the state financial authority may recommend to the governor that the governor remove the elected official from office. If the governor removes the elected official from office, the resulting vacancy in office shall be filled as prescribed by law.

(3) A local government placed in receivership under this act is not subject to section 15(1) of 1947 PA 336, MCL 423.215, for a period of 5 years from the date the local government is placed in receivership or until the time the receivership is terminated, whichever occurs first.

Sec. 28. This act does not give the emergency manager or the state financial authority the power to impose taxes, over and above those already authorized by law, without the approval at an election of a majority of the qualified electors voting on the question.

Sec. 29. The state financial authority shall issue bulletins or promulgate rules as necessary to carry out the purposes of this act. Rules shall be promulgated pursuant to the administrative procedures act of 1969, 1969 PA 306, MCL 24.201 to 24.328.

Sec. 30. (1) All of the following actions that occurred under former 2011 PA 4, former 1988 PA 101, or former 1990 PA 72, before the effective date of this act are effective under this act:

(a) A determination by the state treasurer or superintendent of public instruction pursuant to a preliminary review of the existence of probable financial stress or a serious financial problem in a local government.

(b) The appointment of a review team.

(c) The findings and conclusion contained in a review team report submitted to the governor.

(d) A determination by the governor of a financial emergency in a local government.

(e) A confirmation by the governor of a financial emergency in a local government.
(2) An action contained in subsection (1) need not be reenacted or reaffirmed in any manner to be effective under this act.

Sec. 31. An emergency manager or emergency financial manager appointed and serving under state law immediately prior to the effective date of this act shall continue under this act as an emergency manager for the local government.

Sec. 32. This act does not impose any liability or responsibility in law or equity upon this state, any department, agency, or other entity of this state, or any officer or employee of this state, or any member of a receivership transition advisory board, for any action taken by any local government under this act, for any violation of the provisions of this act by any local government, or for any failure to comply with the provisions of this act by any local government. A cause of action against this state or any department, agency, or entity of this state, or any officer or employee of this state acting in his or her official capacity, or any membership of a receivership transition advisory board acting in his or her official capacity, may not be maintained for any activity authorized by this act, or for the act of a local government filing under chapter 9, including any proceeding following a local government's filing.

Sec. 33. If any portion of this act or the application of this act to any person or circumstances is found to be invalid by a court, the invalidity shall not affect the remaining portions or applications of this act which can be given effect without the invalid portion or application. The provisions of this act are severable.

Sec. 34. For the fiscal year ending September 30, 2013, $780,000.00 is appropriated from the general fund to the department of treasury to administer the provisions of this act and to pay the salaries of emergency managers. The appropriation made and the expenditures authorized to be made by the department of treasury are subject to the management and budget act, 1984 PA 431, MCL 18.1101 to 18.1594.

Sec. 35. (1) For the fiscal year ending September 30, 2013, $5,000,000.00 is appropriated from the general fund to the department of treasury to administer the provisions of this act, to secure the services of financial consultants, lawyers, work-out experts, and other professionals to assist in the implementation of this act, and to assist local governments in proceeding under chapter 9.

(2) The appropriation authorized in this section is a work project appropriation, and any unencumbered or unallotted funds are carried forward into the following fiscal year. The following is in compliance with section 451a(1) of the management and budget act, 1984 PA 431, MCL 18.1451a:

(a) The purpose of the project is to provide technical and administrative support for the department of treasury to implement this act. Costs related to this project include, but are not limited to, all of the following:

(i) Staffing-related costs.

(ii) Costs to promote public awareness.

(iii) Any other costs related to implementation and dissolution of the program, including the resolution of accounts.

(b) The work project will be accomplished through the use of interagency agreements, grants, state employees, and contracts.

(c) The total estimated completion cost of the project is $5,000,000.00.

(d) The expected completion date is September 30, 2016.

Enacting section 1. The local government fiscal responsibility act, 1990 PA 72, MCL 141.1201 to 141.1291, is repealed.

Enacting section 2. It is the intent of the legislature that this act function and be interpreted as a successor statute to former 1988 PA 101, former 1990 PA 72, and former 2011 PA 4, and that whenever possible a reference to former 1988 PA 101, former 1990 PA 72, or former 2011 PA 4, under other laws of this state or to a function or responsibility of an emergency financial manager or emergency manager under former 1988 PA 101, former 1990 PA 72, or former 2011 PA 4, under other laws of this state shall function and be interpreted to reference to this act, with the other laws of this state referencing former 1988 PA 101, former 1990 PA 72, or former 2011 PA 4, including, but not limited to, all of the following:

(a) The charter township act, 1947 PA 359, MCL 42.1 to 42.34.

(b) 1966 PA 293, MCL 45.501 to 45.521.

(c) 1851 PA 156, MCL 46.1 to 46.32.

(d) The general law village act, 1895 PA 3, MCL 61.1 to 74.25.

(e) The home rule village act, 1909 PA 278, MCL 78.1 to 78.28.

(f) The fourth class city act, 1895 PA 215, MCL 81.1 to 113.20.

(g) The home rule city act, 1909 PA 279, MCL 117.1 to 117.38.
(i) 1947 PA 336, MCL 423.201 to 423.217.

[Signature]
Secretary of the Senate

[Signature]
Clerk of the House of Representatives

Approved
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